**Important note**

The views and recommendations in this review report from the clinical committee have been released for the purpose of seeking the views of stakeholders.

This report does not constitute the final position on these items, which is subject to:

- Stakeholder feedback;
- Then
- Consideration by the MBS Review Taskforce;
- Then *if endorsed*
- Consideration by the Minister for Health; and
- Government.

**Confidentiality of comments:**

If you want your feedback to remain confidential please mark it as such. It is important to be aware that confidential feedback may still be subject to access under freedom of information laws.
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1 Executive summary

The Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS) Review Taskforce (the Taskforce) is undertaking a program of work that considers how more than 5,700 items on the MBS can be aligned with contemporary clinical evidence and practice to improve health outcomes for patients. The Taskforce will also seek to identify any services that may be unnecessary, outdated, or potentially unsafe.

The Taskforce is committed to providing recommendations to the Minister for Health (the Minister) that will allow the MBS to deliver on each of these four key goals:

- Affordable and universal access.
- Best-practice health services.
- Value for the individual patient.
- Value for the health system.

The Taskforce has endorsed a methodology whereby the necessary clinical review of MBS items is undertaken by clinical committees and working groups.

The Specialist and Consultant Physician Consultation Clinical Committee (the Committee) was established in May 2018 to make recommendations to the Taskforce on MBS items in its area of responsibility, based on rapid evidence review and clinical expertise. The Taskforce asked the Committee to review 143 items related to attendances, along with seven priority issues that are largely structural in nature. The Taskforce referred two further issues to the Committee, as requested by the Minister- referral practices, and incentivising the uptake of My Health Record by clinicians and consumers.

1.1 Key recommendations

Detailed recommendations and rationales can be found in Sections 4 to 11, and a complete list of in-scope items and recommendations is provided in Appendix A. The Committee’s recommendations are provisional and may be revised based on feedback received during stakeholder consultation. A summary of the report’s key recommendations is provided below.

1.1.1 Standard attendance recommendations
Many of the items for consultant specialist attendances have not been reviewed since their introduction in the 1970s and are based on a rationale that is increasingly dissociated from standard clinical practice. During the course of its discussions, the Committee agreed that the current structure of attendance items does not accurately reflect the contemporary roles of specialists and consultant physicians and the balance of consultative and procedural work across specialties, does not sufficiently support clinicians to invest in consultative care over procedural work; and does not provide consumers with transparency on the cost or the quality of their chosen institution or consultant specialist.

The Committee recommends a new model for attendance items that is greatly simplified (removing over 60 per cent of items), is more equitable, and enables consistent patient rebates for similar services. At the core of the new model is a recommendation to set standard attendance schedule fees based on time. There is consensus within the Committee that using time as a basis for setting schedule fees is applicable within standard clinical practice across all specialties; and can account for distinct activities of varying complexity. A time-based model also strengthens the capacity of consumers to give informed financial consent.

The Committee has also made recommendations on related attendance items such as telehealth and case conferencing, and the use of data to inform quality care and patient choice. The intention is to increase patient access to these items, and to encourage integrated care and shared decision-making.

The Committee recommends introducing time-tiered attendance items with descriptors including activities to be performed in each time tier. These items replace existing standard attendance items and will be accessed by all consultant specialists.

The Committee also recommends introducing a parallel structure of attendance items that cover acute, urgent, and unplanned attendances occurring outside a consultant specialist’s consulting rooms. The Committee recommends a higher schedule fee for these items compared to its equivalent standard time-tiered attendance item, reflective of the disruption and consequently higher inputs of these attendances.

### 1.1.2 Complex plan recommendations

The Committee recommends removing complex plan items from the MBS for consultant physicians (items 132 and 133), geriatricians (items 141, 143, 145, and 147), addiction medicine specialists (items 6023 and 6024), and sexual health medicine specialists (items 6057 and 6058). These complex plan services should instead be claimed using the new standard time-tiered attendance items.

Complex plan items were initially created to support the referring practitioner (usually the GP) in managing complex patients. However, evidence suggests that these items are instead being use as a proxy for a long consultation. For example, 41 per cent of patients receiving a
complex plan from a consultant physician (item 132) do not see their referring practitioner in the following 6 months.

The Committee recommends keeping paediatric complex plan items 135 and 137 in the MBS as these items pertain to specific patient population groups (e.g., children with autism spectrum disorder) and are linked to an allied health professional (AHP) pathway. The Committee recommends the changes to these items to ensure they align with best practice and effective treatment. Due to very high complexity and severity of the conditions being assessed and the multidisciplinary domains considered, the schedule fee should be set at equal to or greater than level E.

1.1.3 Telehealth recommendations

The Committee has made recommendations on MBS telehealth items to phase out the incentive loading by incrementally reducing the derived fee for the nine telehealth loading items to zero, with annual analysis of its effects to identify potential unintended consequences. This is a recognition that the loading was envisaged to be time limited and its success to date in encouraging telehealth usage.

Further the Committee has recommended new telehealth-specific attendance items (after the nine loading items have been removed) that mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items, with the same fees, and with item descriptors that describe activities to be performed in each tier.

The Committee recommends all savings from removing the telehealth loading be reinvested towards non-MBS mechanisms to increase:

- Consumer utilisation of and access to telehealth services;
- GP and Primary Health Networks’ (PHNs) understanding of telehealth; and
- Specialist supply of telehealth services.

The Committee has also recommended mechanisms to assist in achieving greater use of telehealth as a recognised and effective tool. These include developing and sharing the value proposition of telehealth with consumers, including when, where and how to use these services, and their associated health and economic benefits, and educating GPs, PHNs and consultant specialists to identify patient population groups that would most benefit from telehealth and facilitate access to these services.

1.1.4 Case conference recommendations

The Committee recommends a new framework for case conference items consisting of three simplified categories:

- Discharge planning case conferences- a case conference to facilitate better post-discharge care and communication.
• Community case conferences- a case conference held to facilitate the provision of better multidisciplinary care.

• Treatment planning case conferences (new) - a case conference that explores and analyses potential treatment options and their respective benefits.

The Committee has also recommended a series of enhancements to ensure case conferences are effective, covering issues such as who should participate and the need for review of outcomes.

1.1.5 Use of data to inform quality care and patient-informed choice and consent recommendations

The Committee recommends both MBS and non-MBS mechanisms to encourage quality care and patient-informed choice and consent, including:

• Establishing a national minimum data set to inform evidence-based clinical practice and inform patient choice;

• Providing patients with transparency on the cost and outcomes of consultant specialists’ services, shared through their GP at the time of referral; and

• Improve patient consent and shared decision-making by specifying in attendance item descriptors what should be discussed when multiple treatment options are available.

The Committee recognises that the integrity and relevance of the data is crucial to this recommendation’s success, and its acceptance by the profession, individual clinicians, and consumers. The Committee also recommends that clinicians and consumers be proactively engaged to identify and address potential risks in sharing patient outcome data at an individual provider level.

1.1.6 My Health Record recommendations

The Committee supports the principle that electronic health records can enhance information sharing between patients and providers and thereby promote safe practice, support self-management and improve patient care. The Committee recommends the use of both MBS and non-MBS mechanisms to support the adoption of digital record systems, cognisant of the current limitations of digital readiness among consultant specialists.

The Committee recommends outcomes of case conferences be uploaded to My Health Record. Additionally, the Committee also recommends introducing a single incentive payment to consultant specialists upon their adoption of My Health Record, triggered by achieving a volume of uploads that is proportional to the number of attendances that the provider performs. Other recommendation cover improving the functionality of My Health Record and educating consultant specialists on the benefits of its use.
1.2 Consumer engagement

The Committee includes two consumer members who have provided a consumer perspective on the recommendations in this report. The Committee’s recommendations will be considered by the MBS Taskforce before stakeholder consultation, during which the Committee will seek feedback from consumer groups on the recommendations and will have the opportunity to make changes to the recommendations based on this feedback.

1.3 Consumer impact summary

Both patients and providers are expected to benefit from these recommendations as they address concerns regarding patient access and quality of care, and they take steps to simplify the MBS and make it easier to use and understand. The Committee also considered each recommendation’s impact on provider groups to ensure that any changes were reasonable and fair. However, if the Committee identified evidence of potential item misuse or safety concerns, recommendations were made to encourage best practice, in line with the overarching purpose of the MBS Review.
2 About the Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS) Review

1.1 Medicare and the MBS

1.1.1 What is Medicare?
Medicare is Australia’s universal health scheme that enables all Australian residents (and some overseas visitors) to have access to a wide range of health services and medicines at little or no cost.

Introduced in 1984, Medicare has three components:
- free public hospital services for public patients;
- subsidised drugs covered by the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS); and
- subsidised health professional services listed on the MBS.

1.2 What is the MBS?
The MBS is a listing of the health professional services subsidised by the Australian Government. There are more than 5,700 MBS items that provide benefits to patients for a comprehensive range of services, including consultations, diagnostic tests and operations.

1.3 What is the MBS Review Taskforce?
The Government established the MBS Review Taskforce (the Taskforce) as an advisory body to review all of the 5,700 MBS items to ensure they are aligned with contemporary clinical evidence and practice and improve health outcomes for patients. The Taskforce will also modernise the MBS by identifying any services that may be unnecessary, outdated or potentially unsafe. The MBS Review (the Review) is clinician-led, and there are no targets for savings attached to the Review.

1.3.1 What are the goals of the Taskforce?
The Taskforce is committed to providing recommendations to the Minister that will allow the MBS to deliver on each of four key goals:

- Affordable and universal access—the evidence demonstrates that the MBS supports very good access to primary care services for most Australians, particularly in urban Australia. However, despite increases in the specialist workforce over the last decade,
access to many specialist services remains problematic, with some rural patients being under-serviced.

- **Best practice health services**—one of the core objectives of the Review is to modernise the MBS, ensuring that individual items and their descriptors are consistent with contemporary best practice and the evidence base when possible. Although the Medical Services Advisory Committee (MSAC) plays a crucial role in thoroughly evaluating new services, the vast majority of existing MBS items pre-date this process and have never been reviewed.

- **Value for the individual patient**—another core objective of the Review is to have an MBS that supports the delivery of services that are appropriate to the patient’s needs, provide real clinical value and do not expose the patient to unnecessary risk or expense.

- **Value for the health system**—achieving the above elements will go a long way to achieving improved value for the health system overall. Reducing the volume of services that provide little or no clinical benefit will enable resources to be redirected to new and existing services that have proven benefit and are underused, particularly for patients who cannot readily access those services currently.

### 1.4 The Taskforce’s approach

The Taskforce is reviewing existing MBS items, with a primary focus on ensuring that individual items and usage meet the definition of best practice. Within the Taskforce’s brief, there is considerable scope to review and provide advice on all aspects that would contribute to a modern, transparent and responsive system. This includes not only making recommendations about adding new items or services to the MBS, but also about an MBS structure that could better accommodate changing health service models.

The Taskforce has made a conscious decision to be ambitious in its approach, and to seize this unique opportunity to recommend changes to modernise the MBS at all levels, from the clinical detail of individual items, to administrative rules and mechanisms, to structural, whole-of-MBS issues. The Taskforce will also develop a mechanism for an ongoing review of the MBS once the current review has concluded.

As the Review is clinician-led, the Taskforce decided that clinical committees should conduct the detailed review of MBS items. The committees are broad-based in their membership, and members have been appointed in an individual capacity, rather than as representatives of any organisation.

The Taskforce asked the committees to review MBS items using a framework based on Professor Adam Elshaug’s appropriate use criteria (1). The framework consists of seven steps:
1. Develop an initial fact base for all items under consideration, drawing on the relevant data and literature.

2. Identify items that are obsolete, are of questionable clinical value\(^1\), are misused\(^2\) and/or pose a risk to patient safety. This step includes prioritising items as “priority 1”, “priority 2”, or “priority 3”, using a prioritisation methodology (described in more detail below). Identify any issues, develop hypotheses for recommendations and create a work plan (including establishing working groups, when required) to arrive at recommendations for each item.

Gather further data, clinical guidelines and relevant literature in order to make provisional recommendations and draft accompanying rationales, as per the work plan. This process begins with priority 1 items, continues with priority 2 items and concludes with priority 3 items. This step also involves consultation with relevant stakeholders within the committee, working groups, and relevant colleagues or colleges. For complex cases, full appropriate use criteria were developed for the item’s explanatory notes.

Review the provisional recommendations and the accompanying rationales, and gather further evidence as required.

Finalise the recommendations in preparation for broader stakeholder consultation.

Incorporate feedback gathered during stakeholder consultation and finalise a Clinical Review Report, which provides recommendations for the Taskforce.

All MBS items will be reviewed during the course of the Review. However, given the breadth of and timeframe for the Review, each clinical committee has to develop a work plan and assign priorities, keeping in mind the objectives of the Review. Committees use a robust prioritisation methodology to focus their attention and resources on the most important items requiring review. This was determined based on a combination of two standard metrics, derived from the appropriate use criteria:

- service volume; and
- the likelihood that the item needed to be revised; determined by indicators such as identified safety concerns, geographic or temporal variation, delivery irregularity, the

---

\(^1\) The use of an intervention that evidence suggests confers no or very little benefit on patients; or where the risk of harm exceeds the likely benefit; or, more broadly, where the added costs of the intervention do not provide proportional added benefits.

\(^2\) The use of MBS services for purposes other than those intended. This includes a range of behaviours, from failing to adhere to particular item descriptors or rules through to deliberate fraud.
potential misuse of indications or other concerns raised by the clinical committee (such as inappropriate co-claiming).

**Figure 1: Prioritisation matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnitude of usage</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service volumes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit outlays</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood that the item needs revision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identified safety concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic/temporal variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery irregularity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspected indication creep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each item, these two metrics were ranked high, medium or low. These rankings were then combined to generate a priority ranking ranging from one to three (where priority 1 items are the highest priority and priority 3 items are the lowest priority for review), using a prioritisation matrix (Figure 1). Clinical committees use this priority ranking to organise their review of item numbers and apportion the amount of time spent on each item.
3  About the Specialist and Consultant Physician Consultation Clinical Committee

The Specialist and Consultant Physician Consultation Clinical Committee (the Committee) is part of the fifth tranche of clinical committees. It was established in May 2018 to make recommendations to the Taskforce on MBS items in its area of responsibility, based on clinical expertise and rapid evidence review. The Taskforce asked the Committee to review MBS items related to professional attendances, and to consider seven priority issues highlighted by the Taskforce.

3.1  Specialist and Consultant Physician Consultation Clinical Committee members

The Committee consists of 16 members and two ex-officio representatives from the Taskforce. Members’ names, positions/organisations and declared conflicts of interest are listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Specialist and Consultant Physician Consultation Clinical Committee members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position/organisation</th>
<th>Declared conflicts of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Anthony Lawler (Co-Chair)</td>
<td>Emergency Physician; Past President of the Australasian College for Emergency Medicine (ACEM); Chief Medical Officer in Tasmania</td>
<td>Board member of ACEM; Jurisdictional employee in Tasmania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Philip Trussett AM (Co-Chair)</td>
<td>General Surgeon at the Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney</td>
<td>Chair of the Council of Presidents of Medical Colleges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Paul Blackman</td>
<td>Sport and Exercise Physician, Olympic Park Sports Centre Medicine</td>
<td>Board Member of the Australasian College of Sports and Exercise Physicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Robert Carroll</td>
<td>Nephrologist, University of Adelaide</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Derek Chew</td>
<td>Director of Cardiology at Flinders Medical Centre and Academic at Flinders University; Part of the MBS Review for Cardiology</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Eleanor Chew (Ex-Officio)</td>
<td>GP practising in Brisbane; Member of the MBS Review Principles and Rules Committee (PARC) and GP and Primary Care Clinical Committee</td>
<td>MBS Taskforce; Board Member of the Australian Digital Health Agency; Member of the Professional Services Review Panel; Member of the Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position/organisation</td>
<td>Declared conflicts of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Stephen De Graaff</td>
<td>Senior Rehabilitation Physician and Director of Pain Services, Epworth HealthCare; Past President, Australasian Faculty of Rehabilitation Medicine, Royal Australian College of Physicians (RACP)</td>
<td>Wife is an allied health professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Katie Ellard</td>
<td>Gastroenterologist; Private practice in Sydney; Part of the MBS Review for Gastroenterology</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Elizabeth Elliott</td>
<td>Clinical Academic at the University of Sydney; Consultant Paediatrician Sydney Children’s Hospital Network (Westmead); Recent research focus on fetal alcohol spectrum disorders</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Adam Friederich</td>
<td>Consumer Representative; Works in Australian Public Service; Strong interest in health consumer issues; Served on other committees for the MBS Review</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Chris Hayes</td>
<td>Director of Hunter Integrated Pain Service based at the John Hunter Hospital; Immediate past Dean, Faculty of Pain Medicine Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists</td>
<td>Member of the Australian Advisory Council on Medicinal Use of Cannabis (AAMCM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Debra Kay</td>
<td>Consumer Member, Medical Services Advisory Committee (MSAC) and Health Technology Assessment Consumer Consultative Committee (CCC); Chair, MBS Review Consumer Panel; Member of the MBS Review Principles and Rules Committee</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr John North</td>
<td>Senior Visiting Orthopaedic Surgeon; Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane; Senior Visiting Orthopaedic Surgeon (Telehealth), Mt Isa Hospital and NWHHS; Chair of the MBS Review Orthopaedic Committee</td>
<td>Panel member for the Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Graeme Samuel</td>
<td>Professional Fellow in Monash University’s Business School and School of Public Health and Preventative Medicine; Non-clinician</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. David Story</td>
<td>Professor and Chair of Anaesthesia, University of Melbourne; Staff Anaesthetist, Austin Hospital, Melbourne; Part of the MBS Review for Urology</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Nick Talley (Ex-Officio)</td>
<td>Senior Specialist Gastroenterologist at the John Hunter</td>
<td>MBS Taskforce; The Medical Journal of Australia (MJA) Editor; Gastroenterological Society of Australia (GESA) Board Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Julie Thompson</td>
<td>General Practitioner in Victoria</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. David Watters</td>
<td>Chair of Surgical and Critical Care Programme Safety and Quality Committee; General and Endocrine Surgeon at University Hospital and St John of God Hospital, Geelong; Professor of Surgery, Deakin University</td>
<td>Member of the Clinical Measurement and Reporting Group for the Victorian Agency of Health Information (VAHI); Chair of the General Surgery Clinical Committee (GSNC) for the MBS Review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Conflicts of interest

All members of the Taskforce, clinical committees and working groups are asked to declare any conflicts of interest at the start of their involvement and reminded to update their declarations periodically. A complete list of declared conflicts of interest can be viewed in Table 1.

It is noted that the majority of Committee members share a common conflict of interest in reviewing items that are a source of revenue for them (i.e. Committee members claim the items under review). This conflict is inherent in a clinician-led process, and having been acknowledged by the Committee and the Taskforce, it was agreed that this should not prevent a clinician from participating in the review.

3.3 Areas of responsibility of the Committee

The Committee was assigned 143 MBS items to review, covering the following:

- A3 specialist attendances
- A4 consultant physician attendances
- A5 prolonged attendances
- A6 group therapy
- A12 occupational physician attendances
- A13 public health physician attendances
- A15 consultant specialist case conferences
- A24 pain and palliative medicine attendances
- A26 neurosurgery attendances
- A28 geriatric medicine
- A29 early intervention, children
- A31 addiction medicine attendances, and
- A32 sexual health attendances.

Attendance items for addiction medicine and sexual health medicine were initially not referred to the Committee as these items were introduced to the MBS in 2016. However, to maintain consistency in the MBS, the Committee believes that many of the recommendations set out in this report should apply to these attendances. For this reason, the Committee subsequently received approval from the Taskforce to make recommendations on these items.

A complete list of the items under review can be found in Appendix A – Index of items.
A number of professional attendance items are outside the scope of this review as they are the subject of review by other clinical committees, including specific attendance items for psychiatry, emergency medicine, anaesthesics, intensive care, obstetrics and general practice.

In 2016/17, the attendance items reviewed by the Committee accounted for approximately 26 million services and $1.9 billion in benefits. Over the past five years, service volumes for these items have grown at 4.2 per cent per year, and the cost of benefits has increased by 5.5 per cent per year (refer to Figure 2. This growth is largely accounted for by a 2.6 per cent increase in services per head of population, likely as a result of an ageing population and the increased burden of chronic disease.

### Figure 2: Drivers of benefit growth - 2011/12 to 2016/17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2011-12</th>
<th>2016-17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population, Millions</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of services, Thousands</td>
<td>21,190</td>
<td>26,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average benefits per service, $</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total benefits, $ Millions</td>
<td>1,481</td>
<td>1,936</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Compound Annual Growth Rate

SOURCE: Medicare Data, MBS050 Database

The Committee was also asked to consider seven priority issues identified by the Taskforce. These issues are largely structural in nature, rather than item-specific (refer to Table 4). The Taskforce referred two further issues to the Committee, as requested by the Minister:

- referral practices; and
- incentivising the uptake of My Health Record.

### Table 2: Priority issues to address in the committee’s review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Issues</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1. The structure of the attendance items may not reflect contemporary roles and profiles of different specialities | • There are no requirements with regard to time or activities or assessments that should be provided under the standard attendance, in contrast to the GP attendance items.  
• Fee relativity between initial and subsequent items does not necessarily reflect resource requirement  
• 50% loading for telehealth items was to recognise the additional time and increased professional complexity associated with provided telehealth services. This high loading may no longer be appropriate. |
| 2. The structure of the attendance items may not reflect contemporary roles and profiles of different specialities | • The assumptions underlying the differentiation between specialist versus consultant physician attendances may no longer hold true due to evolution of medical practices  
• The nature of work performed by consultant physicians has increasingly become ‘procedural’ as well as ‘cognitive’ and vice versa for specialists |
| 3. The complex plan items may not consistently deliver the originally intended benefits to patients | • The original intention of these items was to support effective integrated care for consumers with complex and chronic conditions and to obtain the expert specialist on the right care for the patient  
• (Refer to the rationale and recommendations from the General Practice/Primary Care Clinical Committee) |
| 4. Imbalance between procedures and consultations for some specialties | • The proportion of consultations undertaken relative to procedures may be suboptimal for patient outcomes |
| 5. Review and assess multi-disciplinary approach | • The multi-disciplinary approach could be remodelled and assessed to facilitate better patient outcomes |
| 6. Review GP referrals to specialists and consultant physicians | • The Minister requested that the Committee review how referrals operate and whether there should be any changes to referral arrangements to prevent unnecessary GP consultations |
| 7. Multiple items exist that serve similar purposes | • Several items fulfil highly similar functions in the current service description, especially for newly added items  
• Different items can be claimed for the same services (e.g. by different provider types) |
Noting significant overlap between some of these issues, the Committee considered:

1. How standard attendance items (initial and subsequent attendances with a single consultant specialist) can better reflect resource input (Section 4).
2. How complex plan items can better promote integrated care (Section 5).
3. Whether telehealth loading items remain the optimal mechanism to promote uptake of telehealth (Section 6).
4. How use of case conferences can be increased in the private sector, and how case conferences can involve the right participants to integrate care and improve patient outcomes (Section 7).
5. The imbalance between procedures and attendances and the role of data-driven practice and patient consent in improving quality (Section 8).
6. Mechanisms to promote uptake of My Health Record (Section 9).
7. Referral practices, in response to consumer concerns around access and convenience (Section 10).

### 3.4 Summary of the committee’s review approach

The Committee completed a review of items in scope across five full Committee meetings and eight working group meetings – supplemented by email correspondence between meetings – to develop the recommendations and rationales contained in this report.

The Committee’s review drew on various types of MBS data, including data on utilisation of items (services, benefits, patients, providers and growth rates); service provision (type of provider, geography of service provision); patients (demographics and services per patient); and co-claiming or episodes of services (same-day claiming). The review also drew on data presented in the relevant published literature, all of which is referenced in the report.

### 3.4.1 Working groups

The Committee formed seven working groups to develop the evidence base and further detail recommendations regarding the following:

1. time-tiered attendances and Telehealth;
2. contemporary roles of medical practitioners;
3. use of data to inform quality care and patient consent;
4. encouraging the use of case conference items;
5. acute attendances;
6. case conference cost-shifting; and
7. fetal alcohol spectrum disorder and other complex neurodevelopmental disorders.

Working group members were selected based on relevant experience and included representation of both consumers and clinicians (refer to Appendix C). Each working group met via teleconference to develop draft recommendations. These recommendations were
then reviewed and considered by the full committee at subsequent meetings to reach consensus.

3.4.2 Numbering of proposed items

Where the Committee recommends new items, these are often referred to using letters for ease of reference. If the recommended items are ultimately added to the MBS, the Department of Human Services (DHS) will assign new numbers in the usual format. The Committee is not recommending changes to the MBS numbering system.
4 Standard attendance recommendations

4.1 Current standard attendances for consultant specialists

There are 55 MBS items related to standard attendances for consultant specialists. In 2016/17, these items accounted for 24 million services and $1.6 billion in MBS benefits paid. These include standard attendances from the following Groups in MBS Category 1:

- A3 specialist attendances;
- A4 consultant physician attendances;
- A5 prolonged attendances;
- A12 occupational physician attendances;
- A13 public health physician attendances;
- A24 pain and palliative medicine attendances;
- A26 neurosurgery attendances;
- A31 addiction medicine attendances; and
- A32 sexual health medicine attendances.

Attendance items beyond the scope of the Committee include those for general practice (A1, A2, A15, A18, A22, and A23), obstetrics (T4), anaesthetics (T6), psychiatry (A8), intensive care (T11) and emergency medicine (A21). These items have been reviewed by their respective clinical committees, with both formal and informal consultation and communication occurring between committees where relevant.

See Appendix A.1 for a full list of standard attendance items.

4.2 Historical context

Many of the items for consultant specialist attendances are long-standing items that have not been reviewed or changed since their introduction in the 1970s. The structure of the items is based on historic roles of specialists and consultant physicians.

Originally, the items were structured to “balance” compensation levels between specialists and consultant physicians. Historically, compared to specialists, consultant physicians were deemed to provide more “cognitively complex” attendances and did not conduct as many
procedures. The recognition of new specialty groups led to the introduction of new attendance items. In some cases, higher schedule fees were set to address specific issues.

Previous efforts to reform these items, such as the Relative Value Study (RVS) (2), demonstrated the complexity of the task. The RVS conducted extensive analyses in the late 1990s to identify relative value units, practice resources and costs, and suggest appropriate schedule fee levels for individual items. It suggested introducing time-tiered attendance items that would have narrowed the difference in remuneration between specialists and consultant physicians.

4.2.1 The distinction between “specialists” and “consultant physicians”

The MBS and the Health Insurance Act 1973 makes a distinction between “specialists” and “consultant physicians”. This distinction is based on the traditional roles and training pathways of these groups, with consultant physicians traditionally receiving training through the Royal Australasian College of Physicians (RACP) training pathway, being expected to perform more “cognitively complex” attendances, and performing fewer procedures. By contrast, those groups described as “specialists” receive training through bodies other than the RACP, and traditionally were more procedurally focused. These two broad groups have access to different attendance items (Figure 1).

The following terms are used in this report to distinguish between medical practitioner profiles:

- Specialist: A medical practitioner in a specialty that has access to either A3 specialist attendance items or specialty-specific attendance items.

- Consultant physician: A medical practitioner who is a Fellow of the Royal Australasian College of Physicians (FRACP) and has access to A4 consultant physician items.

- Consultant specialist: An umbrella term for specialists and consultant physicians, used to distinguish from General Practitioners (GPs).
## Table 3: Current specialist and consultant physician access to attendance items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specialists with access to A3 items</th>
<th>Consultant Physicians with access to A4 items</th>
<th>Specialists with access to speciality specific attendance items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anaesthetics</td>
<td>Addiction Medicine</td>
<td>Addiction Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiothoracic Surgery</td>
<td>Cardiology</td>
<td>Anaesthetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatology</td>
<td>Clinical Genetics</td>
<td>Emergency physicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic Radiology</td>
<td>Clinical Pharmacology</td>
<td>Geriatric Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic Ultrasound</td>
<td>Endocrinology</td>
<td>Intensive Care Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medicine</td>
<td>Gastroenterology and Hepatology</td>
<td>Obstetrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Pathology</td>
<td>General Medicine</td>
<td>Occupational and environmental Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Surgery</td>
<td>Haematology</td>
<td>Ophthalmology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gynaecology</td>
<td>Immunology</td>
<td>Pain medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunology</td>
<td>Intensive Care Medicine</td>
<td>Psychiatry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Care Medicine</td>
<td>Medical Oncology</td>
<td>Public health Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Oncology</td>
<td>Neurology</td>
<td>Sexual health Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbiology Neurosurgery</td>
<td>Nuclear Medicine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetrics and Gynaecology</td>
<td>Occupational and Environmental Medicine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetrics and Gynaecological Ultrasound</td>
<td>Respiratory and Sleep Medicine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmology</td>
<td>Rheumatology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral and maxillofacial Surgery</td>
<td>Neonatal/Perinatal Medicine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopaedic Surgery</td>
<td>Pain medicine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otolaryngology</td>
<td>Pathology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric Surgery</td>
<td>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain medicine</td>
<td>Radiation Oncology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathology</td>
<td>Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery</td>
<td>Sport and Exercise Medicine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation Oncology</td>
<td>Urogynaecology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport and Exercise Medicine</td>
<td>Vascular Surgery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urogynaecology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 Overview of current standard attendance items

Standard attendance items are defined as either “initial” or “subsequent” attendances with a single provider\(^3\). Initial attendances have schedule fees that are 30 to 50 per cent higher than those for subsequent attendances.

There are parallel items for consultant physicians and specialists (see above for the distinction between professions).

Unique items were created for new specialties that did not exist when the standard attendance items were created, and for specialties that applied to MSAC for access to unique attendance items (most commonly citing longer consultation durations as the rationale for their request). These specialists cannot claim both a standard attendance item and specialty-specific item for the same service.

There are distinct attendance items for certain specialties, including ophthalmology, occupational health, public health, pain medicine, palliative care and neurosurgery. These specialties can also choose to claim via the standard attendance items mentioned above, often with a different schedule fee.

There are also parallel items for attendances that take place either in a consulting room or hospital, or elsewhere.

4.4 Issues with current standard attendance items

The distinction between initial and subsequent attendance items does not accurately reflect current clinical practice and there is evidence that they are being inconsistently claimed.

The Committee noted that the distinction between initial and subsequent attendances does not account for cases where a patient’s clinical needs increase in complexity over time. For example, investigations of the patient’s initial problem can lead to a subsequent attendance that requires more time. One result of this issue is the creation of new items for a comprehensive assessment in sexual health medicine and addiction medicine, which can be claimed once in a course of treatment only. These are not restricted to being claimed as an

\(^3\) Items also exist for consultant physicians to claim “minor” subsequent attendances (items 119 and 131).
initial consultation in a course of treatment, in recognition that a complex comprehensive assessment may not happen at the first point of contact with the patient.

The Committee noted evidence suggesting inconsistent use of repeat initial attendances. In 2016/17, 229,511 repeat initial attendances occurred between the same patient and provider within nine months of another “initial” attendance. The Committee noted that repeat initial attendances within this timeframe should not commonly occur in clinical practice.

Consumer complaints regarding charges for repeat initial attendances are common and have been referred to the Minister’s Office via the Medical Benefits Division. A sample is provided below:

“*My specialist requires me to get a new referral annually and charges me for an initial consultation fee each time she reviews the same skin cancer... I should be able to go back to the same specialist annually under the original indefinite referral to review the same skin cancer and be charged only for a consultation fee (which is much less than the initial consultation fee). Each year I have to pay for a consultation with my GP for the referral and an initial consultation fee for the specialist.”*

It can be confusing for both patient and provider.

The distinction between consultant physicians and specialists is increasingly dissociated from modern clinical practice and is unclear to consumers. The distinction is based on a rationale outlined by the 1974 Medicare Tribunal - “consultant physicians require longer time periods for their consultations. The patients tend to have medical conditions which require study in depth and breadth. By comparison with the specialist group, consultant physicians undertake relatively few procedures and their practice largely consists of time consuming consultations.”

This distinction has not been updated. Data from modern practice suggest that this distinction is no longer valid for many specialties (Table 5). Changes in technology have resulted in some consultant physicians undertaking many more procedures, as evidenced by the number of new items listed in recent years. For example, transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI), left atrial appendage closure and implantable loop recorder items for cardiologists.

The distinction decreases consumer access to specialists who are highly consultative (i.e. relatively less procedural) and so charge a higher patient contribution (Figure 3). For example, prolonged review consultations for sports and exercise physicians receive a lower schedule fee than consultations with professionals with no specialist medical training (for example, chiropractors).

Standard attendance items have evolved into a complex, disjointed schedule that lacks transparency or clarity for consumers and contains duplicative items for doctors.
There is a lack of transparency in what consumers are paying for, and there is not a clear rationale to explain to consumers why there are different rebates for services that appear to be the same or similar in nature and value to them (Figure 4).

There are many different attendance items that essentially describe the same service. For example, a consultant physician in geriatrics could claim any of item 110 (initial consultant physician attendance), item 141 (provision of a comprehensive assessment and management plan in a patient over 65) or item 132 (provision of a comprehensive management plan for a patient with at least two morbidities) for the same service.

New items have been generated for certain specialties (for example, pain medicine and palliative medicine attendance items) because legislation prevents specialists from billing Group A4 consultant physician attendance items.

Diagnostics are currently claimed at the same time as attendances, creating a financial incentive to perform more diagnostics as doing so generates additional payment within the same time period.
Figure 3: Percentage MBS income from standard attendance items, consultant physicians versus specialists (%)

Figure 4: Average patient out-of-pocket cost for an initial attendance, consultant physicians versus specialists ($)

SOURCE: Medicare 2016-17
4.5 Guiding principles for standard attendance items in the MBS

To assist the Committee in assessing an improved and best practice approach to standard attendance, the Committee defined the following principles:

1. Attendance schedule fees should be the same per unit of time for all in scope consultant specialists, regardless of specialty.
2. All consultant specialists should have access to the same standard attendance items.
3. Time is a partial indicator for the depth of care delivered in an attendance.
4. Standard attendance item descriptors should reflect both the duration of an attendance and the complexity of that attendance.
5. The complexity of an attendance reflects the specific activities performed and the impact of specific patient interaction factors on the attendance.
6. Total attendance time should be determined based on the amount of time spent by the consultant specialist and should not be delegated to other health professionals.
7. Total attendance time should not include time spent on a procedure or a diagnostic test; these activities should be accounted for separately.
8. Total attendance time should not include non-patient-facing time.
9. The schedule fee structure for attendance items should incentivise appropriate clinical practice.

The Committee recognises that these principles have the following implications for the structure of standard attendance items in the MBS:

- The removal of the distinction between initial and subsequent attendance items.
- The removal of the distinction between specialists and consultant physicians for standard attendance items.
- The removal of many unique items that have been created for certain consultant specialist groups.

The Committee and the relevant working group/s examined options for standard attendance items. This examination resulted in the recommended framework below. The main alternative option is set out in Section 4.7.1.

4.6 Recommendation 1 - Introduce time-tiered attendance items

The Committee recommends:

a. introducing time-tiered attendance items to replace most of the current standard attendance items;
b. removing standard attendance items from Groups A3, A4, A12, A24, A26, A31 and A32;
Note: See Appendix A.1. for a full list of attendance items that are recommended for removal.

c. adding 10 new time-tiered attendance items with the following characteristics (see Table 4):

i. item descriptors should specify required attendance time, and standard activities performed (to indicate complexity);

ii. the duration of the time tiers should be the same as those recommended by the GPPCCC; and

iii. parallel items should continue to exist for attendances that occur in a consulting room or hospital, and elsewhere (five items each).

d. adding explanatory notes for these items that state that:

i. Specific features of the patient interaction may contribute to the duration of the attendance. This may include communication or comprehension factors that result in more time needed to take a medical history or to take informed consent (e.g., using an interpreter to take a medical history, taking informed consent for an intrusive examination of a child) and mobility factors resulting in more time needed to perform a clinical examination (e.g., the need for an assistant or assistive device to transfer a patient).

ii. Non-patient-facing time should not contribute to the duration of the attendance and the calculation of schedule fees for attendance items should take non-patient-facing time into account.

iii. Time spent with other health professionals should not contribute the duration of the attendance.

iv. Time spent on a procedure or a diagnostic test should not contribute to the duration of the attendance.

e. keep Group A5 prolonged attendance items, which have a specific allowance for patients in imminent danger of death (refer to Recommendation 2).
Table 4: Recommended item descriptors for time-tiered attendance items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level (item)</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level A (XX1A)</td>
<td>Professional attendance of <strong>5 minutes or less</strong> by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality following patient referral for an obvious problem with a straightforward task, including any of the following that are clinically relevant:</td>
<td>Attendance at consulting room or hospital</td>
<td>5 minutes or less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) a short patient history and, if required, limited examination and management</td>
<td>Attendance in a location other than consulting room or hospital</td>
<td>5 minutes or less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other than a service to which another Category 1, Group T1, Group T4 or Group T6 professional attendance item applies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only to be claimed with a Group T8 procedure item:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I. where the procedure has a schedule fee of less than $300; or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II. where the need for the procedure is identified during the consultation, has not otherwise been scheduled, is performed on the same day as the attendance, and where the procedure has a schedule fee of $300 or more.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level B (XX1B)</td>
<td>Professional attendance of <strong>more than 5 minutes but not more than 20 minutes</strong> by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality following patient referral, including any of the following that are clinically relevant:</td>
<td>Attendance at consulting room or hospital</td>
<td>6–20 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) focused patient history and, if required, focused examination and management</td>
<td>Attendance in a location other than consulting room or hospital</td>
<td>6–20 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other than a service to which another Category 1, Group T1, Group T4 or Group T6 professional attendance item applies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only to be claimed with a Group T8 procedure item:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I. where the procedure has a schedule fee of less than $300; or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II. where the need for the procedure is identified during the consultation, has not otherwise been scheduled, is performed on the same day as the attendance, and where the procedure has a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Item numbers listed here indicate a structure for the DHS to follow when assigning item numbers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level (item)</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level C</strong></td>
<td>Professional attendance of <strong>more than 20 minutes but not more than 40 minutes</strong> by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality following patient referral, including any of the following that are clinically relevant:</td>
<td>Attendance at consulting room or hospital</td>
<td>21–40 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XX1C</strong></td>
<td>a) detailed patient history of a major single or multiple minor conditions</td>
<td>Attendance in a location other than consulting room or hospital</td>
<td>21–40 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) comprehensive examination of single system OR multi-system focused examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) single or multiple minor diagnostic problems considered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) a non-complex management plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e) a management plan communicated in writing to the referring practitioner and, if required;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f) discussion of multiple treatment options available, including;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i. Discussion of treatment options to assess pros and cons of each option given patient characteristics and medical history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. Consideration and discussion of necessary referrals to other health professionals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii. Written documentation made available for the patient and/or carer that outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g) outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other than a service to which another Category 1, Group T1, Group T4 or Group T6 professional attendance item applies. Only to be claimed with a Group T8 procedure item:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I. where the procedure has a schedule fee of less than $300; or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II. where the need for the procedure is identified during the consultation, has not otherwise been scheduled, is performed on the same day as the attendance, and where the procedure has a schedule fee of $300 or more.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level D</strong></td>
<td>Professional attendance of <strong>more than 40 minutes but not more than 60 minutes</strong> by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality following patient referral, including any of the following that are clinically relevant:</td>
<td>Attendance at consulting room or hospital</td>
<td>41–60 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XX1D</strong></td>
<td>a) comprehensive patient history of multiple conditions or a complex single condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) comprehensive multi-system examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) multiple diagnostic problems considered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XX2D</strong></td>
<td>Attendance in a location other than consulting room or</td>
<td>41–60 minutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level (item)</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>a comprehensive management plan</td>
<td>hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>the management plan communicated in writing to the referring practitioner and, if required;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f)</td>
<td>discussion of multiple treatment options available, including:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i. Discussion of treatment options to assess pros and cons of each option given patient characteristics and medical history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. Consideration and discussion of necessary referrals to other health professionals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii. Written documentation made available for the patient and/or carer that outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g)</td>
<td>outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other than a service to which another Category 1, Group T1, Group T4 or Group T6 professional attendance item applies. Only to be claimed with a Group T8 procedure item:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i. where the procedure has a schedule fee of less than $300; or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. where the need for the procedure is identified during the consultation, has not otherwise been scheduled, is performed on the same day as the attendance, and where the procedure has a schedule fee of $300 or more.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Level E (XX1E)**

Professional attendance of **more than 60 minutes** by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality following patient referral, including any of the following that are clinically relevant:

<p>| a)          | extensive history of multiple complex conditions | Attendance at consulting room or hospital | More than 60 minutes |
| b)          | extensive multi-system medical examination |          |          |
| c)          | multiple complex diagnoses considered |          |          |
| d)          | a comprehensive management plan |          |          |
| e)          | the management plan is communicated in writing to the referring practitioner and, if required; discussion of multiple treatment options available, including: |          |          |
|             | i. Discussion of treatment options to assess pros and cons of each option given patient characteristics and medical history |          |          |
|             | ii. Consideration and discussion of necessary referrals to other health professionals |          |          |
|             | iii. Written documentation made available for the patient and/or carer that outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits |          |          |
| f)          |          |          |          |
| g)          |          |          |          |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level (item)</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other than a service to which another Category 1, Group T1, Group T4 or Group T6 professional attendance item applies.

Only to be claimed with a Group T8 procedure item:

I. where the procedure has a schedule fee of less than $300; or

II. where the need for the procedure is identified during the consultation, has not otherwise been scheduled, is performed on the same day as the attendance, and where the procedure has a schedule fee of $300 or more.

4.7 Rationale 1

This recommendation focuses on introducing a standard attendance model that is simple, is more equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services. It is based on the following:

- The Committee recommends basing attendance schedule fees on time, as the duration of the face-to-face encounter with the patient is indicative of the total amount of work and promotes a more appropriate balance of procedural and consultative work. Time-tiering will enable a simple structure for attendance items that enables more consistent rebates for patients for the same services, transparency for consumers, and clarity on informed financial consent.

- The Committee recommends using time tiers that align with those put forward by the GPPCCC to enable consistency of care for those accessing MBS attendance items. There is clinical consensus within the Committee that these tiers are simple and useable within standard clinical practice, while accommodating the distinct activities of differing complexity levels.

- The Committee does not recommend a minimum attendance length because there are certain attendances that can be completed in less than six minutes. The Committee also noted that this would not exclude a person understanding the time brackets and asking for the full period of time if a clinician is rushing away or requesting to finish an attendance that they may be aware is drifting into a higher tier.

- In addition, the introduction of time-tiered attendance items aims to:
  - Reflect the work effort required for each attendance item. Evidence suggests that the duration of face-to-face patient attendances is indicative of the total amount of work performed in that attendance.
An Australian study that examined 101,112 GP consultations and the relationship between consultation length, content and GP choice of attendance item demonstrated that GPs use both time and content when choosing item number, rather than relying only on specified time thresholds. The study found that the difference in consultation length between Level B and Level C attendances was somewhat marginal, but that GPs were using both time and content appropriately when choosing attendance item numbers.

- Enable consistent, high-quality care by specifying both the attendance duration required and recommended activities for each attendance item.
- Encourage an approach to care that emphasises shared decision-making, including discussion of available treatment options and informed consent.
- Incorporate attendance items created for exceptional circumstances—often because the duration of the attendance is longer than for other specialties—into one simplified structure.
- Simplify the attendance item schedule to enable more consistent billing to patients and more equitable benefits to consultant specialists.
- Improve access to specialists who are highly consultative but can currently only access A3 specialist attendance items (for example, sports and exercise medicine, dermatology).
- Reduce the incentive to inconsistently claim initial attendances by reimbursing physicians for longer attendances and discussion of available treatment options.

- For example, the Urology Clinical Committee has noted that urologists should be better incentivised through the MBS to give a full explanation of treatment options available for prostate cancer by sufficiently remunerating attendance items.

The Committee recommends keeping prolonged attendance items unchanged (Group A5, items 160-164) as these items are for attendances from one to five hours (longer than the recommended time tiers) and are for specific clinical scenarios.

- The Committee recognises that schedule fees for attendance items take non-patient-facing time into account. This may include making notes, accessing results or contacting peers. The methodology for calculating schedule fees is outside the scope of the Committee.

- Non-patient-facing time should not contribute to the duration of the attendance and the calculation of schedule fees for attendance items should take non-patient-facing time into account. The Committee notes that this does not account for differences in non-patient-facing time between specialties, and that consumers do not always recognise need for or cost of the non-patient-facing component of attendances.
4.7.1 Alternative options to time-tiering

The Committee considered introducing three levels of attendance items, based on the proportion of MBS income derived from attendance items (with Level 1 receiving the lowest schedule fee):

- Level 1: Less than 40 per cent of income derived from attendances.
- Level 2: Between 40 and 65 per cent of income derived from attendances.
- Level 3: More than 65 per cent of income derived from attendances.

The Committee did not endorse this approach for the following reasons:

- Specialist groups would need to be categorised based on MBS income derived from attendance items at a single point in time, meaning that future changes in clinical practice would not be reflected in the model.
- There are large sub-specialty differences in use of attendance items and this approach would not accurately reflect the distinct and variable differences across sub-specialties.
- Such a system is not clear or defensible to consumers, to whom MBS payments are made.

4.8 Recommendation 2 – Introduce new attendance items for acute, urgent and unplanned attendances

The Committee recommends:

a. creating four new time-tiered attendance items for acute, urgent, and unplanned attendances;

b. specifying that these items are only to be used in specific situations where the attendance is acute, urgent, unplanned, and does not take place in the consultant specialist’s consulting rooms or in the emergency department of a public hospital;

c. specifying that the duration of these time tiers should be the same as Levels B, C, D, and E used for standard attendance items (refer to Recommendation 1);

d. a schedule fee for these items that is higher than the schedule fee for standard time-tiered attendances of equivalent duration; and

e. that these items should be restricted from being claimed alongside prolonged attendance items 160-164 where the patient is in imminent danger of death.

Table 5: Acute attendance item descriptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XY1</td>
<td>5-20 minutes</td>
<td>Professional attendance of more than [X] minutes but not more than [X] minutes by a consultant specialist that is acute, urgent, unplanned, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XY2</td>
<td>21-40 minutes</td>
<td>does not take place in consulting rooms or in the emergency department of a public hospital, and where the patient is:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XY3</td>
<td>41-60 minutes</td>
<td>a) at risk of serious morbidity or mortality requiring urgent assessment and resuscitation; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XY4</td>
<td>More than 60 minutes</td>
<td>b) suffering from suspected acute organ or system failure; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c) suffering from an illness or injury where the viability or function of a body part or organ is acutely threatened; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d) suffering from a potentially life-threatening complication of an infection (i.e., sepsis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>e) suffering from a drug overdose, toxic substance or toxin effect; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>f) experiencing severe psychiatric disturbance whereby the health of the patient or other people is at immediate risk; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g) suffering acute severe pain where the viability or function of a body part or organ is suspected to be acutely threatened; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>h) suffering acute significant haemorrhage requiring urgent assessment and treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not to be claimed with prolonged attendance items 160, 161, 162, 163, or 164

4.9 Rationale 2

This recommendation focuses on reimbursing acute, urgent, and unplanned attendances through the introduction of new attendance items. It is based on the following:

- The Committee notes that acute attendances are different to other standard attendances, in that they:
  - are acute, urgent, and unplanned in nature and may result in a consultant specialist postponing or cancelling a previously scheduled procedural list, theatre list or attendances in consulting rooms; and
  - often involve multiple face-to-face patient interactions, as well as conversations with multiple other medical professionals (for example, chasing down results of investigations ordered at the initial touchpoint with the patient) and liaising with carers to access patient information.

- The Committee therefore recommends a schedule fee for these items that is higher than the schedule fee for standard time-tiered attendances of equivalent duration.

- The Committee has attempted to be as comprehensive as possible in drafting a list of clinical scenarios for appropriate use of these items to prevent potential misuse.

4.10 Recommendation 3 - Further considerations when implementing time-tiering

The Committee recommends the following be considered when moving towards implementation:
a. collecting data on the duration of attendances across specialties, and the activities performed during these attendances, similar to the data collected from GPs through the Bettering the Evaluation and Care of Health program (BEACH data);

b. using the data to accurately model the impact of time-tiering on service volume and benefits;

c. investing in change management to facilitate the transition to the new items and build understanding of the benefits of the time-tiering model;

d. making the model simple to provide transparency and consistency for doctors and consumers;

e. adding a longer time tier (i.e. Level F) should the need be identified. If introduced, this should accompany an estimate of services claimed through this tier;

f. ensuring early, active, and regular reviews of attendance items post implementation of time-tiering, including:

i. potential impact on out-of-pocket patient fees;

ii. potential impact on patient waiting lists, either as a result of longer attendances being performed or as a result of consultant specialists being less incentivised to take on new patients; and

iii. patient-reported experience and outcomes.

g. making the item descriptors sufficiently detailed to enable auditing through the review of clinical notes, allowing verification that activities of sufficient complexity were performed, as stated in the descriptors;

h. harmonising all attendance time tiers in the MBS that apply to other consultant specialists (including psychiatry, obstetrics, anaesthesia, intensive care, and emergency medicine); and

i. setting time-tiers that reduce the incentive for consultant specialists to claim a higher time-tier (for example, by having the most common attendance times fall near the middle of each tier).

4.11 Recommendation 4 – Approach to fee setting

The Committee recommends consideration of the following with regard to schedule fees (recognising that fee-setting is out of the Committee’s scope):

a. building support among peak bodies, clinicians and consumers for the principles of time-tiering before introducing schedule fees;
b. ensuring a linear relationship between attendance time tiers and schedule fees from the outset;

c. recognising that significant non-patient-facing time is spent on each attendance; and

d. recognising that there is a lack of data on the current duration of consultant specialist attendance times and the activities performed in these attendances.

4.12 Rationale 4

This recommendation focuses on assisting the fee setting process to set appropriate fees. It is based on the following:

- The Committee agreed that it was going to be necessary to work closely with providers in order to achieve effective and sustainable outcomes.

- The Committee recognises that there is no single “optimum attendance time”, given the wide variation that exists both between and within specialties. Attempting to structure schedule fees to incentivise an optimum attendance time is not recommended.

- The Committee notes that significant non-patient-facing time is spent on each attendance, for example, reviewing results prior to seeing patients, writing to the referring doctor, writing management plans.

- The Provider Benefits Integrity Division (PBID) has explained to the Committee that non-patient-facing time is included in the calculation of schedule fees for MBS items, and has recommended that non-patient-facing time is not specified in item descriptors, as this is difficult to audit, and again will vary across different specialist groups.

- The Committee notes that there is a lack of data on the current duration of consultant specialist attendance times and the activities performed in these attendances. This lack of data reduces the ability to accurately set time tiers and schedule fees, and to predict the impact of these recommendations on individual specialties.
5 Complex plan recommendations

5.1 The complex plan context

The MBS has eight items related to the development of complex plans. These items accounted for 1.7 million services and $318 million in benefits in 2016/17. The majority of complex plan benefits and services relate to consultant physician items 132 and 133 (93% of benefits, 96% of services).

Complex plan items specify the assessment, diagnosis and development of a comprehensive management plan for patients and enable the referring clinician and the patient to better manage everyday health care. The items cover:

- Consultant physician complex plan (items 132 and 133) - attendances of at least 45 minutes and 20 minutes, respectively, for the creation and review of a complex plan.

- Paediatric complex plan (items 135 and 137) - attendances of at least 45 minutes for a child aged under 13 years with autism or another pervasive developmental disorder (item 135) or an eligible disability (item 137).

These items act as gatekeepers for a package of allied health professional services including four allied health assessment services and 20 allied health treatment services per eligible child.

- Geriatric complex plan (items 141, 143, 145 and 147) - attendances of more than 60 minutes and 30 minutes, respectively, for the creation and review of a complex plan (two items each for attendances at consulting rooms/hospital or elsewhere).

- Addiction medicine complex plan (items 6023 and 6024) - attendances of at least 45 minutes and 20 minutes, respectively, for the creation and review of a complex plan.

- Sexual health medicine complex plan (items 6057 and 6058) - attendances of at least 45 minutes and 20 minutes, respectively, for the creation and review of a complex plan.

5 See item level data in Appendix A.2.
5.2 Recommendation 5 - Removing consultant physician, geriatric, addiction medicine, and sexual health medicine complex plan items

The Committee recommends removing consultant physician, geriatric, addiction medicine, and sexual health medicine complex plan items from the MBS (items 132, 133, 141, 143, 145, 147, 6023, 6024, 6057, and 6058).

5.3 Rationale 5

This recommendation focuses on removing the incentive to inappropriately create and claim a complex plan item and on incorporating the creation of complex plans into standard time-tiered attendances. It is based on the following:

- Item 132 was introduced to the MBS in 2007 with the intention of supporting patients with chronic and complex conditions through the creation of a comprehensive management plan by consultant specialists that would enable ongoing management by the referring practitioner (usually the patient’s GP).

- In the past five years, there has been rapid growth in use of item 132 (12 per cent compound annual growth rate). Evidence suggests that the item is not being used for the development of a management plan for use by the referring practitioner. This is demonstrated by the fact that 41 per cent of patients who receive a complex plan do not visit the referring practitioner in the following six months, which suggests that care has not been appropriately handed over.6

- The Committee considered the option of keeping these items in the MBS with new claiming restrictions (stipulating that it must be requested by the referring practitioner and not initiated by the consultant specialist) and with a recommended schedule fee set relative to one of the longer standard time-tiered attendance items. However, no strong rationale was brought forward as to why these items represented a unique, discrete service that could not be performed under the new time-tiered items.

- The Committee also notes that management plans should be additive and synergistic, building on the initial plan that was created, rather than being separately created and existing as a stand-alone entity. Specifically, it was noted that the consultant specialist should build upon a consumer’s General Practice Management Plan (where one is in place) and relate directly to the patient’s goals.

6 Medicare data 2016-17
5.4 Recommendation 6 - Appropriate access to paediatric complex plan items

The Committee recommends:

a. item 289 to be referred to the Psychiatry Clinical Committee;

b. amend item 135 descriptor for paediatric complex plan (changes in bold) to:

**Item 135**

Professional attendance of at least 60 minutes in duration at consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty of paediatrics, following referral of the patient to the consultant by a referring practitioner, for assessment, diagnosis and preparation of a treatment and management plan for a patient aged under 13 years with autism or another neurodevelopmental disorder, if the consultant paediatrician does all of the following:

a. undertakes a comprehensive assessment for the purposes of making a diagnosis (if appropriate, using information provided by an eligible allied health provider),

b. develops a treatment and management plan, which must include the following:

(i) an assessment for the **purposes of making a diagnosis** of the patient’s condition;

(ii) a risk assessment;

(iii) treatment options and decisions;

(iv) if necessary-medical recommendations;

c. provides a copy of the treatment and management plan to:

(i) the referring practitioner; and

(ii) one or more allied health providers, if appropriate, for the treatment of the patient;

(other than attendance on a patient for whom payment has previously been made under this item or item 137, 139 or 289)”
c. amend the explanatory notes to include the following:

**Explanatory Note – Item 135**

The item is intended for the initial assessment of patients where by the complexity of the condition is characterised by severe multi-domain cognitive and functional disabilities, delay or impairment”

The following conditions are examples of neurodevelopment disorders for which the item is intended (but not limited to):

- a. Fetal Alcohol Syndrome Disorder (FASD)
- b. Fragile X Syndrome
- c. Rett’s Syndrome
- d. Lesch-Nyhan Syndrome
- e. Cornelia de Lange Syndrome
- f. Prader-Willi Syndrome
- g. Angelman Syndrome
- h. 22 q deletion Syndrome (previously Velocardiofacial Syndrome)
- i. Smith-Magenis Syndrome
- j. Williams Syndrome

The following conditions are examples of conditions for which the item is not intended, as they can be assessed with a standard paediatric consultation:

- a. Stand-alone diagnosis of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder without other severe neurodevelopmental co-morbidities or co-existing multi-domain disabilities.

---

d. that expert bodies are consulted to agree on an appropriate definition of complexity and severity, and which domains of cognitive and functional impairment should be considered.

**5.5 Rationale 6**

This recommendation focuses on allowing appropriate access to paediatric complex plan items and their associated AHP services for appropriate patients, including for children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD) and other complex neurodevelopmental disorders. It is based on the following:
The Committee considered a policy paper put forward by the Department on the most appropriate use of MBS items to fund services for FASD. This included input from external stakeholders, including the Royal Australasian College of Physicians (RACP), the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists (RANZCP), the Australian and New Zealand Association of Paediatric Surgeons (ANZAPS), the Department of Social Services (DSS) and the National Disability Insurance Agency (NDIA).

A number of approaches were put forward by these stakeholders, including the following:

- Allow services for FASD to be claimed under existing MBS items.
- Recognise that existing items use outdated terminology (the term pervasive developmental disorder, or PDD) and update these in line with contemporary clinical practice.
- Add a new MBS item for FASD as the population is different to what the current items cover.

Members from the Committee and the Psychiatry Clinical Committee discussed this topic and decided to implement the second approach, updating the terminology in items 135 and 289 so that FASD and other complex neurodevelopmental disorders can be claimed under these items and including the intended specific conditions in the explanatory notes. This option was chosen because it presents an opportunity for outdated terminology to be updated, and for both paediatricians and psychiatrists to have access to MBS items for FASD and other complex neurodevelopmental disorders.

The Committee agrees that the item is intended to relate to any neurodevelopmental disorders, as defined by severity and complexity rather than by diagnostic labels. The Committee recognises that there are conditions and situations where this item is not appropriate, such as for standalone Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder with no other complex or severe neurodevelopmental co-morbidities where standard consultation assessments are more appropriate.

The Committee agrees that the requirement to confirm a diagnosis at the initial assessment should be changed to “for the purposes of diagnosis”, as a definitive diagnosis may only be confirmed in subsequent attendances and after all relevant multidisciplinary diagnostic assessments are completed.
6 Telehealth recommendations

6.1 Current telehealth framework

The MBS has 17 telehealth attendance items with 67,000 services provided in conjunction with an existing consultation item in FY2016/17. These items include:

- Nine telehealth loading items valued at 50 per cent of the schedule fee for the attendance item with which they are co-claimed, accounting for more than 98 per cent of telehealth service volume and spend.
- Eight items for telehealth attendances under 10 minutes, accounting for just 159 services in 2016/17.

The Committee noted that the 2011 telehealth incentive scheme and loading items have been successful in capturing early adopters, with almost 2,000 providers using these items in 2016/17. However, the Committee recognises that barriers to uptake persist, as evidenced by the significant slowing of growth in services (from 167 per cent growth in the first year of implementation down to 8 per cent growth last year).

There are currently two applications of telehealth in Australia:

- Patient supported by a health professional: A health professional (for example, a GP, nurse practitioner or physiotherapist) is with the patient for the telehealth attendance. This creates a communication bridge between consumers, primary care and consultant specialists, minimises the number of times a patient has to “tell their story”, and allows for a more complex examination than can be undertaken if the patient is alone.
- Directly with the patient: This item is better suited to providing ongoing or follow-up care, is more cost-effective, and increases access to consultant specialist services for patients.

7 See item-level data for all telehealth attendances in Appendix - A.3.

8 One item each for specialists, consultant physicians, occupational medicine, pain medicine, palliative care, neurosurgery, addiction medicine and sexual health medicine.
6.2 Benefits of telehealth

The Committee recognises that there are huge benefits to be gained from the uptake and appropriate use of telehealth, including:

- Increased access for patients in rural and remote areas, and for those who may find it difficult to attend consulting rooms or a hospital (for example, consumers with significant mobility challenges, or parents who have a child with a disability).
- Reduced travel time and costs for patients, resulting in patient savings, fewer travel grants and fewer days off work.
- Reduced travel time and costs for doctors, resulting in saved clinician days.

6.3 Barriers to telehealth growth

Recognising the significant slow-down in growth of services, the Committee has noted significant barriers to the increased adoption of telehealth, particularly patient and primary care awareness and consultant specialists’ perception of telehealth.

Patients may not have access to information about when to request telehealth, how to access it, and a clear understanding of its benefits.

GPs may not be aware of the patient population groups that would benefit most from telehealth, when to recommend it to these patients, and how to integrate it into their practice.

Primary care workers may not be aware of existing MBS items for providing clinical support to a patient who is participating in a telehealth attendance.

Clinicians may be unwilling to change their clinical practice to adopt telehealth and may not be convinced of its effectiveness (3). There may be a lack of understanding of the functionality and security of telehealth.

Telehealth also requires additional technology and administrative support to enable efficient delivery, such as telehealth equipment, scheduling software, and mechanisms to collate and email patient records and investigation results. These technical issues may be regarded as significant barriers to access to potential provider users.

6.4 Recommendation 7 – A new framework for telehealth

The Committee recommends:

a. Removing the eight specialty-specific telehealth attendance items (items 113, 114, 384, 2799, 3003, 6004, 6025, and 6059) from the MBS;

b. Incrementally reducing derived fee for the nine telehealth loading items loading items (items 99, 112, 149, 389, 2820, 3015, 6016, 6026, and 6060) to zero;
c. undertaking annual analysis of the phase out so to identify potential unintended consequences; and

d. introducing new telehealth-specific attendance items (after the nine loading items have been removed) that mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items, with the same fees, and with item descriptors that describe recommended activities to be performed in each tier.

Table 6: Telehealth attendance item descriptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level (item)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Level B** (THB) | 6-20 minutes | Professional attendance of more than 5 minutes but not more than 20 minutes by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality if:  
  a) the attendance is by video conference; and  
  b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and  
  c) the patient:  
    i. is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance--at least 15 km by road from the consultant specialist; or  
    ii. is a care recipient in a residential care service; or  
    iii. is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies.  
  An attendance including any of the following that are clinically relevant:  
  a) a focused patient history  
  b) implementing a management plan  
  c) outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner |
| **Level C** (THC) | 21-40 minutes | Professional attendance of more than 20 minutes but not more than 40 minutes by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality if:  
  d) the attendance is by video conference; and  
  e) the patient is not an admitted patient; and  
  f) the patient:  
    iv. is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance--at least 15 km by road from the consultant specialist; or  
    v. is a care recipient in a residential care service; or  
    vi. is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a |

---

9 Item numbers listed here indicate a structure for the DHS to follow when assigning item numbers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level (item)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An attendance including any of the following that are clinically relevant:

a) detailed patient history of a major single or multiple minor conditions

b) single or multiple minor diagnostic problems considered

c) a non-complex management plan and, if required;

d) discussion of multiple treatment options available, including;
   i. Discussion of treatment options to assess pros and cons of each option given patient characteristics and medical history
   ii. Consideration and discussion of necessary referrals to other health professionals
   iii. Written documentation made available for the patient and/or carer that outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits

e) outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner

**Level D (THC)**

| 41-60 minutes | Professional attendance of **more than 40 minutes but not more than 60 minutes** by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality if: |

   g) the attendance is by video conference; and
   h) the patient is not an admitted patient; and
   i) the patient:
   vii. is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance--at least 15 km by road from the consultant specialist; or
   viii. is a care recipient in a residential care service; or
   ix. is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies.

An attendance including any of the following that are clinically relevant:

a) comprehensive patient history of multiple conditions or a complex single condition

b) multiple diagnostic problems considered

c) a comprehensive management plan and, if required;

d) discussion of multiple treatment options available, including;
   i. Discussion of treatment options to assess pros and cons of each option given patient characteristics and medical history
   ii. Consideration and discussion of necessary referrals to other health professionals
   iii. Written documentation made available for the patient and/or carer that outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits

e) Outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner

**Level E**

<p>| More | Professional attendance of <strong>more than 60 minutes</strong> by a consultant |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level (item)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| THE         | less than 60 minutes | specialist in the practice of his or her speciality if:  
j) the attendance is by video conference; and  
k) the patient is not an admitted patient; and  
l) the patient:  
x. is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance--at least 15 km by road from consultant specialist; or  
xii. is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies.  
An attendance including any of the following that are clinically relevant:  
a) extensive history of multiple complex conditions  
b) multiple complex diagnoses considered  
c) a comprehensive management plan and, if required;  
d) discussion of multiple treatment options available, including;  
i. Discussion of treatment options to assess pros and cons of each option given patient characteristics and medical history  
ii. Consideration and discussion of necessary referrals to other health professionals  
iii. Written documentation made available for the patient and/or carer that outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits  
e) Outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner |

6.5 Recommendation 8 – Reinvest in telehealth

The Committee recommends reinvesting all savings from removing the telehealth loading towards non-MBS mechanisms designed that increase uptake of telehealth services in Australia via the following:

a. increase utilisation of telehealth services among consumers, GPs and PHNs, by:
   
i. developing and sharing the value proposition of telehealth with consumers, including the potential savings in time and travel costs;  
ii. funding PHNs and consumer representatives (community champions) to carry out telehealth education and awareness building in targeted communities (for example, where GPs already provide telehealth);  
iii. educating GPs and PHNs to identify and promote telehealth to patient population groups that would most benefit from telehealth attendances—
both those held directly with the specialist (for example, follow-up care) and those supported by a health professional (for example, more complex cases or where health literacy may be a challenge);

iv. investing in education and training of primary care workers, including telehealth training days and the development of training material (for example, online modules); and

v. promoting the use of MBS items that already exist for primary care workers to provide clinical support to patients participating in consultant specialist telehealth attendances (Category 8 of the MBS, Groups M12, M13, and M14).

b. increasing the supply of telehealth services offered by consultant specialists, by:

i. developing the value proposition of telehealth for providers and sharing this with provider population groups that are most likely to offer telehealth services;

ii. educating consultant specialists to identify and promote telehealth to patient population groups that would most benefit from telehealth attendances;

iii. developing materials on how to set up and run telehealth services;

iv. coordinating with Colleges to promote telehealth education and training, including awarding CPD points for telehealth training;

v. encouraging Colleges to educate consultant specialists on the benefits of telehealth, how to set it up, and when it should be used; and

vi. developing guidelines and tools to determine and resolve clinical governance issues.

6.6 Rationale 7 & 8

This recommendation focuses on removing a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services. It is based on the following:

- Telehealth is already a cost-effective way of delivering care. A number of systematic reviews have found that telehealth is a cost-effective way of delivering care (4), and follow-up via telehealth has been shown to have lower associated costs than in-person clinic assessment (5) (6). A study by Marsh et al. in 2014 showed that patients followed up after hip surgery via telehealth travelled less (28km versus 104km) and had lower
associated costs ($10 versus $21), and that attendances took less total time to complete (122 minutes versus 229 minutes). Smaller studies have also shown that less time is spent on telehealth attendances, compared to face-to-face attendances.

- The Committee also noted that many countries and health services, including Finland (5), British Colombia (6) and the UK (7), have built successful telehealth services without providing any financial incentive to physicians (Figure 5).

**Figure 5: How are telehealth attendances reimbursed in other geographies?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Payment mechanism</th>
<th>Tariff</th>
<th>Requirements/ Restrictions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Item on reimbursement catalogue since April 17</td>
<td>Additional technology subsidy of 4.21 EUR for every hour of video consultation provided up to 800 EUR annually on top of the reimbursement for a standard in person attendance</td>
<td>- Can only be used by certain doctor groups (GPs, ophthalmologists)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Only for certain suitable indications (e.g. Visual postoperative follow-up of an operation wound)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>KELA (Finnish Social Insurance Institution) started reimbursement for digital appointments in 2018</td>
<td>Cost of tele-consultations varies from EUR10.5 per 10 min up to EUR27 for 60 min, same as for face-to-face visits</td>
<td>- No restrictions stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>The medical service commission payment schedule includes telehealth consultation items (in specialty chapters)</td>
<td>Varies by specialty but is the same as the standard consultation item for that specialty</td>
<td>- &quot;Telehealth Service&quot; is defined as a medical practitioner delivered health service provided to a patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Canada)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Teleconsultations (video or phone) for outpatient specialist care have been available since 2008-09, and are funded with the same DRG code as face-to-face appointment</td>
<td>Diagnoses-related group payment that differs by specialty, and by single vs multiple professional and first vs follow up appointment. No telehealth uplift.</td>
<td>- No restrictions stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Currently, 2-3% of outpatient consultation are coded as teleconsultations (2% for 1st attendance, 3% for follow-up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The majority are telephone consultations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Telehealth loading is not the optimal mechanism to incentivise physician uptake. In Australia, growth in utilisation of telehealth for consultations has slowed significantly since the introduction of the loading items in 2011\(^\text{10}\), indicating that they are no longer incentivising provider uptake of telehealth. Physicians cite a lack of acceptance of telehealth as the main barrier to uptake.\(^\text{11}\)

\(^\text{10}\) MBS data 2011/12 to 2016/17

\(^\text{11}\) Wade et al. (2014) conducted a qualitative study of 36 Australian telehealth services and concluded that physician acceptance of telehealth was the main driver of low uptake.
Consumers lack awareness of telehealth services and lack trust in telehealth. Bradford et al. (8) conducted a study in rural Queensland in 2015 which showed that 60 per cent of participants were aware of telehealth, but only 13 per cent had used telehealth services. The authors concluded that greater public awareness and understanding of the potential benefits of telehealth was needed.
7 Case conference recommendations

7.1 Current case conferencing framework

The MBS has 55 case conference items. These items accounted for over 350,000 services and almost $22 million in benefits in 2016/17. The items can be categorised as follows:

- Six consultant physician community case conference items, with durations of 15 to 30 minutes, 30 to 45 minutes and at least 45 minutes, as well as separate items for organisers and participants.

- Six consultant physician discharge case conference items, with durations of 15 to 30 minutes, 30 to 45 minutes and at least 45 minutes, as well as separate items for organisers and participants.

- Two specialist/consultant physician case conference items for patients with cancer, with separate items for organisers and participants.

- Twelve pain medicine case conference items, with durations of 15 to 30 minutes, 30 to 45 minutes and at least 45 minutes, as well as separate items for discharge and community conferences, and for organisers and participants.

- Twelve palliative medicine case conference items, with durations of 15 to 30 minutes, 30 to 45 minutes and at least 45 minutes, as well as separate items for discharge and community conferences, and for organisers and participants.

- One geriatric and rehabilitation medicine case conference item to coordinate a case conference of at least 10 minutes but less than 30 minutes.

- Eight addiction medicine community case conference items, with durations of less than 15 minutes, 15 to 30 minutes, 30 to 45 minutes and at least 45 minutes, as well as separate items for organisers and participants.

- Eight sexual health medicine community case conference items, with durations of less than 15 minutes, 15 to 30 minutes, 30 to 45 minutes and at least 45 minutes, as well as separate items for organised and participants.

Specific case conference items exist for pain medicine, palliative medicine, addiction medicine and sexual health medicine.

The current system is highly complex and can be difficult to navigate for providers and patients.
7.2 Recommendation 9 - Introduce a new framework of case conference items and allow access to all consultant specialists

The Committee recommends:

a. introducing a new simplified framework of case conference items, featuring three types:
   i. discharge planning case conferences - a case conference to facilitate better post-discharge care and communication;
   ii. community case conferences - a case conference to facilitate the provision of better multidisciplinary care; and
   iii. treatment planning case conferences (new) - a case conference that explores and analyses potential treatment options and their respective benefits.

b. restructuring current case conference items, by:
   i. Replacing “Cancer planning” conferences with “treatment planning” conferences; broadening use of these items to other conditions that require treatment planning with peer medical practitioners but are not cancer diagnoses.
   ii. Removing specialty-specific case conference items - with the exception of item 880 for geriatrics and rehabilitation medicine – with consultant specialists instead accessing the three case conference categories listed above.

c. updating existing discharge and community case conference items (items 820, 822, 823, 825, 826 and 828, and items 830, 832, 834, 835, 837 and 838) descriptors to:
   i. Allow all consultant specialists to claim these items.
   ii. Require:
      1. mandatory GP (or delegate) participation;
   
      OR
   
      2. mandatory review of outcomes and communication of any proposed changes to the patient and to the case conference organiser.
   
   iii. Require mandatory patient (or delegate) invitation to participate. Their attendance should be made possible if the patient chooses to do so.
   
   iv. Require outcomes to be documented in writing, including agreed, shared decisions and informed consent.
v. Stipulate that participants have the option to attend face to face, by videoconference, or over the telephone.

vi. Recommend that outcomes be uploaded to My Health Record by the GP (or delegate).

d. update explanatory notes to:

i. include that the GP may not always be the clinician who is the primary care provider for the patient (e.g. complex paediatric patients are sometimes managed by a general or community paediatrician) and that in such cases, there should be mandatory participation of the patient’s primary care provider; and

ii. clarify that GP participation or review of outcomes should not be a pre-requisite for the item being claimed by other participants

e. introduce six new treatment planning case conference items to discuss available treatment options with peer medical practitioners to:

i. Require the organiser to send pre-briefing material to participants prior to the conference, and to prepare a written document for the conference that outlines treatment options.

ii. Encourage GP participation (either face to face, over the phone or by videoconference).

iii. Stipulate that while final treatment decisions must be made together with the patient (unless there are exceptional circumstances), patient participation in initial treatment option discussion is not mandatory.

iv. Recommend that outcomes be uploaded to My Health Records, with the responsibility for uploading resting with the case conference organiser (refer to Recommendation 13).

f. maintain the current distinction between organiser/coordinator and participant roles;

g. introduce a new time tier for less than 15 minutes for each of the three categories; and

h. stipulate a minimum of 3 attendees of different disciplines at each case conference, which can include consultant specialists, GPs, AHPs, and nurse practitioners (refer to Recommendation 8) but should not include patients or carers.

To assist in interpreting this recommendation the Committee has provided new item descriptors in Table 7.
Table 7: Case conference item descriptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>New item descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>82X (new)</td>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>Organise and coordinate</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her specialty to [insert role] a <strong>community case conference</strong> of at least [X] minutes but less than [X] minutes, requiring:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820</td>
<td>15–30 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>a) Specialist input to the management of a complex patient in the community; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b) Mandatory GP (or delegate) invitation and i) participation, or ii) review of outcomes and communication of any proposed changes to the patient and to the case conference organiser; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c) Mandatory patient (or delegate) invitation and to make it possible for them to attend; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d) At least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines to be present; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>e) Outcomes to be documented in writing, including shared decisions made and informed consent sought; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>f) A copy of the case conference outcomes to be uploaded to My Health Record, unless patient consent is withdrawn, and where reasonably achievable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All participants must be in communication with each other throughout the conference, either face-to-face, by telephone or by videoconference, or a combination of these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82Y (new)</td>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>Participate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>825</td>
<td>15–30 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>826</td>
<td>30–45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>828</td>
<td>&gt;45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83X (new)</td>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>Organise and coordinate</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her specialty to [insert role] a <strong>discharge case conference</strong> of at least [X] minutes but less than [X] minutes, requiring:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>830</td>
<td>15–30 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>a) The development and approval of a discharge management plan for transfer of care to the community setting and self-management; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>832</td>
<td>30–45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>b) Mandatory GP (or delegate) invitation and i) participation, or ii) review of outcomes and communication of any proposed changes to the patient and to the case conference organiser; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>834</td>
<td>&gt; 45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>c) Mandatory patient (or delegate) invitation and to make it possible for them to attend; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83Y (new)</td>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>Participate</td>
<td>d) At least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>835</td>
<td>15–30 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>e) Outcomes to be documented in writing, including shared decisions made and informed consent sought; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>837</td>
<td>30–45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Role</td>
<td>New item descriptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8TA</td>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>Organise and coordinate</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her specialty to [insert role] a <strong>treatment planning case conference</strong> of at least [X] minutes but less than [X] minutes, requiring:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a) Discussion of treatment options, including risks and benefits, for patients who have been diagnosed but not yet received treatment, or where a significant change in ongoing treatment requires MDT input; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b) Written pre-brief materials to be sent prior to the conference that outlines available treatment options; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c) Final treatment decisions to be made together with the patient (unless there are exceptional circumstances); and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d) At least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines to be present; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>e) Outcomes to be documented in writing, including shared decisions made and informed consent sought; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>f) A copy of the case conference outcomes to be uploaded to My Health Record, unless patient consent is withdrawn, and where reasonably achievable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15–30 minutes</td>
<td>Participate</td>
<td>All participants must be in communication with each other throughout the conference, either face-to-face, by telephone or by videoconference, or a combination of these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8TB</td>
<td>30–45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8TC</td>
<td>More than 45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8TD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8TE</td>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>Participate</td>
<td>All participants must be in communication with each other throughout the conference, either face-to-face, by telephone or by videoconference, or a combination of these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8TF</td>
<td>15–30 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8TG</td>
<td>30–45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8TH</td>
<td>More than 45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.3 Rationale 9

This recommendation focuses on increasing the use of multidisciplinary case conferences, encouraging GP participation, and encouraging shared decision-making with patients. It is based on the following:

- The Committee believes that case conference items should reflect the full breadth of clinical needs for multidisciplinary care. The item descriptors should clearly specify the requirements for participants and the outputs of a case conference.

- The Committee recommends mandatory GP (or delegate) invitation and either participation or review of outcomes for discharge and community case conferences.
GPs are critical participants in case conferences, providing contextual knowledge of the patient and improving post-MDT outcomes. However, currently only 0.7 per cent of community case conferences include a GP.

The Committee acknowledges the logistical challenges of GPs attending all community and discharge case conferences. If a GP (or delegate) cannot attend, the Committee recommends mandatory review of the case conference outcomes by the GP and communication of any proposed changes to the patient and to the case conference organiser. The Committee notes that GP participation or review of outcomes should not be a pre-requisite for the item being claimed by the consultant specialist.

Noting a current mistaken perception that case conferences must be attended in person, the Committee also recommends including reference to attendance over the phone and via videoconferencing in the item descriptor.

The Committee recommends mandatory patient (or delegate) invitation to discharge and community case conferences and to make it possible for them to attend if they so choose.

Patients should be informed of treatment options and the associated health and economic outcomes, including the option to refuse treatment. An effective plan starts with the consumer’s goals and priorities.

Clinicians should discuss and provide patients with written information on guideline-endorsed treatment options for their condition, along with the clinician’s view of what is appropriate in the patient’s context. This is critical to ensuring informed consent as patients make critical decisions about their treatment options.

The Committee recommends new treatment planning case conference items.

Multidisciplinary care is a critical component of integrated care. Developing the right treatment plan for complex patients has been demonstrated to improve outcomes for patients with chronic illnesses who require acute care planning (9) (10).

The Committee recognises that there are many conditions that require a case conference for treatment planning (for example, inflammatory bowel disease) and that access to the benefits of treatment planning case conferences should not be limited to patients with cancer.

The Committee notes that treatment planning may be more efficient if clinicians initially explore treatment options as a clinical team, recognising that final treatment decisions should always be made together with the patient/delegate.
For this reason, the Committee did not recommend mandatory invitation of patients to treatment planning case conferences.

- The Committee recommends keeping item 880 for geriatrics and rehabilitation medicine in the MBS with no change, as this item is used for ongoing subacute care of inpatients and would not fit neatly into the three categories of case conferences (i.e., community, discharge, and treatment planning). This item also has a much lower schedule fee compared to other case conference items and accounted for 33% of all case conferences in 2016/17.

- The Committee recommends introducing a new time tier for case conferences of less than 15 minutes duration. Many Committee members noted that case conferences where the treatment plan was straightforward often last just 5-10 minutes. This time tier also already exists for addiction medicine practitioners, who use it much more often than any of the longer time tiers.

- The Committee has recommended a minimum number of three attendees of different disciplines for each case conference. The MBS currently states that there must be a minimum of three attendees to claim a participant item and four attendees to claim an organiser/coordinator item. The Committee was of the opinion that changing this to a minimum of three attendees for all items was a simpler approach.

7.4 **Recommendation 10 - Introduce case conference items for allied health professionals (AHPs) and nurse practitioners**

The Committee recommends that AHPs who access these items should be limited to those who are eligible to access AHP items under Group M3 of the MBS, including:

- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health practitioners, Aboriginal health workers, audiologists, chiropractors, diabetes educators, dietitians, exercise physiologists, mental health nurses, occupational therapists, osteopaths, physiotherapists, podiatrists, psychologists, social workers, and speech pathologists.

*Note: Full descriptors for these items can be viewed in the final GPPCCC report.*

7.5 **Rationale 10**

This recommendation focuses on recognising the role of AHPs and nurse practitioners in multidisciplinary patient care. It is based on the following:

- The Committee supports the recommendation put forward by the GPPCCC to create new items for attendance at community and discharge case conferences for both AHPs and nurse practitioners.
The Committee recognises that AHPs and nurse practitioners often have a central role to play in patient care, particularly in a community setting.

7.6 **Recommendation 11 – Referral for examination of informed financial consent**

The Committee recommends that the Principles and Rules Committee examine the issue of informed financial consent for out-of-pocket fees charged with case conference items.

7.7 **Rationale 11**

This recommendation focuses on enabling patients to give informed financial consent for case conference items. It is based on the following:

- Allowing all consultant specialists to access case conference items and introducing new items for AHPs and nurse practitioners will increase usage of case conference items, and may have impact on out-of-pocket costs for patients.

- However, the Committee recognises that patients often have no control over (and may not be aware of) who attends their case conference, and are often unable to provide informed financial consent for any associated out-of-pocket costs.

- The Committee also notes that it is not possible to prohibit clinicians from charging out-of-pocket fees for case conferences.
8 Use of data to inform quality care and patient informed choice and consent recommendations

8.1 The role of data in patient care

The Committee recognises that using data to inform quality care and improving the patient consent process are mechanisms to support quality consultative care. The Committee does not consider the MBS the primary vehicle for addressing this issue and has therefore made both MBS and non-MBS recommendations.

Clinicians and consumers are partners in health care, at the levels of individual care, service provision and health system governance. At the level of individual care, decisions must be informed by the clinician’s expert health knowledge and judgement and the patient’s unique knowledge, experience, needs, preferences and priorities. Shared decision-making by clinicians and patients (and carers/legal guardians/substitute decision-makers) is fundamental to informed consent (11). The Committee also acknowledges that it is ultimately the patient who manages their overall health and wellbeing and the consequences of any decisions made about their care, and so it logically follows that patients should participate in decision-making to the degree they are willing and able. It is incumbent on clinicians to support health literacy and engagement by creating care environments where people can actively participate in and agree on health care decisions that affect them.

Evaluating and improving the quality of care provided to patients is crucial in clinical practice. Alongside the requirements for improvement in outcomes and patient experience, there has also been considerable emphasis on informing patient choice and increasing patient involvement in all aspects of care. An important enabler of this is increasing the availability and transparency of data on individual and service-level practice (12). The Committee has therefore provided recommendations on how data can be used to monitor and improve quality of care, and enable informed consumer choice. The Committee also outlines the principles of informed patient consent.
8.2 **Recommendation 12 - Establish a national minimum data set to inform evidence-based clinical practice and inform patient choice**

The Committee recommends a step-wise approach to establishing a national minimum data set, through:

a. Creating linkages with the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care to support a data-driven picture of variation in clinical practice nationally.

b. Establishing a national minimum data set to record outcome and process data on topics such as mortality, morbidity, readmissions, and patient-reported outcomes. It is recommended the data be used to:
   i. benchmark internally and with peers (determined by the professional group) to drive quality improvement; and
   ii. inform patient choice of institution and consultant specialist (see further information in Recommendation 11).

c. Ensuring complete integrity in the accuracy of the data, the rigour of its analysis, and its appropriate risk-weighting before being used for the purposes of comparison. This includes, but should not be limited to, addressing the following challenges:
   i. newly qualified consultant specialists;
   ii. consultant specialists returning from a long leave of absence;
   iii. the effect of a complication where the incidence of that complication is extremely low;
   iv. consultant specialists who treat low volume disease of high complexity;
   v. the introduction of new technologies for a service;
   vi. the opening of new units (e.g., new operating theatre);
   vii. consultant specialists whose referral base provides high risk patients; and
   viii. complication “clusters”.

d. Progressing the minimum data set into a comprehensive data set, populated by data available from private health insurers and state public health systems.

e. Using the collection of homogenous data to inform colleges and peak bodies of trends in clinical practice so that CPD and other improvement levers can be more targeted.
f. Support clinical audits as a mandatory part of CPD and required attendance of 80 per cent of mortality and morbidity meetings.

8.3 Rationale 12

This recommendation focuses on establishing a consistent national minimum data set for the purposes of comparison that will inform evidence-based care and patient choice. It is based on the following:

- Clinical care should be evidence-based and data-driven.
- The provision of timely, relevant and reliable information on patient care to clinicians has been shown to support improvements in health care quality.
- The Committee recognises that the integrity of the data is crucial to this recommendation’s success, and its acceptance by the profession, individual clinicians, and consumers. All challenges identified by clinicians should be addressed prior to using the data for comparison purposes to minimise the possibility of the data set being discredited upon implementation.
- Many health care providers are not aware of how their clinical practice compares to that of their peers. Understanding variation in clinical practice is critical to improving the quality, value and appropriateness of health care.
- Data are fundamental to auditing, benchmarking and monitoring outcomes of care.
- Auditing and benchmarking are important quality assurance and quality improvement tools that can lead to reflection, learning and change in practice.

8.4 Recommendation 13 – Provide transparency on the cost and quality of consultant specialist services

The Committee recommends that:

a. MBS cost data, including data on out-of-pocket fees, is shared at an institutional and individual provider level;

b. consultant specialist risk-weighted outcome data discussed in Recommendation 10 is shared at an institutional and individual provider level;

   i. noting that outcome data at an individual provider level should only be shared when the challenges identified in Recommendation 10 have been addressed to ensure its integrity.

c. cost and outcome data are shared with the patient through their GP at the time of referral; and

d. the presentation of cost and outcome data should be co-designed with consumers and include a clear explanation of the data and its limitations.
8.5 Rationale 13

This recommendation focuses on providing patients with transparency on cost and outcomes when choosing an institution or consultant specialist, and seeks to support value-based health care. It is based on the following:

- Increasing the availability and transparency of data on service-level practice will inform choice and improve patient involvement in all aspects of care. It may also improve average standards of quality of care through competition between providers (13).
- Patients must have adequate information conveyed in appropriate language to make an informed decision on a preferred consultant specialist.
- Informed patient decision-making will increase competition so that price can reflect quality of care.
- Patients should have transparency on the full financial costs they will bear. A 2017 study by Freed and Allen (14) on out-of-pocket costs for an initial outpatient consultation showed wide variation. For example, there is variation in the mean, median and 10th/90th percentile levels of fees for an initial outpatient consultation between specialties. Mean fees for an initial consultation were less than $200 (including Medicare benefits and patient contribution) in only three of the 11 specialties.
- There are no data on quality of care in the outpatient setting, and patients do not have access to information on the range of appropriate fees and the value of the service they receive.
- There are global trends towards public reporting on quality and performance, with the objective of improving patient information and choice. In the United Kingdom’s (UK) National Health Service (NHS), the NHS Choices website has been established for patients to score providers and add commentary about their experience. In addition, surgeon-level, risk-adjusted information on mortality rates, waiting times and volumes of procedures is publicly available. GPs in the UK are legally required to offer patients a choice of consultant specialists for referral, along with the above information, under the “Any qualified provider” policy (15).

8.6 Recommendation 14 - Improve informed patient consent and shared decision-making practices

The Committee recommends:

a. including the following in standard attendance item descriptors (refer to Recommendation 1) when multiple treatment options are available:
i. discussion of patient treatment options to assess the risks and benefits of each option, given the patient’s characteristics and medical history;

ii. consideration and discussion of referrals to other health professionals; and

iii. a requirement for written documentation, made available to the patient and/or carer, which outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits.

b. that provider education on the patient consent process be promoted through:

i. colleges, using CPD as a lever; and

ii. increased patient awareness of the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care’s clinical standards, achieved via media campaigns and by informing GPs.

c. improving the consent process by including in provider education materials and other relevant materials the following information:

i. the aim of the informed consent discussion is to give a patient the information they need to make a decision about their treatment or procedure (if any)

ii. the discussion must be tailored to the individual patient

iii. informed consent during medical practice—on both treatment options and financial costs—is an essential component of comprehensive medical care. Patient authorisation is “informed” when the physician discloses and the patient understands the diagnosis, the relevant options for treatment (including no treatment) and any respective risks and benefits

iv. doctors should give advice, but there should be no coercion. The patient should be free to accept or reject the advice offered

v. clinicians should give information about the risks of any intervention, especially those that are likely to influence the patient’s decisions

vi. the informed consent process should be documented thoroughly, using an electronic medical record, procedure-specific consent forms, patient education materials and other options whenever possible, and

vii. mechanisms should be in place to monitor the quality of the patient consent process, such as peer review and patient reported outcomes.
8.7 Rationale 14

This recommendation focuses on improving the patient consent process and encouraging shared decision-making during consultant specialist attendances. It is based on the following:

- The Committee recognises that consent processes may vary in different clinical situations (16), but the basic principle remains the same: the provider must outline any material risk and benefit to the patient (17).

- To protect the rights of patients and guide ethical practice, informed consent is essential, particularly where there is a high rate of interventions associated with potential side effect.

- To support shared decision-making, the Committee believes that the patient’s goals should be sought, understood and taken into account, along with the expert medical knowledge of the practitioner.
9 My Health Record recommendations

9.1 My Health Record

The Federal Government announced in the 2017 Budget a commitment to continue to expand the My Health Record system. By the end of 2018, every Australian will have a My Health Record unless they choose not to have one. Through the My Health Record system healthcare practitioners have access to timely information about patients such as shared health summaries, discharge summaries, prescription and dispense records, pathology reports and diagnostic imaging reports.

The Committee supports the principle that electronic health records can enhance information sharing between patients and providers and thereby promote safe practice, support self-management and improve patient care (18).

The Committee has made both MBS and non-MBS recommendations to support the adoption of digital record systems by consultant specialists, cognisant of the current limitations of digital readiness among consultant specialists.

9.2 Barriers to uptake of My Health Record by consultant specialists

The Committee notes that adoption of My Health Record is very low among consultant specialists (just 263 providers in September 2017) (19). Barriers to uptake include:

- A fragmented clinical information system provider market, which has led to interoperability issues;
- Concerns about the usability and utility of the current My Health Record architecture, which acts as document repository (through the uploading of PDFs) with limited search function (20);
- Perceptions regarding the rigour of data privacy; and
- Health care provider and consumer education and engagement (21).

Evaluations of the roll-out of shared electronic health records globally suggest that countries have often quoted time frames of 10 years or more. Such time frames reflect the significant change management required and suggest a need to anticipate and plan for several generations of software (22).
9.3 Recommendation 15 – Case conference use of My Health Record

The Committee recommends outcomes of case conferences are uploaded to My Health Record, with:

a. for treatment planning case conferences, the specialist organising the conference should be responsible for the upload; and

b. for community and discharge case conferences, the GP participating in the case conference should be responsible for the upload.

Note: See case conference item descriptors in Section 7 and Recommendation 7e(iv).

9.4 Rationale 15

This recommendation focuses on encouraging the upload of event summaries to My Health Record. It is based on the following:

- Case conferences facilitate consultant specialist input into the management of patients, and the upload of case conference outcomes to My Health Record is considered central to support integrated care.
- This will assist patients and their health care professionals through the enhancement of the information available.

9.5 Recommendation 16 – Incentivise adoption of My Health Record

The Committee recommends:

a. introducing a single incentive payment to consultant specialists upon their adoption of My Health Record, triggered by achieving a volume of uploads that is proportional to the number of attendances that the provider performs; and

b. reviewing the effectiveness of the incentive payment after XX period.

9.6 Rationale 16

This recommendation focuses on encouraging incentivising use of My Health Record by consultant specialists. It is based on the following:

- Given current barriers to the uptake of My Health Record, the Committee believes that, in the short term, incentivising consultant specialists to adopt My Health Record will be more effective than mandating its use.

9.7 Recommendation 17 – Encourage adoption of My Health Record

The Committee recommends:

a. improving the functionality of My Health Record and educate consultant specialists on the benefits of its use;
b. continuing to develop and enhance the functionality and ability to search the data of My Health Record, so that it becomes a value-add tool for clinicians in their day-to-day delivery of quality patient care;

c. broadening training for health care providers to include education about using the My Health Record system clinically, and about its benefits for patients and the health system;
   i. including the development of appropriate scenarios relevant to the full range of health care providers across disciplines and clinical settings.

d. working with academic institutions to embed digital health competencies into undergraduate and postgraduate training and CPD programmes.

9.8 Rationale 17

This recommendation focuses on further enhancing My Health Record to improve health outcomes and improve the efficiency of the overall system. It is based on the following:

- The Committee noted the evidence that electronic health records can enhance information sharing between patients and providers and thereby promote safe practice, support self-management and improve patient care (18).

- The Committee agreed that systems that support professionals in their practice efficiently and effectively are more likely to be adopted and sustainable into the future. The Committee also agreed that use of My Health Record needed to continually move with changes in clinical best practice and reflect the needs of patients and their practitioners.

- The Committee noted that creating awareness was only the first step in encouraging adoption with continuing professional education necessary to support ongoing use.
10 Referral practices recommendations

As part of the review of attendances, the Committee considered the suitability of current referral practices for specialists.

The Committee supports the principles that GPs should remain actively involved in the patient’s care when being referred between specialists, with current referral validity limitations considered appropriate for that purpose.

The Committee also acknowledges the importance of allied health services as an integral part of a specialist treatment plan for many common conditions and has made recommendations for specialist-referred AHP services to improve access for patients and streamline access to relevant multidisciplinary treatment.

10.1 Recommendation 18 – Retain the current specialist to specialist referral validity period

The Committee recommends the retention of the specialist-to-specialist referral validity to three months.

10.2 Rationale 18

This recommendation focuses on striking a balance between patient convenience and enabling GP oversight of patient care. It is based on the following:

- The Committee noted that specialist-to-specialist referrals are increasingly common in modern clinical practice and considered recommending increasing their validity from three months to six months and mandating a copy of the referral be sent to the patient’s GP aiming to increase patient convenience and reduce the incidence of expired referrals. For example, episodes of treatment involving preoperative and postoperative chemotherapy and radiation often exceed three months, which can lead to expired referrals before treatment is complete.

- However, the Committee noted that this topic was also considered by the Principles and Rules Committee, who recommended keeping referral validity to three months. Enabling GP oversight of patient care provided by consultant specialists was cited as the reason for keeping the validity at three months.
The Committee noted that, while it may be less convenient for patients to return to their GP, evidence put forward by the GPPCCC demonstrates that health outcomes are better when GPs are informed.

10.3 Recommendation 19 – Introducing a new AHP pathway

The Committee recommends introducing an AHP pathway for consultant specialists under certain circumstances, but only after a full review of the evidence and the associated costs and benefits of any suggested pathway.

10.4 Rationale 19

This recommendation focuses on the increasing importance of the AHP role in consultant specialist care. It is based on the following:

- Consultant specialists increasingly recognise the importance of AHP care in the treatment and pre-treatment of many common conditions. For example, gastroenterologists referring to psychologists to treat irritable bowel syndrome, pain medicine specialists referring to psychologists for somatic symptom disorder, and orthopaedic surgeons referring to physiotherapists before surgical repair of an anterior cruciate ligament injury.

- Consultant specialists can already refer to AHPs, but the patient will not have access to a rebate. This opens up a means-based pathway to patients who can afford to pay for AHP.

- For patients to access a rebate, they must be assessed by their GP for eligibility and development of a GP Management Plan (item 721). If granted, the patient can access up to five AHP visits with a rebate. This is inconvenient for patients, adds an additional cost of visiting their GP, and increases the likelihood of the intervention not taking place.

- The Committee considered a recommendation for consultant specialists to have access to a small number of “AHP bridging referrals” that were eligible for a rebate. However, the Committee agreed this could result in:
  - a very large increase in AHP spend, as there are approximately 25 million consultant specialist attendances per year; and
  - cost-shifting from health funds to the MBS, as many patients currently have AHP visits covered under their health insurance plan.

- The Committee also noted that GPs can only access AHP visits for patient population groups that meet specific chronic disease criteria. The Committee therefore recommended that opening up an AHP pathway for consultant specialists should be
considered, while noting that this should only occur after a full review of associated costs and benefits.
11 Impact statement

Both patients and providers are expected to benefit from these recommendations as they address concerns regarding patient access and quality of care, and they take steps to simplify the MBS and make it easier to use and understand. The Committee also considered each recommendation’s impact on provider groups to ensure that any changes were reasonable and fair. However, if the Committee identified evidence of potential item misuse or safety concerns, recommendations were made to encourage best practice, in line with the overarching purpose of the MBS Review.

11.1 Introductory notes

- The MBS refers to “specialists” and “consultant physicians”. These two roles used to be very different. Now they are similar. In this report, “consultant specialist” means both “specialists and consultant physicians”.

- The MBS uses the term “attendance” for a consultation. This consumer summary will use the term consultation.

- The Committee reviewed 143 MBS items used by consultant specialists to claim for consultations.

- In the financial year 2016-17, these items totalled 26 million services and cost the taxpayer $1.9 billion – plus out of pocket costs.

- Over the past five years, use of these items has increased by 4.2% per year, and the cost has increased by 5.5% per year.

11.2 Standard attendance recommendations

Replace the current consultation items with items based on the length of the consultation (as for GP consultations), and describe key activities for each.

- Consumer points.
  - Consumers can expect GPs and consultant specialists to set their appointments and fees the same way (i.e., by the length of time they spend with you).
  - It will be easier to know and compare the fees (and out of pocket costs) of different consultant specialists.
  - First and subsequent consultations will be charged the same: on the basis of time.
Introduce time-tiered items that mirror the standard consultation structure (above) for acute, urgent, and unplanned consultations and set a higher fee. These items are only used when a patient is at risk of serious harm or death, and the care happens outside the usual consulting rooms.

- Consumer points.
  - The time-tiered item approach is the same as for standard consultations. The higher payment reflects the urgent and unexpected nature of the work.

### 11.3 Complex plan recommendations:

Replace these items with time-tiered items, except for those provided by paediatricians.

- Consumer points.
  - These consultations provide the referring doctor (usually the patient’s GP) with a detailed management plan, which may include detail on which tests to perform and how often, changes to medications, and when to refer back to the consultant specialist. This item is not, however, always used this way. Changing to time-tiering makes the items simpler without removing any benefit to patients.
  - Paediatricians use the existing items for children with certain developmental disorders (e.g., autism) or for children with a disability. These items also provide access to allied health professional visits that are eligible for a MBS rebate. The Committee recommends these items remain with wording changed to reflect modern practice, replacing the outdated term “pervasive neurodevelopment disorder” with “complex neurodevelopmental disorder” to enable paediatricians to use the item for children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD).

### 11.4 Telehealth recommendations

Over time, reduce the loading paid to consultant specialists when they use telehealth and use all the money saved to promote the wider use of telehealth. Monitor this change to make sure it achieves what’s intended and does not introduce unwanted consequences. Then introduce telehealth specific items using the time-tiered structure as for standard attendances.

- Consumer points.
  - International evidence shows that once consumers and clinicians understand the benefits of telehealth, they don’t need incentives to use it – and it saves everyone time and money.
  - The benefits saved from the loading could be used to educate consumers and clinicians so they use telehealth for everyone’s benefit.
11.5 Case conference recommendations

Case conferences are where a group of health professionals (and their patient) meet to discuss, develop and agree on a care plan.

Change the current case conference items to facilitate shared decision-making and more integrated care with the patient and their GP. Have three types of case conference: (1) discharge planning (2) community care planning, and (3) treatment planning.

- Consumer points.
  - Case conference items are for discussing patient treatment options, risks and benefits; recommending a treatment plan; reaching an agreed decision and obtaining informed patient consent.
  - Consumers must be: invited to community and discharge case conferences, be enabled to participate if they elect to attend, and give informed consent to any treatment care plan.
  - GPs (or their delegate) must participate in both discharge and community care planning case conferences, or review case conference outcomes and communicate any proposed changes to the patient and to the case conference organiser.
  - Nurse practitioners and allied health professionals can be included in case conferences. These include Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health practitioners, Aboriginal health workers, audiologists, chiropractors, diabetes educators, dietitians, exercise physiologists, mental health nurses, occupational therapists, osteopathists, physiotherapists, podiatrists, psychologists, social workers, and speech pathologists.
  - The Committee has recommended that the impact of introducing new case conferences items and the potential increase in fees should be closely monitored, including the potential impact on out-of-pocket costs.

11.6 Use of data to inform quality care and informed patient choice and consent

Establish a minimum data set for patients and GPs to use together when considering referrals. Include patient outcomes, patient reported outcomes and fees - at the provider and service level. Ensure the information is accurate and clearly explained, and co-design the presentation of cost and outcome information with consumers.

- Consumer points.
  - This will provide patients with transparency on the cost and outcomes of consultant specialist services.
This will support improved patient consent and shared decision-making processes. MBS item descriptors will include a requirement for multiple treatment options to be discussed when they are available.

Consultant specialists will be required to discuss available treatment options with the patient, consider referrals to other consultant specialists, and provide a written document outlining the options and their associated advantages and disadvantages.

11.7 My Health Record recommendations

Use MBS and non-MBS mechanisms to support the adoption of digital health record systems.

- **MBS mechanism.**
  - Outcomes of case conferences are uploaded to My Health Record.
    - For treatment planning case conferences, the consultant specialist organising the conference should be responsible for the upload.
    - For community and discharge case conferences, the GP participating in the case conference should be responsible for the upload.

- **Non-MBS mechanisms.**
  - Introduce a single incentive payment to consultant specialists upon their adoption of My Health Record, triggered by achieving a volume of uploads proportional to the number of attendances that the provider perform.
  - Improve the functionality of My Health Record and educate consultant specialists on the benefits of its use.
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## 13 Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHP</td>
<td>Allied Health Professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAGR</td>
<td>Compound annual growth rate, or the average annual growth rate over a specified time period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>When referring to an item, “change” describes when the item and/or its services will be affected by the recommendations. This could result from a range of recommendations, such as: (i) specific recommendations that affect the services provided by changing item descriptors or explanatory notes; (ii) the consolidation of item numbers; and (iii) splitting item numbers (for example, splitting the current services provided across two or more items).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD</td>
<td>Continuing professional development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delete</td>
<td>Describes when an item is recommended for removal from the MBS and its services will no longer be provided under the MBS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department, The</td>
<td>Australian Government Department of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>Australian Government Department of Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline</td>
<td>A professional healthcare qualification or role, which contributes a unique domain of knowledge and clinical expertise to a multidisciplinary team, e.g. social worker, cardiologist, physiotherapist etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FASD</td>
<td>Fetal alcohol spectrum disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTE</td>
<td>Full-time Equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP</td>
<td>General practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPPCCC</td>
<td>General Practice and Primary Care Clinical Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-value care</td>
<td>Services of proven efficacy reflecting current best medical practice, or for which the potential benefit to consumers exceeds the risk and costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inappropriate use / misuse</td>
<td>The use of MBS services for purposes other than those intended. This includes a range of behaviours, from failing to adhere to particular item descriptors or rules through to deliberate fraud.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-value care</td>
<td>Services that evidence suggests provide little or no benefit to consumers; or for which the risk of harm exceeds the likely benefit; or, more broadly, where the added costs of services do not provide proportional added benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS</td>
<td>Medicare Benefits Schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS item</td>
<td>An administrative object listed in the MBS and used for the purposes of claiming and paying Medicare benefits, consisting of an item number, service descriptor and supporting information, schedule fee and Medicare benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS service</td>
<td>The actual medical consultation, procedure or test to which the relevant MBS item refers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDT</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSAC</td>
<td>Medical Services Advisory Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New service</td>
<td>Describes when a new service has been recommended, with a new item number. In most circumstances, new services will need to go through the MSAC. It is worth noting that implementation of the recommendation may result in more or fewer item numbers than specifically stated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change or leave unchanged</td>
<td>Describes when the services provided under these items will not be changed or affected by the recommendations. This does not rule out small changes in item descriptors (for example, references to other items, which may have changed as a result of the MBS Review or prior reviews).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsolete services/items</td>
<td>Services that should no longer be performed as they do not represent current clinical best practice and have been superseded by superior tests or procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHN</td>
<td>Primary health network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVS</td>
<td>Relative Value Study was a review of the services and fees in the General Medical Services Table of the Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCPCCC</td>
<td>See: The Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services average annual growth</td>
<td>The average growth per year, over five years to 2014/15, in utilisation of services. Also known as the compound annual growth rate (CAGR).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Committee</td>
<td>The Specialist and Consultant Physician Consultation Clinical Committee of the MBS Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Minister</td>
<td>Minister for Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Taskforce</td>
<td>The MBS Review Taskforce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total benefits</td>
<td>Total benefits paid in 2014/15 unless otherwise specified.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix A  Index of items

#### A.1. Standard attendance items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item no.</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
<th>Schedule fee</th>
<th>Benefits 2016/17</th>
<th>Services 2016/17</th>
<th>Services 5-year avg growth</th>
<th>Recommended change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty after referral of the patient to him or her—each attendance, other than a second or subsequent attendance, in a single course of treatment, other than a service to which item 106, 109 or 16401 applies</td>
<td>$85.55</td>
<td>$396,169,730</td>
<td>5,278,404</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her—an attendance after the first in a single course of treatment, if that attendance is at consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>$43.00</td>
<td>$271,057,335</td>
<td>6,815,886</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of ophthalmology and following referral of the patient to him or her—an attendance (other than a second or subsequent attendance in a single course of treatment) at which the only service provided is refraction testing for the issue of a prescription for spectacles or contact lenses, if that attendance is at consulting rooms or hospital (other than a service to which any of items 104, 109 and 10801 to 10816 applies)</td>
<td>$71.00</td>
<td>$48,694</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>-5.5%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her—an attendance (other than a second or subsequent attendance in a single course of treatment), if that attendance is at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>$125.50</td>
<td>$171,899</td>
<td>1,599</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her—each attendance after the first in a single course of treatment, if that attendance is at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>$79.45</td>
<td>$266,101</td>
<td>3,906</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of ophthalmology following referral of the patient to him or her—an attendance (other than a second or subsequent attendance in a single course of treatment) at which a comprehensive eye examination, including pupil dilation, is performed on: (a) a patient aged 9 years or younger; or (b) a patient aged 14 years</td>
<td>$192.80</td>
<td>$6,158,378</td>
<td>36,565</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment or younger with developmental delay (other than a service to which any of items 104, 106 and 10801 to 10816 applies)</td>
<td>$150.90</td>
<td>$313,540,883</td>
<td>2,451,095</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or in hospital by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—an attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment, if: (a) during the attendance, the specialist determines the need to perform an operation on the patient that had not otherwise been scheduled; and (b) the specialist subsequently performs the operation on the patient, on the same day; and (c) the operation is a service to which an item in Group T8 applies; and (d) the amount specified in the item in Group T8 as the fee for a service to which that item applies is $300 or more For any particular patient, once only on the same day</td>
<td>$43.00</td>
<td>New Item</td>
<td>New Item</td>
<td>New Item</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance (other than a service to which item 119 applies) after the first attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$75.50</td>
<td>$553,213,691</td>
<td>8,751,583</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or in hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—an attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment, if: (a) the attendance is not a minor attendance; and (b) during the attendance, the consultant physician determines the need to perform an operation on the patient that had not otherwise been scheduled; and (c) the consultant physician subsequently performs the operation on the patient, on the same day; and (d) the operation is a service to which an item in Group T8 applies; and (e) the amount specified in the item in Group T8 as the fee for a service to which that item applies is $300</td>
<td>$75.50</td>
<td>New Item</td>
<td>New Item</td>
<td>New Item</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each minor attendance after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$43.00</td>
<td>$3,260,213</td>
<td>93,852</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or in hospital by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—an attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment, if: (a) the attendance is a minor attendance; and (b) during the attendance, the consultant physician determines the need to perform an operation on the patient that had not otherwise been scheduled; and (c) the consultant physician subsequently performs the operation on the patient, on the same day; and (d) the operation is a service to which an item in Group T8 applies; and (e) the amount specified in the item in Group T8 as the fee for a service to which that item applies is $300 or more For any particular patient, once only on the same day</td>
<td>$43.00</td>
<td>New Item</td>
<td>New Item</td>
<td>New Item</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$183.10</td>
<td>$584,925</td>
<td>3,490</td>
<td>-17.8%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance (other than a service to which item 131 applies) after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$110.75</td>
<td>$1,235,542</td>
<td>12,945</td>
<td>-5.6%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each minor attendance after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$79.75</td>
<td>$99,051</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>-4.6%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>Professional attendance for a period of not less than 1 hour but less than 2 hours</td>
<td>$221.50</td>
<td>$3,617,787</td>
<td>16,923</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>Professional attendance for a period of not less than 2 hours but less than 3 hours (other than a service to which another item applies) on a patient in imminent danger of death</td>
<td>$369.15</td>
<td>$910,448</td>
<td>2,730</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>Professional attendance for a period of not less than 3 hours but less than 4 hours (other than a service to which another item applies) on a patient in imminent danger of death</td>
<td>$516.65</td>
<td>$495,928</td>
<td>1,112</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>Professional attendance for a period of not less than 4 hours but less than 5 hours (other than a service to which another item applies) on a patient in imminent danger of death</td>
<td>$664.55</td>
<td>$233,428</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>Professional attendance for a period of 5 hours or more (other than a service to which another item applies) on a patient in imminent danger of death</td>
<td>$738.40</td>
<td>$288,216</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant occupational physician in the practice of his or her specialty of occupational medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$85.55</td>
<td>$61,481</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>386</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant occupational physician in the practice of his or her specialty of occupational medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$43.00</td>
<td>$19,948</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>-3.7%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant occupational physician in the practice of his or her specialty of occupational medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$125.50</td>
<td>$1,067</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant occupational physician in the practice of his or her specialty of occupational medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$79.45</td>
<td>$676</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms by a public health physician in the</td>
<td>$19.55</td>
<td>$1,382</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-26.7%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine at consulting rooms, lasting less than 20 minutes and including any of the following that are clinically relevant: (a) taking a patient history; (b) performing a clinical examination; (c) arranging any necessary investigation; (d) implementing a management plan; (e) providing appropriate preventive health care; for one or more health-related issues, with appropriate documentation.</td>
<td>$42.75</td>
<td>$327,563</td>
<td>8,968</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine at consulting rooms, lasting at least 20 minutes and including any of the following that are clinically relevant: (a) taking a detailed patient history; (b) performing a clinical examination; (c) arranging any necessary investigation; (d) implementing a management plan; (e) providing appropriate preventive health care; for one or more health-related issues, with appropriate documentation</td>
<td>$82.65</td>
<td>$187,627</td>
<td>2,661</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine at consulting rooms, lasting at least 40 minutes and including any of the following that are clinically relevant: (a) taking an extensive patient history; (b) performing a clinical examination; (c) arranging any necessary investigation; (d) implementing a management plan; (e) providing appropriate preventive health care; for one or more health-related issues, with appropriate documentation</td>
<td>$121.70</td>
<td>$30,413</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>414</td>
<td>Professional attendance at other than consulting rooms by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine—attendance for an obvious problem characterised by the straightforward nature of the task that requires a short patient history and, if required, limited examination and management</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine at consulting rooms, lasting less than 20 minutes and including any of the following that are clinically relevant: (a) taking a patient history; (b) performing a clinical examination; (c) arranging any necessary investigation; (d) implementing a management plan; (e) providing appropriate preventive health care; for one or more health-related issues, with appropriate documentation.</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>5-year avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine at other than consulting rooms, lasting less than 20 minutes and including any of the following that are clinically relevant: (a) taking a patient history; (b) performing a clinical examination; (c) arranging any necessary investigation; (d) implementing a management plan; (e) providing appropriate preventive health care; for one or more health-related issues, with appropriate documentation</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$446</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>417</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine at other than consulting rooms, lasting at least 40 minutes and including any of the following that are clinically relevant: (a) taking an extensive patient history; (b) performing a clinical examination; (c) arranging any necessary investigation; (d) implementing a management plan; (e) providing appropriate preventive health care; for one or more health-related issues, with appropriate documentation</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2801</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$150.90</td>
<td>$4,437,672</td>
<td>33,797</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2806</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance (other than a service to which item 2814 applies) after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$75.50</td>
<td>$4,424,902</td>
<td>66,798</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2814</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each minor</td>
<td>$43.00</td>
<td>$38,597</td>
<td>1,117</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2824</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$183.10</td>
<td>$1,712</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2832</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance (other than a service to which item 2840 applies) after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$110.75</td>
<td>$5,686</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2840</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each minor attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$79.75</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3005</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$150.90</td>
<td>$1,447,287</td>
<td>12,296</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3010</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance (other than a service to which item 3014 applies) after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$75.50</td>
<td>$3,097,277</td>
<td>53,225</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3014</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each minor attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$43.00</td>
<td>$22,274</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>-4.8%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3018</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—</td>
<td>$183.10</td>
<td>$333,131</td>
<td>2,136</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3023</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance (other than a service to which item 3028 applies) after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$110.75</td>
<td>$281,552</td>
<td>2,968</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3028</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each minor attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$79.75</td>
<td>$68</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-62.8%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6007</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of neurosurgery following referral of the patient to him or her—an attendance (other than a second or subsequent attendance in a single course of treatment) at consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>$129.60</td>
<td>$10,783,437</td>
<td>91,467</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6009</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of neurosurgery following referral of the patient to him or her—a minor attendance after the first in a single course of treatment at consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>$43.00</td>
<td>$2,129,180</td>
<td>54,283</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6011</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of neurosurgery following referral of the patient to him or her—an attendance after the first in a single course of treatment, involving an extensive and comprehensive examination, arranging any necessary investigations in relation to one or more complex problems and of more than 15 minutes in duration but not more than 30 minutes in duration at consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>$85.55</td>
<td>$5,951,516</td>
<td>77,146</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6013</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of neurosurgery following referral of the patient to him or her—an attendance after the first in a single course of treatment, involving a detailed and comprehensive examination, arranging any necessary investigations in relation to one or more complex problems and of more than 30 minutes in duration but not more than 45 minutes in duration at consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>$118.50</td>
<td>$994,519</td>
<td>9,841</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6015</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of neurosurgery following</td>
<td>$150.90</td>
<td>$226,703</td>
<td>1,785</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6018</td>
<td>Professional attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if the attendance: (a) includes a comprehensive assessment; and (b) is the first or only time in a single course of treatment that a comprehensive assessment is provided</td>
<td>$150.90</td>
<td>$93,281</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6019</td>
<td>Professional attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if the attendance is a patient assessment: (a) before or after a comprehensive assessment under item 6018 in a single course of treatment; or (b) that follows an initial assessment under item 6023 in a single course of treatment; or (c) that follows a review under item 6024 in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$75.50</td>
<td>$305,606</td>
<td>4,580</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6051</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if the attendance: (a) includes a comprehensive assessment; and (b) is the first or only time in a single course of treatment that a comprehensive assessment is provided</td>
<td>$150.90</td>
<td>$172,474</td>
<td>1,324</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6052</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if the attendance is a patient assessment: (a) before or after a comprehensive assessment under item 6051 in a single course of treatment; or (b) that follows an initial assessment under item 6057 in a single course of treatment; or (c) that follows a review under item 6058 in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$75.50</td>
<td>$221,276</td>
<td>3,358</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6062</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or a hospital by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a specialist or consultant physician</td>
<td>$183.10</td>
<td>$246</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### A.2. Complex management plans attendance items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item no.</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
<th>Schedule fee</th>
<th>Benefits 2016/17</th>
<th>Services 2016/17</th>
<th>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</th>
<th>Recommended change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) of at least 45 minutes in duration for an initial assessment of a patient with at least 2 morbidities (which may include complex congenital, developmental and behavioural disorders) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if: (a) an assessment is undertaken that covers: (i) a comprehensive history, including psychosocial history and medication review; and (ii) comprehensive multi or detailed single organ system assessment; and (iii) the formulation of differential diagnoses; and (b) a consultant physician treatment and management plan of significant complexity is prepared and provided to the referring practitioner, which involves: (i) an opinion on diagnosis and risk assessment; and (ii) treatment options and decisions; and (iii) medication recommendations; and (c) an attendance on the patient to which item 110, 116 or 119 applies did not take place on the same day by the same consultant physician; and (d) this item has not applied to an attendance on the patient in the preceding 12 months by the same consultant physician</td>
<td>$263.90</td>
<td>$218,143,379</td>
<td>972,726</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Professional attendance of at least 20 minutes duration subsequent to the first attendance in a single course of treatment for a review of a patient with at least two morbidities (this can include complex congenital, developmental and behavioural disorders), where a) a review is undertaken that covers:- review of initial presenting problem/s and results of</td>
<td>$132.10</td>
<td>$76,504,490</td>
<td>674,305</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Professional attendance of at least 45 minutes in duration at consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty of paediatrics, following referral of the patient to the consultant by a referring practitioner, for assessment, diagnosis and preparation of a treatment and management plan for a patient aged under 13 years with autism or another pervasive developmental disorder, if the consultant paediatrician does all of the following: (a) undertakes a comprehensive assessment and makes a diagnosis (if appropriate, using information provided by an eligible allied health provider); (b) develops a treatment and management plan, which must include the following: (i) an assessment and diagnosis of the patient’s condition; (ii) a risk assessment; (iii) treatment options and decisions; (iv) if necessary—medical recommendations; (c) provides a copy of the treatment and management plan to: (i) the referring practitioner; and (ii) one or more allied health providers, if appropriate, for the treatment of the patient; (other than attendance on a patient for whom payment has previously been made under this item or item 137, 139 or 289)</td>
<td>$263.90</td>
<td>$2,702,445</td>
<td>11,473</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>Change item descriptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Specialist or consultant physician, referred consultation for assessment, diagnosis and development of a treatment and management plan for a child with an eligible disability - surgery or hospital professional attendance of at least 45</td>
<td>$263.90</td>
<td>$95,585</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>-15.4%</td>
<td>Change item descriptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>minutes duration, at consulting rooms or hospital, by a specialist or consultant physician, for assessment, diagnosis and the preparation of a treatment and management plan for a child aged under 13 years, with an eligible disability, who has been referred to the specialist or consultant physician by a referring practitioner, if the specialist or consultant physician does the following: (a) undertakes a comprehensive assessment of the child and forms a diagnosis (using the assistance of one or more allied health providers where appropriate) (b) develops a treatment and management plan which must include the following: (i) the outcomes of the assessment; (ii) the diagnosis or diagnoses; (iii) opinion on risk assessment; (iv) treatment options and decisions; (v) appropriate medication recommendations, where necessary. (c) provides a copy of the treatment and management plan to the: (i) referring practitioner; and (ii) relevant allied health providers (where appropriate), not being an attendance on a child in respect of whom payment has previously been made under this item or items 135, 139 or 289.</td>
<td>$452.65</td>
<td>$10,917,413</td>
<td>28,433</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Professional attendance of more than 60 minutes in duration at consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant physician or specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of geriatric medicine, if: (a) the patient is at least 65 years old and referred by a medical practitioner practising in general practice (including a general practitioner, but not including a specialist or consultant physician) or a participating nurse practitioner; and (b) the attendance is initiated by the referring practitioner for the provision of a comprehensive assessment and management plan; and (c) during the attendance: (i) the medical, physical, psychological and social aspects of the patient's health are evaluated in detail using appropriately validated assessment tools if indicated (the assessment); and (ii) the patient's various health problems and care needs are identified and prioritised (the formulation); and (iii) a detailed management plan is prepared (the management plan) setting out: (a) the prioritised list of health problems and care needs; and (b) short and longer term management goals; and (c) recommended actions or intervention strategies to be undertaken by the patient's general practitioner or another relevant health care provider that are likely to improve or maintain health status and are readily available and acceptable to the patient.</td>
<td>$452.65</td>
<td>$10,917,413</td>
<td>28,433</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered attendances</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and the patient’s family and carers; and (iv) the management plan is explained and discussed with the patient and, if appropriate, the patient’s family and any carers; and (v) the management plan is communicated in writing to the referring practitioner; and (d) an attendance to which item 104, 105, 107, 108, 110, 116 or 119 applies has not been provided to the patient on the same day by the same practitioner; and (e) an attendance to which this item or item 145 applies has not been provided to the patient by the same practitioner in the preceding 12 months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item no.</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
<th>Schedule fee</th>
<th>Benefits 2016/17</th>
<th>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</th>
<th>Recommended change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Professional attendance of more than 30 minutes in duration at consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant physician or specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of geriatric medicine to review a management plan previously prepared by that consultant physician or specialist under item 141 or 145, if: (a) the review is initiated by the referring medical practitioner practising in general practice or a participating nurse practitioner; and (b) during the attendance: (i) the patient’s health status is reassessed; and (ii) a management plan prepared under item 141 or 145 is reviewed and revised; and (iii) the revised management plan is explained to the patient and (if appropriate) the patient’s family and any carers and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner; and (d) an attendance to which item 104, 105, 107, 108, 110, 116 or 119 applies was not provided to the patient on the same day by the same practitioner; and (e) an attendance to which item 141 or 145 applies has been provided to the patient by the same practitioner in the preceding 12 months; and (e) an attendance to which this item or item 147 applies has not been provided to the patient in the preceding 12 months, unless there has been a significant change in the patient’s clinical condition or care circumstances that requires a further review</td>
<td>$282.95</td>
<td>$3,318,319</td>
<td>13,797</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>Professional attendance of more than 60 minutes in duration at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant physician or specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of geriatric medicine, if: (a) the patient is at least 65 years old and referred by a medical practitioner practising in general practice (including a general practitioner, but not including a specialist or consultant physician) or a participating nurse practitioner; and (b) the attendance is initiated by the referring practitioner for</td>
<td>$548.85</td>
<td>$4,938,495</td>
<td>10,526</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>Professional attendance of more than 30 minutes in duration at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant physician or specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of geriatric medicine to review a management plan previously prepared by that consultant physician or specialist under items 141 or 145, if: (a) the review is initiated by the referring medical practitioner practising in general practice or a participating nurse practitioner; and (b) during the attendance: (i) the patient’s health status is reassessed; and (ii) a management plan that was prepared under item 141 or 145 is reviewed and revised; and (iii) the revised management plan is explained to the patient and (if appropriate) the patient’s family and any carers and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner; and (c) an attendance to which item 104, 105, 107, 108, 110, 116 or 119 applies has not been provided to the patient on the same day by the same practitioner; and (d) an attendance to which this item or item 141 applies has not been provided to the patient by the same practitioner in the preceding 12 months</td>
<td>$343.10</td>
<td>$1,410,833</td>
<td>4,835</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6023</td>
<td>Professional attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of at least 45 minutes for an initial assessment of a patient with at least 2 morbidities, following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if: (a) an assessment is undertaken that covers: (i) a comprehensive history, including psychosocial history and medication review; and (ii) a comprehensive multi or detailed single organ system assessment; and (iii) the formulation of differential diagnoses; and (b) an addiction medicine specialist treatment and management plan of significant complexity that includes the following is prepared and provided to the referring practitioner: (i) an opinion on diagnosis and risk assessment; (ii) treatment options and decisions; (iii) medication recommendations; and (c) an attendance on the patient to which item 104, 105, 110, 116, 119, 132, 133, 6018 or 6019 applies did not take place on the same day by the same addiction medicine specialist; and (d) neither this item nor item 132 has applied to an attendance on the patient in the preceding 12 months by the same addiction medicine specialist.</td>
<td>$263.90</td>
<td>$130,001</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6024</td>
<td>Professional attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of at least 20 minutes, after the first attendance in a single course of treatment, for a review of a patient with at least 2 morbidities if: (a) a review is undertaken that covers: (i) review of initial presenting problems and results of diagnostic investigations; and (ii) review of responses to treatment and medication plans initiated at time of initial consultation; and (iii) comprehensive multi or detailed single organ system assessment; and (iv) review of original and differential diagnoses; and (b) the modified addiction medicine specialist treatment and management plan is provided to the referring practitioner, which involves, if appropriate: (i) a revised opinion on diagnosis and risk assessment; and (ii) treatment options and decisions; and (iii) revised medication.</td>
<td>$132.10</td>
<td>$25,065</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6057</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of at least 45 minutes for an initial assessment of a patient with at least 2 morbidities, following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if: (a) an assessment is undertaken that covers: (i) a comprehensive history, including psychosocial history and medication review; and (ii) a comprehensive multi or detailed single organ system assessment; and (iii) the formulation of differential diagnoses; and (b) a sexual health medicine specialist treatment and management plan of significant complexity that includes the following is prepared and provided to the referring practitioner: (i) an opinion on diagnosis and risk assessment; (ii) treatment options and decisions; (iii) medication recommendations; and (c) an attendance on the patient to which item 104, 105, 110, 116, 119, 132, 133, 6051 or 6052 applies did not take place on the same day by the same sexual health medicine specialist; and (d) neither this item nor item 132 has applied to an attendance on the patient in the preceding 12 months by the same sexual health medicine specialist</td>
<td>$263.90</td>
<td>$147,985</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered attendances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6058</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of at least 20 minutes, after the first attendance in a single course of treatment, for a review of a patient with at least 2 morbidities if: (a) a review is undertaken that covers: (i) review of initial presenting problems and results of diagnostic investigations; and (ii) review of responses to treatment and medication plans initiated at time of initial consultation; and (iii) comprehensive multi or detailed single organ system assessment; and (iv) review of original and differential diagnoses; and (b) the modified sexual health medicine specialist treatment and management plan is provided to the referring practitioner, which involves, if appropriate: (i) a revised</td>
<td>$132.10</td>
<td>$29,857</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered attendances</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
opinion on diagnosis and risk assessment; and (ii) treatment options and decisions; and (iii) revised medication recommendations; and (c) an attendance on the patient, being an attendance to which item 104, 105, 110, 116, 119, 132, 133, 6051 or 6052 applies did not take place on the same day by the same sexual health medicine specialist; and (d) item 6057 applied to an attendance claimed in the preceding 12 months; and (e) the attendance under this item is claimed by the same sexual health medicine specialist who claimed item 6057 or by a locum tenens; and (f) this item has not applied more than twice in any 12 month period.

### A.3. Telehealth attendance items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item no.</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
<th>Schedule fee</th>
<th>Benefits 2016/17</th>
<th>Services 2016/17</th>
<th>Services 5-year avg. growth</th>
<th>Recommended change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a specialist practising in his or her specialty if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 104 lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 105; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$726,446</td>
<td>10,137</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a consultant physician practising in his or her specialty if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 110 lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 116, 119, 132 or 133; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$7,954,688</td>
<td>55,445</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year avg growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less in duration on a patient by a specialist in the practice of his or her speciality if: (a) the attendance is byvideo conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$64.20</td>
<td>$1,937</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less in duration on a patient by a consultant physician practising in his or her speciality if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$113.20</td>
<td>$11,032</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a consultant physician or specialist practising in his or her speciality of geriatric medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) item 141 or 143 applies to the attendance; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the physician or specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$251,984</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less in duration on a patient by a consultant occupational physician practising in his or her speciality of occupational medicine if: (a) the</td>
<td>$64.20</td>
<td>$164</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year avg growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a consultant occupational physician practising in his or her specialty of occupational medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2799</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less in duration on a patient by a specialist or consultant physician practising in his or her specialty of pain medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the specialist or physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$113.20</td>
<td>$96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2820</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a specialist or consultant physician practising in his or her specialty of pain medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 2801</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$47,548</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>44.5%</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3003</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less in duration on a patient by a specialist or consultant physician practising in his or her specialty of palliative medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the specialist or physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>$113.20</td>
<td>$193</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3015</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a specialist or consultant physician practising in his or her specialty of palliative medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 3005 lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 3010 or 3014; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the specialist or physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$20,217</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>121.9%</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6004</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less in duration on a patient by a specialist practising in his or her specialty of neurosurgery if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient;</td>
<td>$97.20</td>
<td>$413</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6016</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a specialist practising in his or her specialty of neurosurgery if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 6007 lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 6009, 6011, 6013 or 6015; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance--at least 15 kms by road from the specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$79,387</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6025</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less, on a patient by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance--at least 15 km by road from the addiction medicine specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>$113.20</td>
<td>$3,080</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6026</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 6018 or 6019 and lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 6023 or 6024; and (c)</td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$481</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year avg growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6059</td>
<td><strong>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less, on a patient by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance— at least 15 km by road from the sexual health medicine specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</strong></td>
<td>$113.20</td>
<td>$1,251</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6060</td>
<td><strong>Professional attendance on a patient by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 6051 or 6052 and lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 6057 or 6058; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance— at least 15 km by road from the sexual health medicine specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</strong></td>
<td>Derived Fee</td>
<td>$96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A.4. Case conference attendance items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item no.</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
<th>Schedule fee</th>
<th>Benefits 2016/17</th>
<th>Services 2016/17</th>
<th>Services 5-year avg growth</th>
<th>Recommended change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as</td>
<td>$139.10</td>
<td>$2,411,730</td>
<td>20,395</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>Change descriptor;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open access to all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with a multidisciplinary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>specialists and consultant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>team of at least 3 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>physicians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>822</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as</td>
<td>$208.70</td>
<td>$205,818</td>
<td>1,158</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>Change descriptor;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open access to all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>specialists and consultant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>team of at least 3 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>physicians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>823</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as</td>
<td>$278.15</td>
<td>$1,039,317</td>
<td>4,379</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>Change descriptor;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open access to all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conference of at least 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>specialists and consultant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>physicians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>825</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as</td>
<td>$99.90</td>
<td>$1,370,265</td>
<td>16,129</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>Change descriptor;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open access to all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>specialists and consultant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>physicians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>826</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as</td>
<td>$159.30</td>
<td>$568,784</td>
<td>4,200</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>Change descriptor;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open access to all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>specialists and consultant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>physicians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>828</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as</td>
<td>$218.75</td>
<td>$387,502</td>
<td>2,076</td>
<td>-3.7%</td>
<td>Change descriptor;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open access to all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>specialists and consultant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>physicians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>830</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as</td>
<td>$139.10</td>
<td>$2,677,611</td>
<td>25,650</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>Change descriptor;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open access to all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with a multidisciplinary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>specialists and consultant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>team of at least 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>physicians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>832</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 3 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>$208.70</td>
<td>$352,998</td>
<td>2,249</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>Change descriptor; Open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>834</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 3 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>$278.15</td>
<td>$827,074</td>
<td>3,959</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>Change descriptor; Open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>835</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>$99.90</td>
<td>$74,442</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>Change descriptor; Open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>837</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>$159.30</td>
<td>$10,803</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>Change descriptor; Open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>838</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>$218.75</td>
<td>$20,468</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
<td>Change descriptor; Open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>871</td>
<td>Attendance by a medical practitioner (including a specialist or consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty or a general practitioner), as a member of a case conference team, to lead and coordinate a multidisciplinary case conference on a patient with cancer to develop a multidisciplinary treatment plan, if the case conference is of at least 10 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 3 other medical practitioners from different areas of medical practice (which may include general practice), and, in addition, allied health providers</td>
<td>$80.30</td>
<td>$2,853,474</td>
<td>41,923</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS; Replace with new treatment planning case conferences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>872</td>
<td>Attendance by a medical practitioner (including a specialist or consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty or a general practitioner), as a member of a case conference team, to participate in a multidisciplinary case conference on a patient with cancer to develop a multidisciplinary treatment plan, if the case conference is of at least 10 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 4 medical practitioners from different areas of medical practice (which may include general practice), and, in addition, allied health providers</td>
<td>$37.40</td>
<td>$3,281,342</td>
<td>103,423</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS; Replace with new treatment planning case conferences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of geriatric or rehabilitation medicine, as a member of a case conference team, to coordinate a case conference of at least 10 minutes but less than 30 minutes--for any particular patient, one attendance only in a 7 day period (other than attendance on the same day as an attendance for which item 832, 834, 835, 837 or 838 was applicable in relation to the patient) (H)</td>
<td>$48.65</td>
<td>$4,249,249</td>
<td>116,440</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2946</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes</td>
<td>$139.10</td>
<td>$119,742</td>
<td>1,046</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2949</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes</td>
<td>$208.70</td>
<td>$22,686</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2954</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 45 minutes</td>
<td>$278.15</td>
<td>$18,986</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>140.8%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2958</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes</td>
<td>$99.90</td>
<td>$77,858</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2972</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to</td>
<td>$159.30</td>
<td>$14,493</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>-6.8%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2974</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes</td>
<td>$218.75</td>
<td>$20,619</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2978</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>$139.10</td>
<td>$3,548</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-15.1%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2984</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>$208.70</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2988</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>$278.15</td>
<td>$209</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2992</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>$99.90</td>
<td>$2,024</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2996</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>$159.30</td>
<td>$120</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference</td>
<td>$218.75</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3032</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes</td>
<td>$139.10</td>
<td>$314,851</td>
<td>2,771</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3040</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes</td>
<td>$208.70</td>
<td>$33,845</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3044</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 45 minutes</td>
<td>$278.15</td>
<td>$19,570</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3051</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes</td>
<td>$99.90</td>
<td>$183,835</td>
<td>2,298</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3055</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>$159.30</td>
<td>$3,362</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3062</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes</td>
<td>$218.75</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4.4%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3069</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at</td>
<td>$139.10</td>
<td>$257,640</td>
<td>2,469</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3074</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>$208.70</td>
<td>$16,438</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3078</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>$278.15</td>
<td>$51,537</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3083</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>$99.90</td>
<td>$53,749</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>111.4%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3088</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>$159.30</td>
<td>$478</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-23.2%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3093</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>$218.75</td>
<td>$1,827</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-3.3%</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6029</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of less than 15 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$42.70</td>
<td>$2,088</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6031</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine</td>
<td>$75.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6032</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$113.30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6034</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$150.90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6035</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of less than 15 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$34.15</td>
<td>$8,753</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6037</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$60.40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6038</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$90.65</td>
<td>$154</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6042</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her</td>
<td>$120.75</td>
<td>$205</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6064</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$42.70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6065</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of less than 15 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$75.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6067</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$113.30</td>
<td>$96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6068</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$150.90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6071</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of less than 15 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$34.15</td>
<td>$58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6072</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other</td>
<td>$60.40</td>
<td>$154</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item no.</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Schedule fee</td>
<td>Benefits 2016/17</td>
<td>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</td>
<td>Recommended change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6074</td>
<td>Formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$90.65</td>
<td>$308</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A Remove item from MBS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6075</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>$120.75</td>
<td>$411</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A Remove item from MBS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### A.5. Group therapy attendance items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item no.</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
<th>Schedule fee</th>
<th>Benefits FY2016/17</th>
<th>Services FY2016/17</th>
<th>Services 5-year annual avg. growth</th>
<th>Recommended change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Professional attendance for the purpose of group therapy of not less than 1 hour in duration given under the direct continuous supervision of a medical practitioner (other than a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty of psychiatry) involving members of a family and persons with close personal relationships with that family--each group of 2 patients</td>
<td>$117.55</td>
<td>$1,186,406</td>
<td>9,010</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>Professional attendance for the purpose of group therapy of not less than 1 hour in duration given under the direct continuous supervision of a medical practitioner (other than a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty of psychiatry) involving members of a family and persons with close personal relationships with that family--each group of 3 patients</td>
<td>$123.85</td>
<td>$204,109</td>
<td>1,542</td>
<td>-4.9%</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>Professional attendance for the purpose of group therapy of not less than 1 hour in duration given under the direct continuous supervision of a medical practitioner (other than a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty of psychiatry) involving members of a family and persons with close personal relationships with that family--each group of 4 or more patients</td>
<td>$150.70</td>
<td>$70,080</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6028</td>
<td>Group therapy (including any associated consultation with a patient taking place on the same occasion and relating to the condition for which group therapy is conducted) of not less than 1 hour, given under the continuous direct supervision of an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty for a group of 2 to 9 unrelated patients, or a family group of more than 2 patients, each of whom is referred to the addiction medicine specialist by a referring practitioner--for each patient</td>
<td>$49.30</td>
<td>$1,887</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B  List of Recommendations

Recommendation 1 - Introduce time-tiered attendance items

The Committee recommends:

a. introducing time-tiered attendance items to replace most of the current standard attendance items;

b. removing standard attendance items from Groups A3, A4, A12, A24, A26, A31 and A32;

   Note: See Appendix A.1. for a full list of attendance items that are recommended for removal.

c. adding 10 new time-tiered attendance items with the following characteristics (see Table B.1):
   i. item descriptors should specify required attendance time, and standard activities performed (to indicate complexity);
   ii. the duration of the time tiers should be the same as those recommended by the GPPCCC; and
   iii. parallel items should continue to exist for attendances that occur in a consulting room or hospital, and elsewhere (five items each).

d. adding explanatory notes for these items that state that:
   i. Specific features of the patient interaction may contribute to the duration of the attendance. This may include communication or comprehension factors that result in more time needed to take a medical history or to take informed consent (e.g., using an interpreter to take a medical history, taking informed consent for an intrusive examination of a child) and mobility factors resulting in more time needed to perform a clinical examination (e.g., the need for an assistant or assistive device to transfer a patient).
   ii. Non-patient-facing time should not contribute to the duration of the attendance and the calculation of schedule fees for attendance items should take non-patient-facing time into account.
   iii. Time spent with other health professionals should not contribute the duration of the attendance.
   iv. Time spent on a procedure or a diagnostic test should not contribute to the duration of the attendance.
e. keep Group A5 prolonged attendance items, which have a specific allowance for patients in imminent danger of death (refer to Recommendation 2).

Table B.1: Recommended item descriptors for time-tiered attendance items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level (item)</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Level A (XX1A) | Professional attendance of **5 minutes or less** by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality following patient referral for an obvious problem with a straightforward task, including any of the following that are clinically relevant:  
  c) a short patient history and, if required, limited examination and management  
  d) outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner  
Other than a service to which another Category 1, Group T1, Group T4 or Group T6 professional attendance item applies.  
Only to be claimed with a Group T8 procedure item:  
  III. where the procedure has a schedule fee of less than $300; or  
  IV. where the need for the procedure is identified during the consultation, has not otherwise been scheduled, is performed on the same day as the attendance, and where the procedure has a schedule fee of $300 or more. | Attendance at consulting room or hospital | 5 minutes or less |
| Level A (XX2A) | Attendance in a location other than consulting room or hospital | 5 minutes or less |

| Level B (XX1B) | Professional attendance of **more than 5 minutes but not more than 20 minutes** by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality following patient referral, including any of the following that are clinically relevant:  
  c) focused patient history and, if required, focused examination and management  
  d) outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner  
Other than a service to which another Category 1, Group T1, Group T4 or Group T6 professional attendance item applies.  
Only to be claimed with a Group T8 procedure item:  
  III. where the procedure has a schedule fee of less than $300; or  
  IV. where the need for the procedure is identified during the consultation, has not otherwise been scheduled, is performed on the same day as the attendance, and where the procedure has a schedule fee of $300 or more. | Attendance at consulting room or hospital | 6–20 minutes |
| Level B (XX2B) | Attendance in a location other than consulting room or hospital | 6–20 minutes |

12 Item numbers listed here indicate a structure for the DHS to follow when assigning item numbers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level (item)</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level C (XX1C)</td>
<td>Professional attendance of <strong>more than 20 minutes but not more than 40 minutes</strong> by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following patient referral, including any of the following that are clinically relevant:</td>
<td>Attendance at consulting room or hospital</td>
<td>21–40 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>h) detailed patient history of a major single or multiple minor conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i) comprehensive examination of single system OR multi-system focused examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>j) single or multiple minor diagnostic problems considered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k) a non-complex management plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>l) a management plan communicated in writing to the referring practitioner and, if required;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m) discussion of multiple treatment options available, including;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i. Discussion of treatment options to assess pros and cons of each option given patient characteristics and medical history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. Consideration and discussion of necessary referrals to other health professionals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii. Written documentation made available for the patient and/or carer that outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n) outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level C (XX2C)</td>
<td>Attendance in a location other than consulting room or hospital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level D (XX1D)</td>
<td>Professional attendance of <strong>more than 40 minutes but not more than 60 minutes</strong> by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following patient referral, including any of the following that are clinically relevant:</td>
<td>Attendance at consulting room or hospital</td>
<td>41–60 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>h) comprehensive patient history of multiple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level D (XX2D)</td>
<td>Attendance in a location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41–60 minutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level (item)</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conditions or a complex single condition</td>
<td>other than consulting room or hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i) comprehensive multi-system examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>j) multiple diagnostic problems considered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k) a comprehensive management plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>l) the management plan communicated in writing to the referring practitioner and, if required; discussion of multiple treatment options available, including:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m) i. Discussion of treatment options to assess pros and cons of each option given patient characteristics and medical history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. Consideration and discussion of necessary referrals to other health professionals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii. Written documentation made available for the patient and/or carer that outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n) outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other than a service to which another Category 1, Group T1, Group T4 or Group T6 professional attendance item applies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only to be claimed with a Group T8 procedure item:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III. where the procedure has a schedule fee of less than $300; or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV. where the need for the procedure is identified during the consultation, has not otherwise been scheduled, is performed on the same day as the attendance, and where the procedure has a schedule fee of $300 or more.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level E (XX1E)</td>
<td>Professional attendance of more than 60 minutes by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality following patient referral, including any of the following that are clinically relevant:</td>
<td>Attendance at consulting room or hospital</td>
<td>More than 60 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>h) extensive history of multiple complex conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i) extensive multi-system medical examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>j) multiple complex diagnoses considered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k) a comprehensive management plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>l) the management plan is communicated in writing to the referring practitioner and, if required; discussion of multiple treatment options available, including:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m) i. Discussion of treatment options to assess pros and cons of each option given patient characteristics and medical history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. Consideration and discussion of necessary referrals to other health professionals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii. Written documentation made available for the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
patient and/or carer that outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits

Other than a service to which another Category 1, Group T1, Group T4 or Group T6 professional attendance item applies.

Only to be claimed with a Group T8 procedure item:

III. where the procedure has a schedule fee of less than $300; or

IV. where the need for the procedure is identified during the consultation, has not otherwise been scheduled, is performed on the same day as the attendance, and where the procedure has a schedule fee of $300 or more.

Recommendation 2 – Introduce new attendance items for acute, urgent and unplanned attendances

The Committee recommends:

a. creating four new time-tiered attendance items for acute, urgent, and unplanned attendances;

b. specifying that these items are only to be used in specific situations where the attendance is acute, urgent, unplanned, and does not take place in the consultant specialist’s consulting rooms or in the emergency department of a public hospital;

c. specifying that the duration of these time tiers should be the same as Levels B, C, D, and E used for standard attendance items (refer to Recommendation 1);

d. a schedule fee for these items that is higher than the schedule fee for standard time-tiered attendances of equivalent duration; and

e. that these items should be restricted from being claimed alongside prolonged attendance items 160-164 where the patient is in imminent danger of death.

Table B.2: Acute attendance item descriptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| XY1  | 5-20 minutes | Professional attendance of more than [X] minutes but not more than [X] minutes by a consultant specialist that is acute, urgent, unplanned, and does not take place in consulting rooms or in the emergency department of a public hospital, and where the patient is:  
  i) at risk of serious morbidity or mortality requiring urgent assessment |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and resuscitation; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j)</td>
<td></td>
<td>suffering from suspected acute organ or system failure; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k)</td>
<td></td>
<td>suffering from an illness or injury where the viability or function of a body part or organ is acutely threatened; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l)</td>
<td></td>
<td>suffering from a potentially life-threatening complication of an infection (i.e., sepsis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>suffering from a drug overdose, toxic substance or toxin effect; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n)</td>
<td></td>
<td>experiencing severe psychiatric disturbance whereby the health of the patient or other people is at immediate risk; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o)</td>
<td></td>
<td>suffering acute severe pain where the viability or function of a body part or organ is suspected to be acutely threatened; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p)</td>
<td></td>
<td>suffering acute significant haemorrhage requiring urgent assessment and treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not to be claimed with prolonged attendance items 160, 161, 162, 163, or 164

**Recommendation 3 - Further considerations when implementing time-tiering**

The Committee recommends the following be considered when moving towards implementation:

a. Collecting data on the duration of attendances across specialties, and the activities performed during these attendances, similar to the data collected from GPs through the *Bettering the Evaluation and Care of Health* program (BEACH data).

b. Using the data to accurately model the impact of time-tiering on service volume and benefits.

c. Investing in change management to facilitate the transition to the new items and build understanding of the benefits of the time-tiering model.

d. Making the model simple to provide transparency and consistency for doctors and consumers.

e. Adding a longer time tier (i.e. Level F) should the need be identified. If introduced, this should accompany an estimate of services claimed through this tier.

f. Ensuring early, active, and regular reviews of attendance items post implementation of time-tiering, including:

i. potential impact on out-of-pocket patient fees;

ii. potential impact on patient waiting lists, either as a result of longer attendances being performed or as a result of consultant specialists being less incentivised to take on new patients; and
Making the item descriptors sufficiently detailed to enable auditing through the review of clinical notes, allowing verification that activities of sufficient complexity were performed, as stated in the descriptors.

Harmonising all attendance time tiers in the MBS that apply to other consultant specialists (including psychiatry, obstetrics, anaesthesia, intensive care, and emergency medicine).

Setting time-tiers that reduce the incentive for consultant specialists to claim a higher time-tier (for example, by having the most common attendance times fall near the middle of each tier).

**Recommendation 4 – Approach to fee setting**

The Committee recommends consideration of the following with regard to schedule fees (recognising that fee-setting is out of the Committee’s scope):

- building support among peak bodies, clinicians and consumers for the principles of time-tiering before introducing schedule fees;
- ensuring a linear relationship between attendance time tiers and schedule fees from the outset;
- recognising that significant non-patient-facing time is spent on each attendance; and
- recognising that there is a lack of data on the current duration of consultant specialist attendance times and the activities performed in these attendances.

**Recommendation 5 - Removing consultant physician, geriatric, addiction medicine, and sexual health medicine complex plan items**

The Committee recommends removing consultant physician, geriatric, addiction medicine, and sexual health medicine complex plan items from the MBS (items 132, 133, 141, 143, 145, 147, 6023, 6024, 6057, and 6058).

**Recommendation 6 - Appropriate access to paediatric complex plan items**

The Committee recommends:

- item 289 to be referred to the Psychiatry Clinical Committee;
b. amend item 135 descriptor for paediatric complex plan (changes in bold) to:

**Item 135**

Professional attendance of at least 60 minutes in duration at consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty of paediatrics, following referral of the patient to the consultant by a referring practitioner, for assessment, diagnosis and preparation of a treatment and management plan for a patient aged under 13 years with autism or another neurodevelopmental disorder, if the consultant paediatrician does all of the following:

- d. undertakes a comprehensive assessment for the purposes of making a diagnosis (if appropriate, using information provided by an eligible allied health provider),
- e. develops a treatment and management plan, which must include the following:
  - (i) an assessment for the **purposes of making a diagnosis** of the patient’s condition;
  - (ii) a risk assessment;
  - (iii) treatment options and decisions;
  - (iv) if necessary-medical recommendations;
- f. provides a copy of the treatment and management plan to:
  - (i) the referring practitioner; and
  - (ii) one or more allied health providers, if appropriate, for the treatment of the patient;

(other than attendance on a patient for whom payment has previously been made under this item or item 137, 139 or 289)”
c. amend the explanatory notes to include the following:

Explanatory Note – Item 135

The item is intended for the initial assessment of patients where by the complexity of the condition is characterised by severe multi-domain cognitive and functional disabilities, delay or impairment”

The following conditions are examples of neurodevelopment disorders for which the item is intended (but not limited to):

k. Fetal Alcohol Syndrome Disorder (FASD)

l. Fragile X Syndrome

m. Rett’s Syndrome

n. Lesch-Nyhan Syndrome

o. Cornelia de Lange Syndrome

p. Prader-Willi Syndrome

q. Angelman Syndrome

r. 22 q deletion Syndrome (previously Velocardiofacial Syndrome)

s. Smith-Magenis Syndrome

t. Williams Syndrome

The following conditions are examples of conditions for which the item is not intended, as they can be assessed with a standard paediatric consultation:

b. Stand-alone diagnosis of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder without other severe neurodevelopmental co-morbidities or co-existing multi-domain disabilities.

d. that expert bodies are consulted to agree on an appropriate definition of complexity and severity, and which domains of cognitive and functional impairment should be considered.

Recommendation 7 – A new framework for telehealth

The Committee recommends:

a. removing the eight specialty-specific telehealth attendance items (items 113, 114, 384, 2799, 3003, 6004, 6025, and 6059) from the MBS;
b. incrementally reducing the derived fee for the nine telehealth loading items loading items (items 99, 112, 149, 389, 2820, 3015, 6016, 6026, and 6060) to zero;

c. undertaking annual analysis of the phase out so to identify potential unintended consequences; and

d. introducing new telehealth-specific attendance items (after the nine loading items have been removed) that mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items, with the same fees, and with item descriptors that describe recommended activities to be performed in each tier.

Table B.3: Telehealth attendance item descriptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level (item)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Professional attendance of more than 5 minutes but not more than 20 minutes by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality if: m) the attendance is by video conference; and n) the patient is not an admitted patient; and o) the patient: xiii. is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance--at least 15 km by road from the consultant specialist; or xiv. is a care recipient in a residential care service; or xv. is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies. An attendance including any of the following that are clinically relevant: d) a focused patient history e) implementing a management plan f) outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level B (THB)</td>
<td>6-20 minutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level C (THC)</td>
<td>21-40 minutes</td>
<td>Professional attendance of more than 20 minutes but not more than 40 minutes by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality if: p) the attendance is by video conference; and q) the patient is not an admitted patient; and r) the patient: xvi. is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance--at least 15 km by road from the consultant specialist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level (item)</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Item descriptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>consultant specialist; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xvii.</td>
<td></td>
<td>is a care recipient in a residential care service; or</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
xviii.      |          | is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies. |

An attendance including any of the following that are clinically relevant:

f) detailed patient history of a major single or multiple minor conditions

g) single or multiple minor diagnostic problems considered

h) a non-complex management plan and, if required;

i) discussion of multiple treatment options available, including;

i. Discussion of treatment options to assess pros and cons of each option given patient characteristics and medical history

ii. Consideration and discussion of necessary referrals to other health professionals

iii. Written documentation made available for the patient and/or carer that outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits

j) outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner

| Level | 41-60 minutes | Professional attendance of **more than 40 minutes but not more than 60 minutes** by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality if:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
<td>(THC)</td>
<td>s) the attendance is by video conference; and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
t|       |               | t) the patient is not an admitted patient; and |
u) the patient: |
|xix.  |               | is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance--at least 15 km by road from the consultant specialist; or |
|xx.   |               | is a care recipient in a residential care service; or |
|xxi.  |               | is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies. |

An attendance including any of the following that are clinically relevant:

f) comprehensive patient history of multiple conditions or a complex single condition

g) multiple diagnostic problems considered

h) a comprehensive management plan and, if required;

i) discussion of multiple treatment options available, including;

i. Discussion of treatment options to assess pros and cons of each option given patient characteristics and medical history

ii. Consideration and discussion of necessary referrals to other health professionals

iii. Written documentation made available for the patient and/or carer that outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits
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#### Recommendation 8 – Reinvest in telehealth

The Committee recommends reinvesting all savings from removing the telehealth loading towards non-MBS mechanisms designed that increase uptake of telehealth services in Australia via the following:

- a. Increase utilisation of telehealth services among consumers, GPs and PHNs, by:
  - i. developing and sharing the value proposition of telehealth with consumers, including the potential savings in time and travel costs;
  - ii. funding PHNs and consumer representatives (community champions) to carry out telehealth education and awareness building in targeted communities (for example, where GPs already provide telehealth);

### Table: Professional Attendance of More than 60 Minutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level (item)</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Item descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level E (THE)</td>
<td>More than 60 minutes</td>
<td>Professional attendance of <strong>more than 60 minutes</strong> by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her speciality if:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>v) the attendance is by video conference; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>w) the patient is not an admitted patient; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x) the patient:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>xxii. is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance--at least 15 km by road from consultant specialist; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>xxiii. is a care recipient in a residential care service; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>xxiv. is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An attendance including any of the following that are clinically relevant:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>f) extensive history of multiple complex conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g) multiple complex diagnoses considered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>h) a comprehensive management plan and, if required;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>i) discussion of multiple treatment options available, including;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>i. Discussion of treatment options to assess pros and cons of each option given patient characteristics and medical history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ii. Consideration and discussion of necessary referrals to other health professionals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>iii. Written documentation made available for the patient and/or carer that outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>j) Outcomes documented and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ii. educating GPs and PHNs to identify and promote telehealth to patient population groups that would most benefit from telehealth attendances—both those held directly with the specialist (for example, follow-up care) and those supported by a health professional (for example, more complex cases or where health literacy may be a challenge);

iv. investing in education and training of primary care workers, including telehealth training days and the development of training material (for example, online modules); and

v. promoting the use of MBS items that already exist for primary care workers to provide clinical support to patients participating in consultant specialist telehealth attendances (Category 8 of the MBS, Groups M12, M13, and M14).

b. Increasing the supply of telehealth services offered by consultant specialists, by:
   
i. developing the value proposition of telehealth for providers and sharing this with provider population groups that are most likely to offer telehealth services;
   
   ii. educating consultant specialists to identify and promote telehealth to patient population groups that would most benefit from telehealth attendances;
   
   iii. developing materials on how to set up and run telehealth services;
   
   iv. coordinating with Colleges to promote telehealth education and training, including awarding CPD points for telehealth training;
   
   v. encouraging Colleges to educate consultant specialists on the benefits of telehealth, how to set it up, and when it should be used; and
   
   vi. developing guidelines and tools to determine and resolve clinical governance issues.

**Recommendation 9 - Introduce a new framework of case conference items and allow access to all consultant specialists**

The Committee recommends:

   a. introducing a new simplified framework of case conference items, featuring three types:
      
      i. discharge planning case conferences - a case conference to facilitate better post-discharge care and communication;
ii. community case conferences - a case conference to facilitate the provision of better multidisciplinary care; and

iii. treatment planning case conferences (new) - a case conference that explores and analyses potential treatment options and their respective benefits.

b. restructuring current case conference items, by:

i. Replacing “Cancer planning” conferences with “treatment planning” conferences, broadening use of these items to other conditions that require treatment planning with peer medical practitioners but are not cancer diagnoses.

ii. Removing specialty-specific case conference items - with the exception of item 880 for geriatrics and rehabilitation medicine – will be removed, with consultant specialists instead accessing the three case conference categories listed above.

c. updating existing discharge and community case conference items (items 820, 822, 823, 825, 826 and 828, and items 830, 832, 834, 835, 837 and 838) descriptors to:

iii. Allow all consultant specialists to claim these items.

iv. Require:
   1. mandatory GP (or delegate) participation

   OR

   2. mandatory review of outcomes and communication of any proposed changes to the patient and to the case conference organiser

vii. Require mandatory patient (or delegate) invitation to participate. Their attendance should be made possible if the patient chooses to do so.

viii. Require outcomes to be documented in writing, including agreed, shared decisions and informed consent.

ix. Stipulate that participants have the option to attend face to face, by videoconference, or over the telephone.

x. Recommend that outcomes be uploaded to My Health Record by the GP (or delegate).

d. update explanatory notes to:

i. include that the GP may not always be the clinician who is the primary care provider for the patient (e.g. complex paediatric patients are sometimes
managed by a general or community paediatrician) and that in such cases, there should be mandatory participation of the patient’s primary care provider; and

ii. clarify that GP participation or review of outcomes should not be a pre-requisite for the item being claimed by other participants.

e. introduce six new treatment planning case conference items to discuss available treatment options with peer medical practitioners, to:

- Require the organiser to send pre-briefing material to participants prior to the conference, and to prepare a written document for the conference that outlines treatment options.
- Encourage GP participation (either face to face, over the phone or by videoconference).
- Stipulate that while final treatment decisions must be made together with the patient (unless there are exceptional circumstances), patient participation in initial treatment option discussion is not mandatory.
- Recommend that outcomes be uploaded to My Health Records, with the responsibility for uploading resting with the case conference organiser (refer to Recommendation 13).

f. maintain the current distinction between organiser/coordinator and participant roles;

g. introduce a new time tier for less than 15 minutes for each of the three categories; and

h. stipulate a minimum of 3 attendees of different disciplines at each case conference, which can include consultant specialists, GPs, AHPs, and nurse practitioners (refer to Recommendation 8) but should not include patients or carers.

To assist in interpreting this recommendation the Committee has provided new item descriptors in Table B.4.

### Table B.4: Case conference item descriptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>New item descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>82X (new)</td>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>Organise and coordinate</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her specialty to [insert role] a community case conference of at least [X] minutes but less than [X] minutes, requiring:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820</td>
<td>15–30 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>822</td>
<td>30–45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Role</td>
<td>New item descriptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>823</td>
<td>&gt; 45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>complex patient in the community; and h) Mandatory GP (or delegate) invitation and i) participation, or ii) review of outcomes and communication of any proposed changes to the patient and to the case conference organiser; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82Y</td>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>Participate</td>
<td>i) Mandatory patient (or delegate) invitation and to make it possible for them to attend; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>825</td>
<td>15–30 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>j) At least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines to be present; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>826</td>
<td>30–45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>k) Outcomes to be documented in writing, including shared decisions made and informed consent sought; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>828</td>
<td>&gt;45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>l) A copy of the case conference outcomes to be uploaded to My Health Record, unless patient consent is withdrawn, and where reasonably achievable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All participants must be in communication with each other throughout the conference, either face-to-face, by telephone or by videoconference, or a combination of these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83X</td>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>Organise and coordinate</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her specialty to [insert role] a discharge case conference of at least [X] minutes but less than [X] minutes, requiring:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g) The development and approval of a discharge management plan for transfer of care to the community setting and self-management; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>830</td>
<td>15–30 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>h) Mandatory GP (or delegate) invitation and i) participation, or ii) review of outcomes and communication of any proposed changes to the patient and to the case conference organiser; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>832</td>
<td>30–45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>i) Mandatory patient (or delegate) invitation and to make it possible for them to attend; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>834</td>
<td>&gt; 45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>j) At least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83Y</td>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>Participate</td>
<td>k) Outcomes to be documented in writing, including shared decisions made and informed consent sought; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>l) A copy of the case conference outcomes to be uploaded to My Health Record, unless patient consent is withdrawn, and where reasonably achievable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All participants must be in communication with each other throughout the conference, either face-to-face, by telephone or by videoconference, or a combination of these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Role</td>
<td>New item descriptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8TA</td>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>Organise and coordinate</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant specialist in the practice of his or her specialty to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(new)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[insert role] a treatment planning case conference of at least [X] minutes but</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>less than [X] minutes, requiring:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g) Discussion of treatment options, including risks and benefits, for patients who</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>have been diagnosed but not yet received treatment, or where a significant change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>in ongoing treatment requires MDT input; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>h) Written pre-brief materials to be sent prior to the conference that outlines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>available treatment options; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>i) Final treatment decisions to be made together with the patient (unless there are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>exceptional circumstances); and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>j) At least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines to be present;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>k) Outcomes to be documented in writing, including shared decisions made and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>informed consent sought; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>l) A copy of the case conference outcomes to be uploaded to My Health Record,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>unless patient consent is withdrawn, and where reasonably achievable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All participants must be in communication with each other throughout the conference, either face-to-face, by telephone or by videoconference, or a combination of these.

**Recommendation 10 - Introduce case conference items for allied health professionals (AHPs) and nurse practitioners**

The Committee recommends that AHPs who access these items should be limited to those who are eligible to access AHP items under Group M3 of the MBS, including:

- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health practitioners, Aboriginal health workers, audiologists, chiropractors, diabetes educators, dietitians, exercise physiologists, mental health nurses, occupational therapists, osteopaths, physiotherapists, podiatrists, psychologists, social workers, and speech pathologists.

*Note: Full descriptors for these items can be viewed in the final GPPCCC report.*

**Recommendation 11 – Referral for examination of informed financial consent**

The Committee recommends that the Principles and Rules Committee examine the issue of informed financial consent for out-of-pocket fees charged with case conference items.
Recommendation 12 - Establish a minimum data set to inform evidence-based clinical practice and inform patient choice

The Committee recommends a step-wise approach to establishing a national minimum data set, through:

a. Creating linkages with the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care to support a data-driven picture of variation in clinical practice nationally.

b. Establishing a minimum data set to record outcome and process data on topics such as mortality, morbidity, readmissions, and patient-reported outcomes. It is recommended the data be used to:
   i. benchmark internally and with peers (determined by the professional group) to drive quality improvement; and
   ii. inform patient choice of institution and consultant specialist (see further information in Recommendation 11).

c. Ensuring complete integrity in the accuracy of the data, the rigour of its analysis, and its appropriate risk-weighting before being used for the purposes of comparison. This includes, but should not be limited to, addressing the following challenges:
   i. newly qualified consultant specialists;
   ii. consultant specialists returning from a long leave of absence;
   iii. the effect of a complication where the incidence of that complication is extremely low;
   iv. consultant specialists who treat low volume disease of high complexity;
   v. the introduction of new technologies for a service;
   vi. the opening of new units (e.g., new operating theatre);
   vii. consultant Specialists whose referral base provides high risk patients; and
   viii. complication “clusters”.

d. Progressing the minimum data set into a comprehensive data set, populated by data available from private health insurers and state public health systems.

e. Using the collection of homogenous data to inform colleges and peak bodies of trends in clinical practice so that CPD and other improvement levers can be more targeted.

f. Support clinical audits as a mandatory part of CPD and required attendance of 80 per cent of mortality and morbidity meetings.

Recommendation 13 – Provide transparency on the cost and quality of consultant specialist services

The Committee recommends that:

a. MBS cost data, including data on out-of-pocket fees, is shared at an institutional and individual provider level;
b. consultant specialist risk-weighted outcome data discussed in Recommendation 10 is shared at an institutional and individual provider level;
   i. noting that outcome data at an individual provider level should only be shared when the challenges identified in Recommendation 10 have been addressed to ensure its integrity;

c. cost and outcome data are shared with the patient through their GP at the time of referral; and
d. the presentation of cost and outcome data should be co-designed with consumers and include a clear explanation of the data and its limitations.

Recommendation 14 - Improve informed patient consent and shared decision-making practices

The Committee recommends:

a. Including the following in standard attendance item descriptors (refer to Recommendation 1) when multiple treatment options are available:
   i. discussion of patient treatment options to assess the risks and benefits of each option, given the patient’s characteristics and medical history;
   ii. consideration and discussion of referrals to other health professionals; and
   iii. a requirement for written documentation, made available to the patient and/or carer, which outlines treatment options and information on associated risks and benefits.

b. That provider education on the patient consent process be promoted through:
   i. colleges, using CPD as a lever; and
   ii. increased patient awareness of the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care’s clinical standards, achieved via media campaigns and by informing GPs.

c. Improving the consent process by including in provider education materials and other relevant materials the following information:
   i. the aim of the informed consent discussion is to give a patient the information they need to make a decision about their treatment or procedure (if any);
   ii. the discussion must be tailored to the individual patient;
   iii. informed consent during medical practice—on both treatment options and financial costs—is an essential component of comprehensive medical care. Patient authorisation is “informed” when the physician discloses and the patient understands the diagnosis, the relevant options for treatment (including no treatment) and any respective risks and benefits;
   iv. doctors should give advice, but there should be no coercion. The patient should be free to accept or reject the advice offered;
v. clinicians should give information about the risks of any intervention, especially those that are likely to influence the patient’s decisions;
vi. the informed consent process should be documented thoroughly, using an electronic medical record, procedure-specific consent forms, patient education materials and other options whenever possible; and
vii. mechanisms should be in place to monitor the quality of the patient consent process, such as peer review and patient reported outcomes.

Recommendation 15 – Case conference use of My Health Record

The Committee recommends outcomes of case conferences are uploaded to My Health Record, with:

a. for treatment planning case conferences, the specialist organising the conference should be responsible for the upload; and
b. for community and discharge case conferences, the GP participating in the case conference should be responsible for the upload.

Note: See case conference item descriptors in Section 7 and Recommendation 7e(iv).

Recommendation 16 – Incentivise adoption of My Health Record

The Committee recommends:

a. introducing a single incentive payment to consultant specialists upon their adoption of My Health Record, triggered by achieving a volume of uploads that is proportional to the number of attendances that the provider performs; and
b. reviewing the effectiveness of the incentive payment after XX period.

Recommendation 17 – Encourage adoption of My Health Record

The Committee recommends:

a. improving the functionality of My Health Record and educate consultant specialists on the benefits of its use;
b. continuing to develop and enhance the functionality and ability to search the data of My Health Record, so that it becomes a value-add tool for clinicians in their day-to-day delivery of quality patient care;
c. broadening training for health care providers to include education about using the My Health Record system clinically, and about its benefits for patients and the health system;
i. including the development of appropriate scenarios relevant to the full range of health care providers across disciplines and clinical settings; and
d. working with academic institutions to embed digital health competencies into undergraduate and postgraduate training and CPD programmes.

Recommendation 18 – Retain the current specialist to specialist referral validity period

The Committee recommends the retention of the specialist-to-specialist referral validity to three months.

Recommendation 19 – Introducing a new AHP pathway

The Committee recommends the consideration introducing an AHP pathway for consultant specialists under certain circumstances, but only after a full review of the evidence and the associated costs and benefits of any suggested pathway.
### Appendix C  Working group membership

#### Table C.1: Time-tiered attendances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Position/organisation</th>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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<td>Nephrologist, University of Adelaide</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Eleanor Chew (Ex-Officio)</td>
<td>GP practising in Brisbane; Member of the MBS Review Principles and Rules Committee (PARC) and the GP and Primary Care Clinical Committee</td>
<td>MBS Taskforce; Board Member of the Australian Digital Health Agency MBS Taskforce; Board Member of Professional Services Review Panel; Member of the Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Debra Kay</td>
<td>Consumer Representative</td>
<td>Member of the Consumer Issues Panel and the Principles and Rules Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Julie Thompson</td>
<td>General Practitioner</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Philip Truskett AM (Co-Chair)</td>
<td>General Surgeon at Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table C.2: Telehealth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Position/organisation</th>
<th>Declared conflicts of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Adam Friederich</td>
<td>Consumer Representative; Works in the Australian public service; Strong interest in health consumer issues; Served on other committees for the MBS Review</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr John North</td>
<td>Senior Visiting Orthopaedic Surgeon, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane; Senior Visiting Orthopaedic Surgeon (Telehealth), Mt Isa Hospital and NWHHS; Chair of the MBS Review Orthopaedic Committee</td>
<td>Panel member of the Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Julie Thompson</td>
<td>General Practitioner in Victoria</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Philip Truskett AM (Co-Chair)</td>
<td>General Surgeon at the Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table C.3: Contemporary roles of medical practitioners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Position/organisation</th>
<th>Declared conflicts of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr Paul Blackman</td>
<td>Sport and Exercise Physician, Olympic Park Sports Centre Medicine</td>
<td>Board Member of the Australasian College of Sports and Exercise Physicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Debra Kay PSM</td>
<td>Consumer Member, Medical Services Advisory Committee (MSAC) and Health Technology Assessment Consumer Consultative Committee (CCC); Chair, MBS Review Consumer Panel; Member of the MBS Review Principles and Rules Committee</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. David Story</td>
<td>Professor and Chair of Anaesthesia, University of Melbourne; Staff Anaesthetist, Austin Hospital, Melbourne; Part of the MBS Review for Urology</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. David Watters OBE</td>
<td>Chair of the Surgical and Critical Care Programme Safety and Quality Committee; General and Endocrine Surgeon at University Hospital and St John of God Hospital, Geelong; Professor of Surgery, Deakin University</td>
<td>Member of the Clinical Measurement and Reporting Group for the Victorian Agency of Health Information (VAHI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table C.4: Use of data to inform quality care and patient choice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Position/organisation</th>
<th>Declared conflicts of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr Eleanor Chew (Ex-Officio)</td>
<td>GP practising in Brisbane; Member of the MBS Review Principles and Rules Committee (PARC) and GP and Primary Care Clinical Committee</td>
<td>MBS Taskforce; Board Member of the Australian Digital Health Agency; Member of the Professional Services Review Panel; Member of the AHPRA panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. John North</td>
<td>Senior Visiting Orthopaedic Surgeon, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane; Senior Visiting Orthopaedic Surgeon (Telehealth), Mt Isa Hospital and NWHHs; Chair of the MBS Review Orthopaedic Committee</td>
<td>Panel member for AHPRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Graeme Samuel AC</td>
<td>Non-clinician; Professional Fellow in Monash University’s Business School and School of Public Health and Preventative Medicine</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table C.5: Encouraging use of case conference items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Position/organisation</th>
<th>Declared conflicts of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Derek Chew</td>
<td>Director of Cardiology, Flinders Medical Centre and Academic at Flinders University; Committee Member of the Cardiac Services Clinical Committee</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Steve De Graaf, Chair</td>
<td>Senior Rehabilitation Physician and Director of Pain Services, Epworth HealthCare; Past President, Australasian Faculty of Rehabilitation Medicine (RACP)</td>
<td>Wife is an allied health professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Adam Friederich</td>
<td>Consumer Representative; Works in the Australian public service; Strong interest in health consumer issues; Served on other committees for the MBS Review</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Julie Thompson</td>
<td>General Practitioner in Victoria</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table C.6: Acute attendances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Position/organisation</th>
<th>Declared conflicts of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Derek Chew</td>
<td>Director of Cardiology, Flinders Medical Centre and Academic at Flinders University; Committee Member of the Cardiac Services Clinical Committee</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Robert Carroll</td>
<td>Nephrologist, University of Adelaide</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. David Watters OBE</td>
<td>Chair of Surgical and Critical Care Programme Safety and Quality Committee; General and Endocrine Surgeon at University Hospital and St John of God Hospital, Geelong; Professor of Surgery, Deakin University</td>
<td>Member of the Clinical Measurement and Reporting Group for the Victorian Agency of Health Information (VAHI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table C.7: Case conference cost-shifting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Position/organisation</th>
<th>Declared conflicts of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr Steve De Graaf, Chair</td>
<td>Senior Rehabilitation Physician and Director of Pain Services, Epworth HealthCare; Past President, Australasian Faculty of Rehabilitation Medicine (RACP)</td>
<td>Wife is an allied health professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Philip Truskett AM (Co-chair)</td>
<td>General Surgeon at the Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Andrew Singer</td>
<td>Principle Medical Adviser in the Department of Health; Adjunct Associate Professor in the Australian National University Medical School; Emergency Medicine Physician</td>
<td>Department of Health Employee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table C.8: Fetal alcohol spectrum disorder and other complex neurodevelopmental disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Position/organisation</th>
<th>Declared conflicts of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Anthony Lawler (Co-Chair)</td>
<td>Emergency Physician; Past President of the Australasian College for Emergency Medicine (ACEM); Chief Medical Officer in Tasmania</td>
<td>Board member of ACEM; Jurisdictional employee in Tasmania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Philip Truskett AM (Co-Chair)</td>
<td>General Surgeon at the Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Malcolm Hopwood (Chair of Psychiatry Clinical Committee)</td>
<td>Professor of Psychiatry, Ramsay Health Care; Member of the Board of the Sumner Foundation; Member of the Board of Phoenix Australia</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Elizabeth Elliott</td>
<td>Clinical Academic at the University of Sydney; Consultant Paediatrician Sydney Children’s Hospital Network (Westmead); Recent research focus on fetal alcohol spectrum disorders</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr James Oldham (Member of Psychiatry Clinical Committee)</td>
<td>Senior Staff Specialist Psychiatrist, Child and Mental Health Services, Adolescent Inpatient Service &amp; Adolescent Day Unit, Shellharbour Hospital</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Professor Beth Kotze (Member of Psychiatry Clinical Committee)</td>
<td>Executive Director Mental Health, Western Sydney Local Health District</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Peter Jenkins (Member of Psychiatry Clinical Committee)</td>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
<td>Board Director of RANZCP; Chair of the MBS Review Working Group of RANZCP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix D  Summary for consumers

This table describes the medical service, the recommendation(s) of the clinical experts and why the recommendation(s) has been made.

### Table D.1. Standard attendance items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>What it does</th>
<th>Committee recommendation</th>
<th>What would be different</th>
<th>Why</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty after referral of the patient to him or her—each attendance, other than a second or subsequent attendance, in a single course of treatment, other than a service to which item 106, 109 or 16401 applies</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her—an attendance after the first in a single course of treatment, if that attendance is at consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of ophthalmology and following referral of the patient to him or her—an attendance (other than a second or subsequent attendance in a single course of treatment) at which the only service provided is refraction testing for the issue of a prescription for spectacles or contact lenses, if that attendance is at consulting rooms or hospital (other than a service to which any of items 104, 109 and 10801 to 10816 applies)</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her—an attendance (other than a second or subsequent attendance in a single course of treatment), if that attendance is at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her—each attendance after the first in a single course of treatment, if that attendance is at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of ophthalmology following referral of the patient to him or her—an attendance</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment (other than a second or subsequent attendance in which a comprehensive eye examination, including pupil dilation, is performed on: (a) a patient aged 9 years or younger; or (b) a patient aged 14 years or younger with developmental delay, (other than a service to which any of items 104, 106 and 10801 to 10816 applies)</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or in hospital by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—an attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment, if: (a) during the attendance, the specialist determines the need to perform an operation on the patient that had not otherwise been scheduled; and (b) the specialist subsequently performs the operation on the patient, on the same day; and (c) the operation is a service to which an item in Group T8 applies; and (d) the amount specified in the item in Group T8 as the fee for a service to which that item applies is $300 or more for any particular patient, once only on the same day</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—an attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment, if: (a) the attendance is not a minor attendance; and (b) during the attendance, the consultant physician determines the need to perform an operation on the patient that had not otherwise been scheduled; and (c) the consultant physician subsequently performs the operation on the patient, on the same day; and (d) the operation is a</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or in hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—an attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment, if: (a) the attendance is not a minor attendance; and (b) during the attendance, the consultant physician determines the need to perform an operation on the patient that had not otherwise been scheduled; and (c) the consultant physician subsequently performs the operation on the patient, on the same day; and (d) the operation is a</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>service to which an item in Group T8 applies; and (e) the amount specified in the item in Group T8 as the fee for a service to which that item applies is $300 or more For any particular patient, once only on the same day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each minor attendance after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or in hospital by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—an attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment, if: (a) the attendance is a minor attendance; and (b) during the attendance, the consultant physician determines the need to perform an operation on the patient that had not otherwise been scheduled; and (c) the consultant physician subsequently performs the operation on the patient, on the same day; and (d) the operation is a service to which an item in Group T8 applies; and (e) the amount specified in the item in Group T8 as the fee for a service to which that item applies is $300 or more For any particular patient, once only on the same day</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance (other than a service to which item 131 applies) after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each minor attendance after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>Professional attendance for a period of not less than 1 hour but less than 2 hours (other than a service to which another item applies) on a patient in imminent danger of death</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>Professional attendance for a period of not less than 2 hours but less than 3 hours (other than a service to which another item applies) on a patient in imminent danger of death</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>Professional attendance for a period of not less than 3 hours but less than 4 hours (other than a service to which another item applies) on a patient in imminent danger of death</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>Professional attendance for a period of not less than 4 hours but less than 5 hours (other than a service to which another item applies) on a patient in imminent danger of death</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>Professional attendance for a period of 5 hours or more (other than a service to which another item applies) on a patient in imminent danger of death</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant occupational physician in the practice of his or her specialty of occupational medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner--initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>386</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant occupational physician in the practice of his or her specialty of occupational medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner--each attendance after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant occupational physician in the practice of his or her specialty of occupational medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner--initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant occupational physician in the practice of his or her specialty of occupational medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner--each attendance after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>health medicine--attendance for an obvious problem characterised by the straightforward nature of the task that requires a short patient history and, if required, limited examination and management.</td>
<td>standard attendances</td>
<td>replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine at consulting rooms, lasting less than 20 minutes and including any of the following that are clinically relevant: (a) taking a patient history; (b) performing a clinical examination; (c) arranging any necessary investigation; (d) implementing a management plan; (e) providing appropriate preventive health care; for one or more health-related issues, with appropriate documentation.</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine at consulting rooms, lasting at least 20 minutes and including any of the following that are clinically relevant: (a) taking a detailed patient history; (b) performing a clinical examination; (c) arranging any necessary investigation; (d) implementing a management plan; (e) providing appropriate preventive health care; for one or more health-related issues, with appropriate documentation.</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine at consulting rooms, lasting at least 40 minutes and including any of the following that are clinically relevant: (a) taking an extensive patient history; (b) performing a clinical examination; (c) arranging any necessary investigation; (d) implementing a management plan; (e) providing appropriate preventive health care; for one or more health-related issues, with appropriate documentation.</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>414</td>
<td>Professional attendance at other than consulting rooms by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine--attendance for an obvious problem characterised by the straightforward nature of the task that requires a short patient history and, if required, limited examination and management</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine at other than consulting rooms, lasting less than 20 minutes and including any of the following that are clinically relevant: (a) taking a patient history; (b) performing a</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine at other than consulting rooms, lasting at least 20 minutes and including any of the following that are clinically relevant: (a) taking a detailed patient history; (b) performing a clinical examination; (c) arranging any necessary investigation; (d) implementing a management plan; (e) providing appropriate preventive health care; for one or more health-related issues, with appropriate documentation</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>417</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a public health physician in the practice of his or her specialty of public health medicine at other than consulting rooms, lasting at least 40 minutes and including any of the following that are clinically relevant: (a) taking an extensive patient history; (b) performing a clinical examination; (c) arranging any necessary investigation; (d) implementing a management plan; (e) providing appropriate preventive health care; for one or more health-related issues, with appropriate documentation</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2801</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2806</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance (other than a service to which item 2814 applies) after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2814</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each minor attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2824</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2832</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance (other than a service to which item 2840 applies) after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2840</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each minor attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3005</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3010</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance (other than a service to which item 3014 applies) after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3014</td>
<td>Professional attendance at consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each minor attendance after the first attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3018</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3023</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—each attendance (other than a service to which item 3024 applies) after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3028</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner--each attendance (other than a service to which item 3028 applies) after the first in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Replace from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6007</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of neurosurgery following referral of the patient to him or her--an attendance (other than a second or subsequent attendance in a single course of treatment) at consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>Replace from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6009</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of neurosurgery following referral of the patient to him or her--a minor attendance after the first in a single course of treatment at consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>Replace from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6011</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of neurosurgery following referral of the patient to him or her--an attendance after the first in a single course of treatment, involving an extensive and comprehensive examination, arranging any necessary investigations in relation to one or more complex problems and of more than 15 minutes in duration but not more than 30 minutes in duration at consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>Replace from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6013</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of neurosurgery following referral of the patient to him or her--an attendance after the first in a single course of treatment, involving a detailed and comprehensive examination, arranging any necessary investigations in relation to one or more complex problems and of more than 30 minutes in duration but not more than 45 minutes in duration at consulting rooms or hospital</td>
<td>Replace from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6015</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a specialist in the practice of neurosurgery following referral of the patient to him or her--an attendance after the first in a single course of treatment, involving an exhaustive and comprehensive examination, arranging any necessary</td>
<td>Replace from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6018</td>
<td>Professional attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if the attendance: (a) includes a comprehensive assessment; and (b) is the first or only time in a single course of treatment that a comprehensive assessment is provided</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6019</td>
<td>Professional attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if the attendance is a patient assessment: (a) before or after a comprehensive assessment under item 6018 in a single course of treatment; or (b) that follows an initial assessment under item 6023 in a single course of treatment; or (c) that follows a review under item 6024 in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6051</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if the attendance: (a) includes a comprehensive assessment; and (b) is the first or only time in a single course of treatment that a comprehensive assessment is provided</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6052</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if the attendance is a patient assessment: (a) before or after a comprehensive assessment under item 6051 in a single course of treatment; or (b) that follows an initial assessment under item 6057 in a single course of treatment; or (c) that follows a review under item 6058 in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6062</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or a hospital by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner—initial attendance in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6063</td>
<td>Professional attendance at a place other than consulting rooms or a hospital by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty following referral of the patient to him or her by a sexual health medicine specialist</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; replace with time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance item</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>referring practitioner—each attendance after the attendance under item 6062 in a single course of treatment</td>
<td>standard attendance item</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation 2</td>
<td>Introduce new attendance items for acute, urgent and unplanned attendances</td>
<td>Introduce the new items</td>
<td>There would be four new time-tiered attendance items which could only be used in specific situations where the attendance is acute, urgent, unplanned, and does not take place in consulting rooms or in the ED of a public hospital</td>
<td>To reimburse acute, urgent and unplanned attendances at a fee higher than the schedule fee for standard time-tiered attendances of an equivalent duration, recognising that they may result in a consultant specialist postponing or cancelling a previously scheduled procedural risk, and that these attendances often involve multiple face-to-face patient interactions, conversations with other medical professionals and liaising with carers to access patient information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation 3</td>
<td>Consider further issues when implementing time-tiering</td>
<td>Consider: (a) collecting data on the duration of attendances across specialties, and activities performed; (b) using this data to model the impact of time-tiering on service volume and benefits; (c) investing in change management; (d) making the model simple; (e) adding a longer time tier should the need be identified; (f) regular reviews of items post-implementation; (g) making descriptors detailed to enable auditing; (h) harmonising time-tiers in the MBS that apply to other consultant specialists; and (i) setting time-tiers that reduce the incentive to claim a higher time-tier</td>
<td>Ensures that time-tiering is effective in achieving its goals</td>
<td>To ensure that relevant considerations are addressed when time-tiering is implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommendation 4</strong></td>
<td>Approach to fee-setting</td>
<td>Consider the approach to fee-setting, including building support for the principles of time-tiering before introducing schedule fees; ensuring a linear relationship between attendance time tiers and schedule fees from the outset; recognising the non-face-to-face time spent on each attendance; and recognising the lack of current data</td>
<td>Ensure that fees are supported and appropriately reflect the new workload for clinicians</td>
<td>To assist the fee setting process to set appropriate fees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table D.2. Complex management plans attendance items**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>What it does</th>
<th>Committee recommendation</th>
<th>What would be different</th>
<th>Why</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty (other than psychiatry) of at least 45 minutes in duration for an initial assessment of a patient with at least 2 morbidities (which may include complex congenital, developmental and behavioural disorders) following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if: (a) an assessment is undertaken that covers: (i) a comprehensive history, including psychosocial history and medication review; and (ii) comprehensive multi or detailed single organ system assessment; and (iii) the formulation of differential diagnoses; and (b) a consultant physician treatment and management plan of significant complexity is prepared and provided to the referring practitioner, which involves: (i) an opinion on diagnosis and risk assessment; and (ii) treatment options and decisions; and (iii) medication recommendations; and (c) an attendance on the patient to which item 110, 116 or 119 applies did not take place on the same day by the same consultant physician</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance items and complex management plans attendance items</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Professional attendance of at least 20 minutes duration subsequent to the first attendance in a single course of treatment for a review of a patient with at least two morbidities (this can include complex congenital, developmental and behavioural disorders), where a) a review is undertaken that covers:- review of initial presenting problem/s and results of diagnostic investigations- review of responses to treatment and medication plans initiated at time of initial consultation comprehensive multi or detailed single organ system assessment,- review of original and differential diagnoses; and b) a modified consultant physician treatment and management plan is provided to the referring practitioner that involves, where appropriate:- a revised opinion on the diagnosis and risk assessment - treatment options and decisions- revised medication recommendations not being an attendance on a patient in respect of whom, an attendance under item 110, 116 and 119 has been received on the same day by the same consultant physician or locum tenens. being an attendance on a patient in respect of whom, in the preceding 12 months, payment has been made under item 132. item 133 can be provided by either the same consultant physician or a locum tenens. payable no more than twice in any 12 month period.</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance items and complex management plans attendance items</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Professional attendance of at least 45 minutes in duration at consulting rooms or hospital, by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty of paediatrics, following referral of the patient to the consultant by a referring practitioner, for assessment, diagnosis and preparation of a treatment and management plan for a patient aged under 13 years with autism or another pervasive developmental disorder; if the consultant paediatrician does all of the following: (a) undertakes a comprehensive assessment and makes a diagnosis (if appropriate, using information provided by an eligible allied health provider); (b) develops a treatment and management plan, which must include the following: (i) an assessment and diagnosis of the patient’s condition; (ii) a risk assessment; (iii) treatment options and decisions; (iv) if necessary—medical recommendations; (c) provides a copy of the treatment and management plan to: (i) the referring practitioner; and (ii) one or more allied health providers, if appropriate, for the treatment of the patient; other than attendance on a patient for whom</td>
<td>Change item descriptor to ensure paediatric complex plans are performed by a consultant paediatrician including an assessment ‘for the purposes of making a diagnosis’ of the patient’s condition</td>
<td>The item for paediatric complex plans remains in place with a strengthened descriptor</td>
<td>To allow appropriate access to paediatric complex plan items and their associated AHP services for appropriate patients, including children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder and other complex neurodevelopmental disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Specialist or consultant physician, referred consultation for assessment, diagnosis and development of a treatment and management plan for a child with an eligible disability - surgery or hospital professional attendance of at least 45 minutes duration, at consulting rooms or hospital, by a specialist or consultant physician, for assessment, diagnosis and the preparation of a treatment and management plan for a child aged under 13 years, with an eligible disability, who has been referred to the specialist or consultant physician by a referring practitioner, if the specialist or consultant physician does the following:(a) undertakes a comprehensive assessment of the child and forms a diagnosis (using the assistance of one or more allied health providers where appropriate)(b) develops a treatment and management plan which must include the following: (i) the outcomes of the assessment; (ii) the diagnosis or diagnoses; (iii) opinion on risk assessment; (iv) treatment options and decisions; (v) appropriate medication recommendations, where necessary,(c) provides a copy of the treatment and management plan to the: (i) referring practitioner; and (ii) relevant allied health providers (where appropriate), not being an attendance on a child in respect of whom payment has previously been made under this item or items 135, 139 or 289.</td>
<td>Change item descriptor to ensure paediatric complex plans are performed by a consultant paediatrician including an assessment ‘for the purposes of making a diagnosis’ of the patient’s condition</td>
<td>The item for paediatric complex plans remains in place with a strengthened descriptor</td>
<td>To allow appropriate access to paediatric complex plan items and their associated AHP services for appropriate patients, including children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder and other complex neurodevelopmental disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Professional attendance of more than 60 minutes in duration at consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant physician or specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of geriatric medicine, if: (a) the patient is at least 65 years old and referred by a medical practitioner practising in general practice (including a general practitioner, but not including a specialist or consultant physician) or a participating nurse practitioner; and (b) the attendance is initiated by the referring practitioner for the provision of a comprehensive assessment and management plan; and (c) during the attendance: (i) the medical, physical, psychological and social aspects of the patient’s health are evaluated in detail using appropriately validated assessment tools if indicated (the assessment); and (ii) the patient’s various health problems and care needs are identified and prioritised (the formulation); and (iii) a detailed management plan is prepared (the management plan) setting out: (a) the prioritised list of health problems and care needs; and (b) short and longer term</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance items and complex management plans attendance items</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Professional attendance of more than 30 minutes in duration at consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant physician or specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of geriatric medicine to review a management plan previously prepared by that consultant physician or specialist under item 141 or 145, if: (a) the review is initiated by the referring medical practitioner practising in general practice or a participating nurse practitioner; and (b) during the attendance: (i) the patient’s health status is reassessed; and (ii) a management plan prepared under item 141 or 145 is reviewed and revised; and (iii) the revised management plan is explained to the patient and (if appropriate) the patient’s family and any carers and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner; and (c) an attendance to which item 104, 105, 107, 108, 110, 116 or 119 applies has not been provided to the patient on the same day by the same practitioner; and (d) an attendance to which this item or item 145 applies has not been provided to the patient by the same practitioner in the preceding 12 months</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance items and complex management plans attendance items</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>Professional attendance of more than 60 minutes in duration at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant physician or specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of geriatric medicine, if: (a) the patient is at least 65 years old and referred by a medical</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance items and complex</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>practitioner practising in general practice (including a general practitioner, but not including a specialist or consultant physician) or a participating nurse practitioner; and (b) the attendance is initiated by the referring practitioner for the provision of a comprehensive assessment and management plan; and (c) during the attendance: (i) the medical, physical, psychological and social aspects of the patient’s health are evaluated in detail utilising appropriately validated assessment tools if indicated (the assessment); and (ii) the patient’s various health problems and care needs are identified and prioritised (the formulation); and (iii) a detailed management plan is prepared (the management plan) setting out: (a) the prioritised list of health problems and care needs; and (b) short and longer term management goals; and (c) recommended actions or intervention strategies, to be undertaken by the patient’s general practitioner or another relevant health care provider that are likely to improve or maintain health status and are readily available and acceptable to the patient, the patient’s family and any carers; and (iv) the management plan is explained and discussed with the patient and, if appropriate, the patient’s family and any carers; and (v) the management plan is communicated in writing to the referring practitioner; and (d) an attendance to which item 104, 105, 107, 108, 110, 116 or 119 applies has not been provided to the patient on the same day by the same practitioner; and (e) an attendance to which this item or item 141 applies has not been provided to the patient by the same practitioner in the preceding 12 months</td>
<td>management plans attendance items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professional attendance of more than 30 minutes in duration at a place other than consulting rooms or hospital by a consultant physician or specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of geriatric medicine to review a management plan previously prepared by that consultant physician or specialist under items 141 or 145, if: (a) the review is initiated by the referring medical practitioner practising in general practice or a participating nurse practitioner; and (b) during the attendance: (i) the patient’s health status is reassessed; and (ii) a management plan that was prepared under item 141 or 145 is reviewed and revised; and (iii) the revised management plan is explained to the patient and (if appropriate) the patient's family and any carers and communicated in writing to the referring practitioner</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance items and complex management plans attendance items</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

147
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>What it does</th>
<th>Committee recommendation</th>
<th>What would be different</th>
<th>Why</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6023</td>
<td>Professional attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of at least 45 minutes for an initial assessment of a patient with at least 2 morbidities, following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if: (a) an assessment is undertaken that covers: (i) a comprehensive history, including psychosocial history and medication review; and (ii) a comprehensive multi or detailed single organ system assessment; and (iii) the formulation of differential diagnoses; and (b) an addiction medicine specialist treatment and management plan of significant complexity that includes the following is prepared and provided to the referring practitioner: (i) an opinion on diagnosis and risk assessment; (ii) treatment options and decisions; (iii) medication recommendations; and (c) an attendance on the patient to which item 104, 105, 110, 116, 119, 132, 133, 6018 or 6019 applies did not take place on the same day by the same addiction medicine specialist; and (d) neither this item nor item 132 has applied to an attendance on the patient in the preceding 12 months by the same addiction medicine specialist</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance items and complex management plans attendance items</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6024</td>
<td>Professional attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of at least 20 minutes, after the first attendance in a single course of treatment, for a review of a patient with at least 2 morbidities if: (a) a review is undertaken that covers: (i) review of initial presenting problems and results of diagnostic investigations; and (ii) review of responses to treatment and medication plans initiated at time of initial consultation; and (iii) comprehensive multi or detailed single organ system assessment; and (iv) review of original and differential diagnoses; and (b) the modified addiction medicine specialist treatment and management plan is provided to the referring practitioner,</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance items and complex management plans attendance items</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>which involves, if appropriate: (i) a revised opinion on diagnosis and risk assessment; and (ii) treatment options and decisions; and (iii) revised medication recommendations; and (c) an attendance on the patient to which item 104, 105, 110, 116, 119, 132, 133, 6018 or 6019 applies did not take place on the same day by the same addiction medicine specialist; and (d) item 6023 applied to an attendance claimed in the preceding 12 months; and (e) the attendance under this item is claimed by the same addiction medicine specialist who claimed item 6023 or by a locum tenens; and (f) this item has not applied more than twice in any 12 month period</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance items and complex management plans attendance items</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6057</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of at least 45 minutes for an initial assessment of a patient with at least 2 morbidities, following referral of the patient to him or her by a referring practitioner, if: (a) an assessment is undertaken that covers: (i) a comprehensive history, including psychosocial history and medication review; and (ii) a comprehensive multi or detailed single organ system assessment; and (iii) the formulation of differential diagnoses; and (b) a sexual health medicine specialist treatment and management plan of significant complexity that includes the following is prepared and provided to the referring practitioner: (i) an opinion on diagnosis and risk assessment; (ii) treatment options and decisions; (iii) medication recommendations; and (c) an attendance on the patient to which item 104, 105, 110, 116, 119, 132, 133, 6051 or 6052 applies did not take place on the same day by the same sexual health medicine specialist; and (d) neither this item nor item 132 has applied to an attendance on the patient in the preceding 12 months by the same sexual health medicine specialist</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance items and complex management plans attendance items</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6058</td>
<td>Professional attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty of at least 20 minutes, after the first attendance in a single course of treatment, for a review of a patient with at least 2 morbidities if: (a) a review is undertaken that covers: (i) review of initial presenting problems and results of diagnostic investigations; and (ii) review of responses to treatment and medication plans initiated at time of initial consultation; and (iii) comprehensive multi or detailed single organ system assessment; and (iv) review of original and differential diagnoses; and (b) the modified sexual health medicine specialist</td>
<td>Remove from MBS; Complex plans to be claimed via standard time-tiered standard attendances</td>
<td>Time-tiered attendance items would replace the current standard attendance items and complex management plans attendance items</td>
<td>Makes the standard attendance model more simple, equitable, and enables more consistent billing for similar services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### D.3. Telehealth attendance items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>What it does</th>
<th>Committee recommendation</th>
<th>What would be different</th>
<th>Why</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a specialist practising in his or her specialty if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 104 lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 105; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
<td>The derived fee on loading items would be incrementally reduced to zero and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced that mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a consultant physician practising in his or her specialty if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 110 lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 116, 119, 132 or 133; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
<td>The derived fee on loading items would be incrementally reduced to zero and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced that mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less in duration on a patient by a specialist in the practice of his or her specialty if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
<td>The specialty-specific telehealth items would be removed from the MBS, and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced to mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less in duration on a patient by a consultant physician practising in his or her specialty if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
<td>The specialty-specific telehealth items would be removed from the MBS, and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced to mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a consultant physician or specialist practising in his or her specialty of geriatric medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) item 141 or 143 applies to the attendance; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the physician or specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
<td>The derived fee on loading items would be incrementally reduced to zero and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced that mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less in duration on a patient by a consultant occupational physician practising in his or her specialty of occupational medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a)</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
<td>The specialty-specific telehealth items would be removed from the MBS, and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a consultant occupational physician practising in his or her specialty of occupational medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 385 lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 386; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance— at least 15 kms by road from the physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
<td>The derived fee on loading items would be incrementally reduced to zero and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced that mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2799</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less in duration on a patient by a specialist or consultant physician practising in his or her specialty of pain medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance— at least 15 kms by road from the specialist or physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
<td>The specialty-specific telehealth items would be removed from the MBS, and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced to mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2820</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a specialist or consultant physician practising in his or her specialty of pain medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 2801 lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 2806 or 2814; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance— at least 15 kms by road from the specialist or physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
<td>The derived fee on loading items would be incrementally reduced to zero and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced that mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kms by road from the specialist or physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>attendance items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3003</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less in duration on a patient by a specialist or consultant physician practising in his or her specialty of palliative medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the specialist or physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3015</td>
<td>Professional attendance on a patient by a specialist or consultant physician practising in his or her specialty of palliative medicine if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 3005 lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 3010 or 3014; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the specialist or physician; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
<td></td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6004</td>
<td>Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less in duration on a patient by a specialist practising in his or her specialty of neurosurgery if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19(2)</td>
<td>of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
<td>The derived fee on loading items would be incrementally reduced to zero and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced that mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6016 Professional attendance on a patient by a specialist practising in his or her specialty of neurosurgery if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 6007 lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 6009, 6011, 6013 or 6015; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 kms by road from the specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
<td>The derived fee on loading items would be incrementally reduced to zero and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced that mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6025 Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less, on a patient by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 km by road from the addiction medicine specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment</td>
<td>Remove from MBS</td>
<td>The specialty-specific telehealth items would be removed from the MBS, and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced to mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6026 Professional attendance on a patient by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 6018 or 6019 and lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 6023 or 6024; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance—at least 15 km by road from the addiction medicine specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies</td>
<td>Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items</td>
<td>The derived fee on loading items would be incrementally reduced to zero and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced that mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items</td>
<td>Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item | What it does | Committee recommendation | What would be different | Why
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
6059 | Initial professional attendance of 10 minutes or less, on a patient by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (c) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance--at least 15 km by road from the sexual health medicine specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies; and (d) no other initial consultation has taken place for a single course of treatment | Remove from MBS | The specialty-specific telehealth items would be removed from the MBS, and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced to mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items | Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services

6060 | Professional attendance on a patient by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty if: (a) the attendance is by video conference; and (b) the attendance is for a service: (i) provided with item 6051 or 6052 and lasting more than 10 minutes; or (ii) provided with item 6057 or 6058; and (c) the patient is not an admitted patient; and (d) the patient: (i) is located both: (a) within a telehealth eligible area; and (b) at the time of the attendance--at least 15 km by road from the sexual health medicine specialist; or (ii) is a care recipient in a residential care service; or (iii) is a patient of: (a) an aboriginal medical service; or (b) an aboriginal community controlled health service; for which a direction made under subsection 19(2) of the act applies | Step-wise reduction in loading followed by introduction of time-tiered telehealth attendance items | The derived fee on loading items would be incrementally reduced to zero and new telehealth-specific attendance items would be introduced that mirror the standard time-tiered attendance items | Removes a MBS telehealth loading that is no longer effective and reinvesting this saving to increase uptake of telehealth services

Recommendation 8 | Reinvest in telehealth | Reinvesting all savings from removing the telehealth loading towards non-MBS mechanisms that increase uptake of telehealth services in Australia | There would be increased investment in non-MBS mechanisms to increase the uptake of telehealth | Focuses on increasing uptake of telehealth services using the optimal mechanisms to incentivise physician uptake

Table D.4. Case conference attendance items

| Item | What it does | Committee recommendation | What would be different | Why
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
820 | Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 3 other formal care providers of different disciplines | Introduce a new framework of case conference items; open access to all specialists and consultant physicians | There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. | To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>What it does</th>
<th>Committee recommendation</th>
<th>What would be different</th>
<th>Why</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>822</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 3 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>Introduce a new framework of case conference items; open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
<td>All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>823</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 3 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>Introduce a new framework of case conference items; open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>825</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Introduce a new framework of case conference items; open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>826</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Introduce a new framework of case conference items; open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>828</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Introduce a new framework of case conference items; open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>830</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 3 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>Introduce a new framework of case conference items; open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>832</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 3 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>Introduce a new framework of case conference items; open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences.</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>834</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 3 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>Introduce a new framework of case conference items; open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>835</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>Introduce a new framework of case conference items; open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>837</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>Introduce a new framework of case conference items; open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>838</td>
<td>Attendance by a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>Introduce a new framework of case conference items; open access to all specialists and consultant physicians</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>871</td>
<td>Attendance by a medical practitioner (including a specialist or consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty or a general practitioner), as a member of a case conference team, to lead and coordinate a multidisciplinary case conference on a patient with cancer to develop a multidisciplinary treatment plan, if the case conference is of at least 10 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 3 other medical practitioners from different areas of medical practice (which may include general practice), and, in addition, allied health providers</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS; replace with new treatment planning case conferences</td>
<td>There will be six new treatment planning case conferences to discuss available treatment options with peer medical practitioners</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>872</td>
<td>Attendance by a medical practitioner (including a specialist or consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty or a general practitioner), as a member of a case conference team, to participate in a multidisciplinary case conference on a patient with cancer to develop a multidisciplinary treatment plan, if the case conference is of at least 10 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 4 medical practitioners from different areas of medical practice (which may include general practice), and, in addition, allied health providers</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS; replace with new treatment planning case conferences</td>
<td>There will be six new treatment planning case conferences to discuss available treatment options with peer medical practitioners</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of geriatric or rehabilitation medicine, as a member of a case conference team, to coordinate a case conference of at least 10 minutes but less than 30 minutes—for any particular patient, one attendance only in a 7 day period (other than attendance on the same day as an attendance for which item 832, 834, 835, 837 or 838 was applicable in relation to the patient)</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2946</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2949</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2954</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 45 minutes</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2958</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes</td>
<td>case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>and shared decision-making with patients</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2972</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2974</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2978</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent.</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2984</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent.</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2988</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2992</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2996</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of pain medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes but less than 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3032</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3040</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3044</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 45 minutes</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3051</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3055</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with a multidisciplinary team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3062</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes</td>
<td>simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3069</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3074</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3078</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to organise and coordinate a discharge case conference of at least 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3083</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3088</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3093</td>
<td>Attendance by a specialist, or consultant physician, in the practice of his or her specialty of palliative medicine, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team, to participate in a discharge case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes, before the patient is discharged from a hospital (H)</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6029</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of less than 15 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6031</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6032</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences.</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conference team</td>
<td>All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent.</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6034</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate the multidisciplinary case conference of at least 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team.</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent.</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6035</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of less than 15 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team.</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent.</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6037</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent.</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>speciality, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6038</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent.</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>speciality, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6042</td>
<td>Attendance by an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6064</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of less than 15 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6065</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conference team</td>
<td>All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6067</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6068</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to organise and coordinate a community case conference of at least 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6071</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of less than 15 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6072</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 15 minutes but less than 30 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6074</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 30 minutes but less than 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent.</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6075</td>
<td>Attendance by a sexual health medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty, as a member of a multidisciplinary case conference team of at least 2 other formal care providers of different disciplines, to participate in a community case conference (other than to organise and coordinate the conference) of at least 45 minutes, with the multidisciplinary case conference team</td>
<td>Remove item from MBS</td>
<td>There will be a new simplified framework featuring three types of case conference items: (1) discharge planning case conferences; (2) community case conferences; (3) treatment planning case conferences. All consultant specialists will be able to claim these items; the patient must be invited to participate including face-to-face, by videoconference or over the phone; the patient’s GP (or delegate must participate or there must be a mandatory communication of outcomes and changes to the patient and the organiser; and outcomes must be documented in writing including agreed decisions and informed consent.</td>
<td>To encourage increased use of multidisciplinary case conferences, GP participation, and shared decision-making with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation 10</td>
<td>Introduce case conference items for allied health professionals and nurse practitioners</td>
<td>AHPs who can access AHP items under Group M3 of the MBS, and nurse practitioners, should have MBS items to claim for attending case conferences</td>
<td>AHPs and nurse practitioners would be reimbursed for participating in case conferences</td>
<td>AHPs and nurse practitioners often have a central role to play in patient care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation 11</td>
<td>Referral for examination of informed consent</td>
<td>The Principles and Consideration would be</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Committee’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>financial consent</td>
<td>Rules Committee should examine the issue of informed financial consent for out-of-pocket fees charged with case conference items</td>
<td>given to informed financial consent by patients for case conference items</td>
<td>recommendations will increase usage of case conference items, which may impact out-of-pocket costs, and patients may not have control over or be aware of who attends their case conference or what out-of-pocket costs are charged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table D.5. Group therapy attendance items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>What it does</th>
<th>Committee recommendation</th>
<th>What would be different</th>
<th>Why</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Professional attendance for the purpose of group therapy of not less than 1 hour in duration given under the direct continuous supervision of a medical practitioner (other than a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty of psychiatry) involving members of a family and persons with close personal relationships with that family—each group of 2 patients</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>Professional attendance for the purpose of group therapy of not less than 1 hour in duration given under the direct continuous supervision of a medical practitioner (other than a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty of psychiatry) involving members of a family and persons with close personal relationships with that family—each group of 3 patients</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>Professional attendance for the purpose of group therapy of not less than 1 hour in duration given under the direct continuous supervision of a medical practitioner (other than a consultant physician in the practice of his or her specialty of psychiatry) involving members of a family and persons with close personal relationships with that family—each group of 4 or more patients</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6028</td>
<td>Group therapy (including any associated consultation with a patient taking place on the same occasion and relating to the condition for which group therapy is conducted) of not less than 1 hour, given under the continuous direct supervision of an addiction medicine specialist in the practice of his or her specialty for a group of 2 to 9 unrelated patients, or a family group of more than 2 patients, each of whom is referred to the addiction medicine specialist by a referring practitioner—for each patient</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table D.6. Other Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>What it does</th>
<th>Committee recommendation</th>
<th>What would be different</th>
<th>Why</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recom mendation 12</td>
<td>Establish a minimum data set to inform evidence-based clinical practice and inform patient choice</td>
<td>Step-wise approach to establishing a minimum data set for the purposes of comparison</td>
<td>There would be a consistent minimum data set which can be used to inform evidence-based care and patient choice</td>
<td>To inform evidence-based care and patient choice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recom</td>
<td>Provide transparency on the cost and quality of MBS cost data,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To provide patients with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>What it does</td>
<td>Committee recommendation</td>
<td>What would be different</td>
<td>Why</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| menda

| tion 13 | consultant specialist services | including data on out-of-pocket fees, along with consultant-specialist risk-weighted outcome data, should be shared at an institutional and individual provider level; Cost and outcome data should be shared with the patient through their GP at the time of referral; and the presentation of this data should be co-designed with consumers. | better informed about the cost and quality of consultant specialist services, and data would exist to support value-based health care | transparency on cost and outcomes when choosing an institution or consultant specialist, and to support value-based health care |
| Recom

| mendat

| ion 14 | Improve informed patient consent and shared decision-making processes | Change descriptors where multiple treatment options available to include requirements around discussion of options and information on risks and benefits; improve provider education on patient consent; and improve the consent process by including additional information in provider education materials | There would be more emphasis on shared decision-making and informed patient consent | To improve patient consent process and encourage shared decision-making during consultant specialist attendances |
| Recom

| mendat

| ion 15 | Case conference use of My Health Record | The outcomes of case conferences should be uploaded to My Health Record | Case conference outcomes would be uploaded to My Health Record | To encourage the upload of event summaries to My Health Record |
| Recom

| mendat

<p>| ion 16 | Incentivise adoption of My Health Record | A single incentive payment to consultant specialists should be introduced upon their adoption of My Health Record, triggered by achieving a volume of uploads proportional to Consultant specialists would have an incentive to use My Health Record for the majority of their patients | | To incentivise use of My Health Record by consultant specialists |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>What it does</th>
<th>Committee recommendation</th>
<th>What would be different</th>
<th>Why</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the number of attendances a provider performed (to be reviewed after XX period)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommandation 17</td>
<td>Encourage adoption of My Health Record</td>
<td>Improve the functionality of My Health Record and educate consultant specialists on its benefits; enhance the functionality so it becomes a value-add tool for clinicians; broaden training for health care providers to include education about using MHR clinically, and work with academic institutions to embed digital health competencies into degrees</td>
<td>Measures would be in place to ensure clinicians are well equipped to use MHR, and see it as beneficial</td>
<td>To enhance MHR to improve health outcomes and improve the efficiency of the overall system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommandation 18</td>
<td>Retain the current specialist to specialist referral validity period</td>
<td>Retain the specialist-to-specialist referral validity to three months</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>To strike a balance between patient convenience and GP oversight of patient care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommandation 19</td>
<td>Introduce a new AHP pathway</td>
<td>Introduce an AHP pathway for consultant specialists under certain circumstances, but only after a full review of the evidence and associated costs</td>
<td>There would be consideration of options for an AHP pathway, assessed against the evidence and associated costs</td>
<td>To recognise the increasing importance of the AHP role in consultant specialist care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>