



Australian Government

Department of Health

Office of the Gene Technology Regulator

OPERATIONS OF THE GENE TECHNOLOGY REGULATOR

QUARTERLY REPORT

1 OCTOBER–31 DECEMBER 2013

The object of the *Gene Technology Act 2000* is:

‘to protect the health and safety of people, and to protect the environment, by identifying risks posed by or as a result of gene technology, and by managing those risks through regulating certain dealings with genetically modified organisms’



OPERATIONS OF THE GENE TECHNOLOGY REGULATOR

QUARTERLY REPORT
1 OCTOBER–31 DECEMBER 2013

ISBN: 978-1-74186-097-9

Online ISBN: 978-1-74186-098-6

Publications approval number: 10658

Copyright Statements:

Paper-based publications

© Commonwealth of Australia 2014

This work is copyright. You may reproduce the whole or part of this work in unaltered form for your own personal use or, if you are part of an organisation, for internal use within your organisation, but only if you or your organisation do not use the reproduction for any commercial purpose and retain this copyright notice and all disclaimer notices as part of that reproduction. Apart from rights to use as permitted by the *Copyright Act 1968* or allowed by this copyright notice, all other rights are reserved and you are not allowed to reproduce the whole or any part of this work in any way (electronic or otherwise) without first being given the specific written permission from the Commonwealth to do so. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights are to be sent to the Communication Branch, Department of Health, GPO Box 9848, Canberra ACT 2601, or via e-mail to copyright@health.gov.au.

Internet sites

© Commonwealth of Australia 2014

This work is copyright. You may download, display, print and reproduce the whole or part of this work in unaltered form for your own personal use or, if you are part of an organisation, for internal use within your organisation, but only if you or your organisation do not use the reproduction for any commercial purpose and retain this copyright notice and all disclaimer notices as part of that reproduction. Apart from rights to use as permitted by the *Copyright Act 1968* or allowed by this copyright notice, all other rights are reserved and you are not allowed to reproduce the whole or any part of this work in any way (electronic or otherwise) without first being given the specific written permission from the Commonwealth to do so. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights are to be sent to the Communication Branch, Department of Health, GPO Box 9848, Canberra ACT 2601, or via e-mail to copyright@health.gov.au.

Office of the Gene Technology Regulator
MDP 54 GPO Box 9848
CANBERRA ACT 2601

Email: ogtr@health.gov.au

Website: www.ogtr.gov.au

Telephone: 1800 181 030

Fax: (02) 6271 4202

Quarterly Report web page:
www.ogtr.gov.au/internet/ogtr/publishing.nsf/Content/reports-1

Inquiries about the content of this report may be directed to the Business Management and Communications Section, Regulatory Practice and Compliance Branch of the Office of the Gene Technology Regulator.

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

Senator the Hon Fiona Nash
Assistant Minister for Health
Parliament House
CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Minister

In accordance with section 136A of the *Gene Technology Act 2000* (the Act), I am pleased to present to you the Quarterly Report of the Operations of the Gene Technology Regulator, covering the period 1 October to 31 December 2013.

During this period two licences were issued for dealings involving intentional release of GMOs, four licences were issued for dealings not involving intentional release of GMOs, and 45 physical containment facilities were certified.

Routine monitoring activities for this quarter exceeded the target rate and no significant risks to either human health or the environment were identified.

Yours sincerely



Dr Joe Smith
Gene Technology Regulator

6 March 2014



CONTENTS

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	iii
ABOUT THIS REPORT	1
Gene technology regulatory system	1
Regulation of genetically modified organisms	1
Statutory committee operations	1
Other activities of the Gene Technology Regulator	1
NATIONAL GENE TECHNOLOGY REGULATORY SYSTEM	4
Key achievements during this quarter	4
Licences and other instruments	4
Monitoring and Compliance	4
Working collaboratively with States and Territories	4
Legislative and Governance Forum on Gene Technology	4
State and Territory consultation	4
Australian Government Agency liaison	5
Public participation	5
REGULATION OF GENETICALLY MODIFIED ORGANISMS	8
Types of Applications	8
Dealings involving Intentional Release licences	8
Dealings Not involving Intentional Release licences	8
Accreditations of organisations	8
Certifications of containment facilities	8
GMO Register	9
New licences and other instruments	9
Processing of applications for Dealings involving Intentional Release licences	9
Applications received for Dealings involving Intentional Release licences	10
Consultation on applications and RARMPs for Dealings involving Intentional Release licences.	11
Withdrawn applications and surrendered licences for Dealings involving Intentional Release licences	11
Clock stopped on Dealings involving Intentional Release licence applications	11
Decisions on applications for Dealings involving Intentional Release licences	12
Decisions on applications for Dealings Not involving Intentional Release licences	12
Changes to existing licences and other instruments	12
Emergency Dealing Determinations	13

Confidential Commercial Information	13
Monitoring and Compliance	13
Monitoring and Compliance Strategy	14
Monitoring of Dealings involving Intentional Releases	15
Monitoring of Dealing Not involving Intentional Releases	16
Monitoring of Physical Containment Facilities	16
Monitoring Findings	17
Dealings involving Intentional Release	17
Findings for Dealings involving Intentional Release	18
Findings for Dealings Not involving Intentional Release	18
Findings for Physical Containment Facilities	18
Practice Reviews	18
Audits	18
Investigations	19
STATUTORY COMMITTEE OPERATIONS	22
Gene Technology Technical Advisory Committee	22
Gene Technology Ethics and Community Consultative Committee	22
OTHER ACTIVITIES OF THE GENE TECHNOLOGY REGULATOR	24
International collaboration and coordination	24
National collaboration and coordination	24
OGTR website usage and statistics	25
Internet contacts and freecall number	26
OGTR email address and freecall number	26
Monitoring and compliance email inbox	27
Statutory Committee email inbox	27
Application and Licence Management email inbox	27
Contained Dealings Evaluation Section email inbox	27
APPENDIX 1:	30
Gene Technology Technical Advisory Committee Communiqué	30
GLOSSARY	34

ABOUT THIS REPORT

Sub-section 136A(1) of the *Gene Technology Act 2000* (the Act) requires the Gene Technology Regulator (the Regulator) to prepare and give to the Minister after each quarter a report on the operations of the Regulator during that quarter. Sub-section 136A(2) of the Act requires that the report include information on:

- GMO licences issued during the quarter
- breaches of conditions of a GMO licence that have come to the Regulator's attention during the quarter
- Emergency Dealing Determinations (EDDs) made by the Minister during the quarter
- any breaches of conditions of an EDD that have come to the Regulator's attention during the quarter
- auditing and monitoring of dealings with GMOs under the Act by the Regulator or an inspector during the quarter.

Its purpose is to inform the community of our roles and responsibilities and to provide a summary of our achievements over the past quarter.

This report has four key sections.

Gene technology regulatory system

Provides advice on the activities and outcomes achieved in relation to the implementation and management of the national regulatory system during the quarter.

Regulation of genetically modified organisms

Provides details on the regulatory activity undertaken, including information about applications for, and action taken with respect to, GMO licences and other instruments under the Act. It also includes details of monitoring, auditing and compliance activities by the Regulator during this quarter.


Statutory committee operations

Reports on the activities of the two advisory committees established under the Act to assist the Regulator and the Legislative and Governance Forum on Gene Technology (formerly Gene Technology Ministerial Council).

Other activities of the Gene Technology Regulator

Describes other activities relating to the statutory functions of the Regulator that support the operations of the gene technology regulatory system.





SECTION 1

**NATIONAL GENE TECHNOLOGY
REGULATORY SYSTEM**

NATIONAL GENE TECHNOLOGY REGULATORY SYSTEM

Key achievements during this quarter

The key achievements of the 1 October to 31 December 2013 quarter were:

Licences and other instruments

- one organisation issued with accreditation
- two licences issued for Dealings involving the Intentional Release (DIR) of GMOs into the environment
- four licences issued for Dealings Not involving the Intentional Release (DNIR) of GMOs into the environment
- 45 physical containment facilities certified
- 24 instruments surrendered
- 117 certifications, one DIR licence and seven DNIR licences varied.

Further information on licences and other instruments is contained in Section 2 of this report.

Monitoring and Compliance

Approximately 10 per cent of current field trial sites and 6 per cent of post-harvest field trial sites were subjected to routine monitoring during the quarter. This exceeds the target minimum rate of five per cent of all field trial sites per quarter.

Further information on monitoring and compliance is contained in Section 2 of this report.

Working collaboratively with States and Territories

Legislative and Governance Forum on Gene Technology

The Legislative and Governance Forum on Gene Technology (LGFGT) (formerly the Gene Technology Ministerial Council) oversees the implementation of the regulatory system and comprises one Minister from the Commonwealth and one Minister from each of the States and Territories. Currently, the LGFGT includes Ministers from a range of portfolios including health, agriculture and environment.

State and Territory consultation

The Regulator must consult with State and Territory Governments and appropriate local councils during the evaluation of applications for all DIR licences.

For each application for a DIR licence other than a limited and controlled release, the Regulator is required to seek advice on matters relevant to the preparation of the Risk Assessment and

Risk Management Plan (RARMP), and must also seek comment on the RARMP itself once it is prepared. For each application for a limited and controlled release, the Regulator is required to seek comment on the RARMP.

Further information is contained in Section 2 of this report.

Australian Government Agency liaison

The close relationship between the OGTR and other Australian Government authorities and agencies continued during this quarter.

Under the Act, the Regulator must seek advice from the Australian Government Environment Minister, and prescribed Australian Government authorities and agencies, on matters relevant to preparing the RARMP for each application for a DIR licence, except those that meet the criteria to be considered as a limited and controlled release.

The prescribed Australian Government authorities and agencies comprise:

- Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority
- Department of Agriculture, Biosecurity
- Food Standards Australia New Zealand
- National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme
- Therapeutic Goods Administration.

Once a RARMP is prepared for any DIR licence application, the Regulator is required to seek comment on the RARMP from the same prescribed Australian Government authorities and agencies.

In addition, comment is sought on each DIR RARMP from a range of other Australian Government agencies which, while not prescribed in the legislation, have maintained a strong interest in its implementation including the:

- Department of Agriculture
- Department of Environment
- Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade.

During the quarter the Regulator sought advice on matters relevant to preparing RARMPs for two DIR applications. No advice was sought in respect of DIR RARMPs during the quarter.


Further information on the processing of DIR applications is contained in Section 2 of this report.

Public participation

The Act requires the Regulator to consult the public on the RARMPs for all DIR licence applications. No invitations to the public to comment on a RARMP were issued during the quarter.

Further information on the processing of DIR applications is contained in Section 2 of this report.





SECTION 2

**REGULATION OF GENETICALLY
MODIFIED ORGANISMS**

REGULATION OF GENETICALLY MODIFIED ORGANISMS

Section 2 of the report outlines the regulatory activity undertaken during the 1 October to 31 December 2013 quarter. This includes information about applications for GMO licences and other instruments under the Act. This section details any EDDs made pursuant to the Act. It also includes details of monitoring activities and of alleged, admitted or self-reported non-compliances with the Act, or with the conditions imposed by the Regulator on GMO licences or other instruments issued pursuant to the Act. Summary reports on investigations completed during the quarter are supplied. Information on Confidential Commercial Information (CCI) applications has also been provided.

Types of Applications

Under the Act the Regulator is required to make decisions in relation to applications for the following instruments:

Dealings involving Intentional Release licences

DIR licences authorise dealings ranging from limited and controlled releases (e.g. field trials) through to more extensive general or commercial releases of GMOs. DIR licence applications have a statutory timeframe of 255 working days for processing unless the Regulator determines the application is a limited and controlled release application. Then the timeframe for making a decision is 150 working days, or 170 working days if the Regulator determines that the proposed dealings represent a significant risk to human health and the environment.

Dealings Not involving Intentional Release licences

DNIR licences authorise contained dealings with GMOs carried out in laboratories and other containment facilities that are designed to prevent release of the GMO(s) into the environment. These licence applications have a statutory timeframe of 90 working days for making a decision.

Accreditations of organisations

DIR and DNIR licences require organisations which conduct work with GMOs to be accredited. To achieve accreditation, the Regulator must be satisfied that the organisation has, or has access to, a properly constituted and resourced Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC) and complies with the requirements of the Regulator's guidelines for accreditation. These applications have a statutory timeframe of 90 working days for making a decision.

Certifications of containment facilities

Certification is required to satisfy the Regulator that a facility which is proposed to be used to conduct dealings with a GMO meets the guideline requirements for the particular level of physical containment specified. These applications have a statutory timeframe of 90 working days for making a decision.

GMO Register

The GMO Register is a list of dealings with GMOs that the Regulator is satisfied pose minimal risks to human health and safety and the environment and can therefore be undertaken by anyone, subject to any specified conditions, without the oversight of a licence holder. Under section 78 of the Act the Regulator may determine that dealings with a GMO previously authorised by a licence are to be included on the GMO Register. Such determinations are disallowable instruments.

New licences and other instruments

The following table describes the number and type of applications received for new licences and other instruments, as well as the approvals made by the Regulator in the quarter.

Application type	Number received	Number approved*
Accreditation	0	1
DIR licence	2	2
DNIR licence	3	4
Certifications	24	45

* Approvals reported in the current quarter often relate to applications received in previous quarters.

Processing of applications for Dealings involving Intentional Release licences

The key steps the Regulator takes when considering an application for a DIR licence are:

- initial screening of the application for completeness
- determining whether or not the application is a limited and controlled release
- considering the applicant's suitability to hold a licence, having regard to relevant convictions, revocations and suspensions of related licences and permits, and ability to meet conditions of the licence
- seeking comments from prescribed experts, agencies and authorities on issues to consider in the RARMP for all DIR applications except limited and controlled releases
- preparing a consultation RARMP, including proposed licence conditions to manage risks to human health and safety and the environment, and determining whether the proposed dealings may pose a significant risk
- seeking comments on the RARMP from prescribed experts, agencies and authorities and the public

- considering all comments relating to the protection of human health and safety and the environment in finalising the RARMP
- confirming the applicant's suitability, including their capacity to meet licence conditions, and considering policy principles and any relevant policy guidelines.

Once these actions are completed, the Regulator can make a decision on whether or not to grant a licence and the conditions which are to be included in the licence if issued.

The statutory timeframes for making decisions on DIR licences effectively extend over approximately twelve months, and eight or nine months for limited and controlled releases, as they exclude weekends and public holidays observed in the Australian Capital Territory.

This time limit may be extended, that is, the clock may be stopped, if the decision-making process is unable to continue, for example, because of an unresolved application for declaration of CCI or because additional information is sought from the applicant.

The Act and the Gene Technology Regulations 2001 (the Regulations) mandate a minimum timeframe for public consultation on the RARMP of 30 days, or 50 days if the Regulator identifies a significant risk to people or the environment from the proposed dealings. However, consultation periods longer than minimum timeframes are usually allowed to facilitate the provision of information and promote involvement in the decision-making process, particularly by the community. The following table shows the progress of applications for DIR licences undergoing evaluation during the quarter.

Applications received	Notification of Application*	Consultation on application	Licences issued
DIR 127	DIR 126	DIR 124	DIR 122
DIR 128	DIR124 DIR 125	DIR 125	DIR 123

* Although not required under the Act, all new limited and controlled release DIR applications are notified on the OGTR website under 'What's New' and notified to all individuals and organisations on the OGTR mailing list

Applications received for Dealings involving Intentional Release licences

The Regulator received two applications for a DIR licence in the quarter:

- DIR 127 - GM Canola (Monsanto Australia Limited) Commercial release of *canola* genetically modified for herbicide tolerance (MON 88302)
- DIR 128 - GM Wheat and Barley (The University of Adelaide) Limited and controlled release of wheat and barley modified for abiotic stress tolerance or micronutrient uptake.

Consultation on applications and RARMPs for Dealings involving Intentional Release licences.

In this quarter, consultation with expert groups and key stakeholders took place as part of the process to identify risks to human health and safety or the environment to be considered in preparing the RARMP for the following applications:

- DIR 124 - GM Cotton (Monsanto Australia Limited) Commercial release of cotton genetically modified for insect resistance and herbicide tolerance (Bollgard®III and Bollgard®III x Roundup Ready Flex®)
- DIR 125 - GM *Escherichia coli* vaccine (Zoetis Australia Research & Manufacturing Pty Ltd) Commercial release of genetically modified vaccine to protect chickens against pathogenic *Escherichia coli*.

Although not required by the Act, the Regulator issued a 'Notification of Licence Application' for these two applications and one other DIR licence application:

- DIR 126 - GM vaccine against Cholera (PaxVax Australia Pty Ltd) Clinical trial of a genetically modified vaccine against Cholera.

The notification of DIR 126 resulted in significant interest across social media.

These notifications were posted on the OGTR website and sent to people and organisations on the OGTR mailing list to advise receipt of the applications and indicate when the RARMP is expected to be released for public comment:

There were no invitations to comment on a RARMP issued during the quarter.

Full copies of DIR applications can be obtained by contacting the OGTR. Summary information on DIR applications is available via the OGTR website or directly from the OGTR.

Withdrawn applications and surrendered licences for Dealings involving Intentional Release licences

No DIR licence applications were withdrawn during the quarter.

No DIR licences were surrendered during the quarter:

Clock stopped on Dealings involving Intentional Release licence applications

The Regulations determine that a day on which the Regulator is unable to proceed with the decision-making process, or a related function, because information requested from the applicant has not been received, is not counted as part of the prescribed timeframe for making a decision on an application.

No request for further information on a DIR application was initiated in this quarter:

Decisions on applications for Dealings involving Intentional Release licences

Two DIR licences were issued during the quarter:

- DIR 122 - GM Wheat (Victorian Government Department of Environment and Primary Industries) Limited and controlled release of wheat genetically modified for enhanced yield stability
- DIR 123 - GM Canola (Nuseed Pty Ltd) Limited and controlled release of canola genetically modified for altered oil content

Information on DIR applications, finalised RARMPs, licences issued and copies of licences are available from the OGTR website or can be obtained by contacting the OGTR directly.

Decisions on applications for Dealings Not involving Intentional Release licences

These dealings must be conducted in appropriate containment facilities and the dealings must not involve intentional release of a GMO into the environment.

Four DNIR licences were issued during the quarter:

- DNIR524 - *Bat retroviruses* (Macfarlane Burnet Institute for Medical Research and Public Health, VIC)
- DNIR536 - *Clinical study of the efficacy and safety of intra-tumoural injection of ASN-002 in nodular basal cell carcinoma* (Ascend Biopharmaceuticals Pty Ltd, VIC)
- DNIR537 - *The molecular basis of the pathogenicity of Newcastle disease in chickens* (CSIRO, VIC)
- DNIR540 - *Mouse model for studies of B cells migration into the eye* (Flinders University, SA)

A full listing of DNIR licences and their current status is available from the OGTR website.

Changes to existing licences and other instruments

The Regulator can, directly or upon application, vary an issued licence or other instrument. Variations involve changes to conditions applied to an instrument or a licence. For example, the Regulator can vary a licence to manage risks if new information or data comes to light. The Regulator must not vary the licence unless he is satisfied that any risks posed by the dealings, proposed to be authorised by the licence as varied, are able to be managed in such a way as to protect the health and safety of people and the environment.

Most variations are made at the request of the instrument/licence holder. Applications for variation to licences have a statutory timeframe of 90 days for making a decision. Additionally, the Regulator can make a decision in relation to an application to transfer a licence/instrument to another person or consent to the surrender of a licence/instrument on request by a licence/instrument holder.

The following table describes the number and type of applications for variation and surrender received in relation to existing licences and other instruments, as well as the number of variations and surrenders approved during the quarter.

Type	Number received	Number approved ^a
Surrender of accreditations	0	2
Surrender of certification	10	18
Surrender of DIR licence	0	0
Surrender of DNIR licence	4	4
Variation of accreditation	0	0
Variation of certification	91	117
Variation of DIR licence	3	1
Variation of DNIR licence	24	7

^a Numbers reported in this quarter often relate to applications received in previous quarters.

Emergency Dealing Determinations

During this quarter the Regulator did not receive any requests for advice in relation to the making of any Emergency Dealing Determinations (EDD) and no EDDs were in effect.

Confidential Commercial Information

Under section 184 of the Act a person may apply to the Regulator in accordance with section 185 for specified information to be declared confidential commercial information (CCI). Specified information awaiting a decision on an application for CCI is treated as if it were CCI until a decision is made. If the Regulator declares information to be CCI the information is protected from disclosure. More information on the protection of CCI is available on the OGTR website.

During the quarter, the Regulator received one CCI application, in relation to a DIR application. The Regulator made one CCI declaration during the quarter.

Monitoring and Compliance

The aim of OGTR monitoring and compliance activities is to ensure that dealings with GMOs comply with legislative obligations and are consistent with the object of the Act:

‘to protect the health and safety of people, and to protect the environment, by identifying risks posed by or as a result of gene technology, and by managing those risks through regulating certain dealings with GMOs’.

In particular, the Monitoring and Compliance Sections focus on management of dealings at field trial sites and within containment facilities certified by the Regulator to ensure:

- the dissemination of a GMO and its genetic material is restricted
- the persistence of a GMO in the environment is restricted
- the containment of GMOs for dealings not involving intentional release
- effective management of the GMO is maintained.

OGTR monitoring activities comprise the functions of routine monitoring including spot checks, assessment of monitoring findings and, where necessary, recommending corrective action and follow-up activities.

OGTR compliance activities comprise reviews of potential compliance risks, investigations, audits and related enforcement activities.

Monitoring and Compliance Strategy

The Monitoring Section conducts routine inspections of field trials and contained dealings to ensure compliance with licence conditions. These inspections include announced inspections and spot checks.

The OGTR strategy for conducting monitoring draws on accumulated operational experience of risk profiling in relation to compliance.

For example, OGTR field trial monitoring coincides, where possible, with periods or circumstances when non-compliance with licence conditions designed to restrict the spread and persistence of the GMOs is more likely to occur (for example, during flowering and harvest of GM crops).

A minimum of 20 per cent of field trial sites are inspected each year. To achieve this goal a minimum of five per cent of current trial sites and five per cent of trial sites subject to post-harvest monitoring are monitored each quarter.

The monitoring program for contained dealings involves inspecting DNIRs and the facilities that those dealings are conducted in. These inspections focus on the integrity of the physical structure of the facility and on the general laboratory practices followed in that facility, including those practices followed for DNIRs and Notifiable Low Risk Dealings (NLRD). Inspections are conducted on a minimum of 20 per cent of PC2 large-scale, PC3 and PC4 facilities per year.

Total field trial sites monitored: During the quarter, 7 GM plant field trial sites under DIR licences were subjected to monitoring visits.

- **Current field trial sites:** Of the 31 sites current in the quarter, 3 were monitored. This represents a monitoring rate of 10 per cent of all current sites for the quarter.
- **Post-harvest field trial sites:** Of the 62 sites subject to post-harvest monitoring in the quarter, 4 were monitored. This represents a monitoring rate of 6 per cent of all sites subject to post-harvest monitoring in this quarter.

Monitoring of certified facilities: Monitoring in connection with contained dealings covered 7 organisations and 17 certified facilities. Monitoring of certified facilities encompassed 6 PC2 laboratories, 1 PC2 Insectary facility, 3 PC2 plant facilities, 4 PC2 animal facilities, 1 PC3 animal facility, and 2 PC3 laboratories.

Monitoring of contained dealings: During the quarter, the monitoring of the 17 certified facilities mentioned above included monitoring for compliance with the general practices that must be followed when undertaking dealings (e.g. DNIRs) that are required to be conducted within contained facilities.

4 DNIRs were monitored during the quarter.

Monitoring of Dealings Involving Intentional Releases

The following table summarises monitoring activities for field trials with GM crop plants for the quarter.

Licensed Organisation Name/Location of trial site	Licence Number	No. sites visited	Site status	Crop type
University of Adelaide	DIR 102	2	Current, PHM	Wheat and Barley
Monsanto Australia Limited	DIR 101	1	PHM	Cotton
Bayer Cropscience	DIR 113	2	PHM	Cotton
Queensland University of Technology	DIR 107	2	Current	Banana
Total		7	Current = 3 PHM* = 4	

*PHM = post harvest monitoring

During the quarter an inspection was also conducted of DIR116, a limited and controlled release (clinical trial) of two types of genetically modified live viral vaccines against prostate cancer.

Monitoring of Dealing Not involving Intentional Releases

The following table summarises monitoring activities for DNIRs for the quarter.

Licensed Organisation Name	Licence Number
Central Adelaide Local Health Network	DNIR451
	DNIR108
Women's and Children's Health Network Incorporated	DNIR459
Monash University	DNIR106
Total	4

Monitoring of Physical Containment Facilities

The organisations and the facility types that the OGTR visited during the quarter are detailed in the following table.

Organisation	Physical Containment (PC) facility	No. facilities visited
Central Adelaide Local Health Network	PC2 Animal House	1
	PC2 Laboratory	2
Menzies School of Health Research	PC3 Laboratory	1
	PC2 Laboratory	1
Australian Army Malaria Institute	PC3 Laboratory	1
	PC2 Laboratory	1
	PC2 Animal House	1
	PC2 Insectary	1
Queensland University of Technology	PC2 Plant House	1
Women's and Children's Health Network Incorporated	PC2 Animal House	1
	PC2 Laboratory	1
Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry, Queensland	PC2 Plant House	1

Organisation	Physical Containment (PC) facility	No. facilities visited
Monash University	PC2 Laboratory	1
	PC2 Animal House	1
	PC3 Animal House	1
	PC2 Plant House	1
Total		17

Monitoring Findings

Dealings involving Intentional Release

The monitoring findings listed below indicate both the monitoring activities of the OGTR with respect to dealings with GMOs under this Act, in accordance with paragraph 136A(2)(c) of the Act, and the Regulator's response to those findings.

Findings by inspectors of inconsistencies between an event or state of affairs and the requirements imposed by licence or certification conditions are called non-compliances in this report. However, non-compliances are not regarded as breaches of licence conditions unless proven to be so after investigation. Non-compliances with licence conditions are assessed against a number of considerations before determining the OGTR response. The following aspects of the findings are taken into account as relevant:

- the extent of risk to the health and safety of people and the environment
- the severity of the issue or event involved in the finding
- the culpability of the licence holder or other relevant persons in bringing about the issue or event e.g. whether there was a bona fide mistake involved
- the types of mechanisms available to address the issue or event
- the compliance history of the licence holder or other relevant persons
- mitigating factors such as self-reporting or steps taken voluntarily by the licence holder to address the issue or event
- the need for deterrence.

After having regard to those matters, the OGTR has a range of options including additional investigation to determine if further action is warranted e.g. a recommendation of prosecution for an alleged breach of a licence condition or that a licence be suspended or cancelled. A proven breach of a licence condition will be reported in accordance with paragraph 136A (2)(b) of the Act.

In the case of all matters reported below it was decided that the findings of non-compliances with licence conditions were minor in nature, involved negligible or nil culpability, and could be resolved by reminders, education and/or cooperative compliance.

Findings for Dealings involving Intentional Release

There were no non-compliance issues observed for DIRs in the quarter.

Findings for Dealings Not involving Intentional Release

There were no non-compliance issues observed for DNIRs in the quarter.

Findings for Physical Containment Facilities

The OGTR's monitoring of certified PC facilities in the quarter found no non-compliances with certification conditions.

Practice Reviews

The OGTR may initiate Practice Reviews in response to observations made during monitoring activities, or to follow up incident reports that may relate to non-compliance with licence conditions by accredited organisations. Their objective is to determine if licence conditions can be, and are being, effectively implemented.

An accredited organisation may request a Practice Review to assess the effectiveness of systems used by its IBC(s) to ensure that dealings are being conducted in accordance with the Act.

The primary focus of the review process is to determine whether or not practices being used pose potential human health or environmental risks that require management actions to be implemented. In certain instances, where a suspected non-compliance with the Act is identified, the issue may be referred for investigation.

There were no practice reviews completed in the quarter.

Audits

Audits can be initiated by the OGTR or an accredited organisation. An audit can entail:

- documentary evidence
- observations
- assessments of procedures and practices.

These activities are conducted to:

- verify that an accredited organisation has relevant and effective management procedures and practices to meet requirements under the Act, including accreditation requirements, guidelines and any licence conditions applicable to a dealing under the Act
- assess whether or not procedures and practices provide mechanisms to identify and resolve emerging risks
- where appropriate suggest improvements to procedures and practices.

Audits are an opportunity for accredited organisations and the OGTR to share information to improve the risk management of dealings with GMOs under the Act. Audits may focus on a single dealing, a range of dealings (e.g. dealings with a common host organism or dealings within a common climatic zone), the activity of an organisation across a range of dealings, or an activity common to a range of organisations.


There were no audits completed in the quarter.

Investigations

An investigation is an inquiry into a suspected non-compliance with the Act and corresponding state laws with the aim of gathering evidence. Such investigations are not restricted to purely criminal aspects – in the wider context they may include advice on detected flaws and vulnerability in policies, practices and procedures. An investigation may be initiated as a consequence of monitoring by the OGTR, self-reporting by an accredited organisation or by third party reporting.

There were no investigations completed in the quarter.





SECTION 3

STATUTORY COMMITTEE OPERATIONS

STATUTORY COMMITTEE OPERATIONS

The Act establishes two committees to provide advice to the Regulator and the Legislative and Governance Forum on Gene Technology (LGFGT, formerly the Gene Technology Ministerial Council):

- Gene Technology Technical Advisory Committee
- Gene Technology Ethics and Community Consultative Committee.

Appointments

Current memberships of the Gene Technology Technical Advisory Committee (GTTAC) and the Gene Technology Ethics and Community Consultative Committee (GTECCC) expire on 31 January 2014. The process for appointment of new members is ongoing.

Gene Technology Technical Advisory Committee

The function of GTTAC under the Act is to provide scientific and technical advice, on the request of the Regulator or the LGFGT on gene technology, GMOs, GM products, applications made under the Act, the biosafety aspects of gene technology and the need for policy principles, policy guidelines, codes of practice, technical and procedural guidelines in relation to GMOs and GM products and the content of such principles and codes.


GTTAC met face-to-face on 18 December 2013. The Communiqué for this meeting is at Appendix 1.

Further information about the work of GTTAC is available from the OGTR website www.ogtr.gov.au/internet/ogtr/publishing.nsf/Content/gttac-2

Gene Technology Ethics and Community Consultative Committee

The function of GTECCC under the Act is to provide advice, on the request of the Regulator or the LGFGT on: ethical issues relating to gene technology; the need for and content of policy principles, policy guidelines, codes of practice; community consultation processes and risk communication for environmental release of GMOs; and matters of general concern in relation to GMOs.

Further information about the work of GTECCC is available from the OGTR website www.ogtr.gov.au/internet/ogtr/publishing.nsf/Content/gteccc-2



SECTION 4

**OTHER ACTIVITIES OF THE
GENE TECHNOLOGY REGULATOR**

OTHER ACTIVITIES OF THE GENE TECHNOLOGY REGULATOR

International collaboration and coordination

Under the Act the Regulator's functions include:

- monitoring international practice in relation to regulation of GMOs
- maintaining links with international organisations that deal with the regulation of gene technology and with agencies that regulate GMOs in countries outside Australia
- promoting the harmonisation of risk assessments relating to GMOs and GM products by regulatory agencies.

International collaboration and coordination activities undertaken during the quarter included:

- presentations at Biosafety Inspections Workshop, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, October 2013
- participation in 3rd Annual Conference of the Association for Biological Safety of Australia and New Zealand, Auckland, New Zealand, November
- hosting a Workshop on International Regulatory Challenges in Gene Technology, Canberra, Australia, November.

National collaboration and coordination

The Regulator and the OGTR endeavour to participate in events that inform stakeholders, the Australian community and/or users about the regulatory system.

The OGTR provided presentations to the following:

- Seminar given to students as part of a tertiary biotechnology course at Australian National University, Canberra, October
- Lucerne Australian Symposium 2013, Keith, South Australia, November
- Regulatory Science Network, Science Communication Workshop, Canberra, November
- AusBiotech Agriculture and Food Biotechnology Symposium, Brisbane, November
- CropLife National Members' Forum, Canberra, November.

OGTR officers also participated in the following meetings/conferences:

- Department of Industry Biomass based industries working group meeting, Canberra, October

- Low Level Presence (LLP) /Unintended Presence (UP) Australian Government Agency meeting, Canberra, October
- Regulatory Science Network Risk Communication Workshop, Canberra, November
- Department of Agriculture's 'Let's Talk Genes' forum, Canberra, November
- Australasian Environmental Law Enforcement and Regulators Network (AELERT) Conference, Melbourne, November.

OGTR website usage and statistics

The OGTR website is a comprehensive source of information on activities of the office. The tables below provide information on the number of hits on the OGTR website and the number of visitor sessions by month during the quarter.

MONTH	HITS ¹	VISITS ²
October	313,268	37,916
November	467,408	58,815
December	311,184	44,002

¹ A hit is a request made to the server. Each file that is requested is counted as a hit

² Visits is the number of times the OGTR website has been visited.

The most popular pages viewed on the OGTR website during the period were:

- DIR 126 - Clinical trial of a genetically modified vaccine against Cholera - PaxVax Australia Pty Ltd
- Maps of Trial Sites
- What's New
- List of applications and licences for Dealings involving Intentional Release (DIR) of GMOs into the environment
- Guidelines and forms for Certification of Physical Containment Facilities
- Record of GMOs and GM Product Dealings
- About the OGTR
- List of Genetically Modified Product approvals
- What are Notifiable Low Risk Dealings (NLRDs)?
- Guidelines

The most popular downloaded documents were:

- *Risk Analysis Framework*
- DIR 126 - Receipt of licence application (DIR 126) from PaxVax Australia Pty Ltd for a clinical trial of a GM cholera vaccine
- The Biology of *Saccharum spp* (Sugarcane)
- The Biology of *Gossypium hirsutum* L. and *Gossypium barbadeuse* L. (Cotton)
- The Biology and Ecology of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in Australia
- The Biology of *Ananas comosus* var. *comosus* (Pineapple)
- The Biology of *Triticum aestivum* L. em Thell. (Bread Wheat)
- The Biology of *Carica papaya* L. (Papaya, pawpaw, paw paw)
- PC2 Laboratory guidelines
- The Biology of Hybrid Tea Rose (*Rosa x hybrida*)

The OGTR welcomes feedback on ways to improve the provision of information on gene technology regulation.

Internet contacts and freecall number

OGTR email address and freecall number

The OGTR's 1800 number and email address are points of contact for members of the public and other interested parties to obtain information about the regulation of GMOs. Assistance with specific questions and additional mechanisms for public feedback are among some of the services provided by the 1800 line and email facilities. The table below describes the activity of these facilities throughout the quarter, (with the increased activity in November mostly reflecting interest in the notification of application DIR 126).

MONTH	EMAILS	OGTR 1800 NUMBER
October	99	73
November	196	210
December	98	95

Monitoring and compliance email inbox

The OGTR maintains an email inbox to provide a central point for accredited organisations to contact the OGTR regarding monitoring and compliance matters, such as queries, notifications required under licences, and self reporting of non-compliances. The email inbox ensures that all communications are answered efficiently while monitoring staff are away from the office. The inbox received 357 emails during the quarter.

Statutory Committee email inbox

The Regulatory Practice and Secretariat Section maintain an email inbox to facilitate efficient communication between committee members and secretariat staff.

The inbox ensures that all communications are answered in a timely manner by secretariat staff. The inbox received 243 emails during the quarter.

Application and Licence Management email inbox

This electronic mail inbox provides a central, shared communication point to allow efficient coordination of responses for correspondence and queries about applications received by the Application and Licence Management Section. The inbox received 612 emails during the quarter.

Contained Dealings Evaluation Section email inbox

This email inbox provides a central common point for efficient coordination of responses to queries relating to classification of GMO dealings, certification requirements for higher level containment facilities and GMO licences accessed by the contained dealings evaluation section. The inbox received 99 emails during the quarter.





APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1:

Gene Technology Technical Advisory Committee Communiqué Meeting 18 December 2013, COMMUNIQUÉ

This communiqué covers matters considered at the 44th meeting of the Gene Technology Technical Advisory Committee (GTTAC) (18 December 2013)

GTTAC is a statutory advisory committee established under the *Gene Technology Act 2000* (the Act) to provide scientific and technical advice to the Gene Technology Regulator (the Regulator) and the ministerial Legislative and Governance Forum on Gene Technology. All Committee members and expert advisers hold office on a part-time basis.

The Regulator seeks advice from GTTAC on Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plans (RARMPs) prepared in respect of applications for licences to conduct dealings with genetically modified organisms (GMOs). The purpose of this Communiqué is to provide a brief overview of GTTAC's consideration of applications and RARMPs and, in accordance with Regulation 29 of the Gene Technology Regulations 2001, any Committee resolutions provided to the Regulator regarding those applications and RARMPs. The Communiqué also provides an overview of other major issues discussed by GTTAC.

DEALINGS INVOLVING THE INTENTIONAL RELEASE OF GENETICALLY MODIFIED ORGANISMS

Dealings Involving the Intentional Release of GMOs (DIRs) can involve the limited and controlled release (field trial) or commercial (general) release of a GMO.

The Regulator must seek advice from GTTAC on RARMPs for all DIR applications. The Regulator must also seek GTTAC advice during the preparation of the RARMP for all DIR applications which are not assessed as limited and controlled under Section 50A of the Act.

The RARMP for every DIR licence application is also released for public consultation. Information on how to obtain copies of applications and RARMPs for DIR applications is provided at the end of this document.

1. ADVICE ON LICENCE APPLICATIONS – COMMERCIAL RELEASE

GTTAC considered the following commercial release applications:

1.1 DIR 124 - Commercial release of cotton genetically modified for insect resistance and herbicide tolerance (Bollgard® III and Bollgard® III x Roundup Ready Flex®)

Monsanto Australia Ltd has applied for a licence for the commercial release of two types of genetically modified (GM) cotton in Australia: insect resistant Bollgard® III and insect resistant/herbicide tolerant Bollgard® III x Roundup Ready Flex®.

GTTAC was provided an agenda paper that outlined key issues for consideration in the RARMP, and was asked for advice on any other issues that should be considered.

GTTAC noted that Bollgard® III cotton was produced by conventional breeding between Bollgard® II cotton, a commercially released insect resistant GM cotton, and VIP3A cotton, a different insect resistant GM cotton that has not previously been commercially released in Australia. Bollgard® III x Roundup Ready Flex® cotton was produced by conventional breeding between Bollgard® II cotton, VIP3A cotton, and Roundup Ready Flex® cotton, a commercially released herbicide resistant GM cotton.

GTTAC also noted that the GM cottons would enter general commerce, including use in human food and animal feed. Food Standards Australia New Zealand has assessed and approved food made from the parent GM cottons. These approvals include food made from any offspring produced through conventional breeding, and therefore cover Bollgard® III cotton and Bollgard® III x Roundup Ready Flex® cotton.

Key points discussed by the Committee:

- The potential for spread and persistence of the GMOs in northern Australia, given the different environmental conditions in that region.
- Potential effects of the *Vip3A* product on non-target invertebrate species.
- The potential for stacking between these GM cottons and commercially approved herbicide tolerant GM Liberty Link® cotton, and the possible impact of this on weed control in managed areas.

RESOLUTION:

GTTAC advised the Regulator that:

1. When preparing the RARMP, in addition to the issues outlined in the agenda paper the Regulator should consider the potential for stacking with other commercial GM cottons.
- 1.2 DIR 125 - Commercial release of genetically modified live bacterial vaccine to protect chickens against pathogenic *E. coli*.

Zoetis Australia Research & Manufacturing Pty Ltd has applied for a licence for the commercial release of a GM *Escherichia coli* vaccine for use in commercial poultry farms to protect chickens from *E. coli* infection. The GM *E. coli* has been modified by deletion of the essential *aroA* gene and cannot survive or multiply in chickens, and therefore cannot cause disease in chickens.

GTTAC was provided an agenda paper that outlined key issues for consideration in the RARMP, and was asked for advice on any other issues that should be considered.

GTTAC noted that release of the GM vaccine will also require approval by the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicine Authority (APVMA), which has primary responsibility for assessing the safety and efficacy of the vaccine for use in chickens. GTTAC also noted that the vaccine is already approved for use as a poultry vaccine in the USA and the EU.

The committee discussed a range of issues that should be considered in the RARMP, as detailed in the resolutions. The committee also discussed the following more general matters:

- The complementary roles of the APVMA and the OGTR in respect of this application.
- Similar vaccines with a long history of safe use.

RESOLUTION:

GTTAC advised the Regulator that:

When preparing the RARMP, in addition to the key issues outlined in the agenda paper the Regulator should consider:

1. The prevalence of Avian Pathogenic *E. coli* serotypes, and in particular type 078, in Australia;
2. Properties of the parent organism with potential to cause harm;
3. Whether the genetic modification may alter levels of *E. coli* metabolites in a manner which may cause harm;
4. Potential for the GMO to replicate and persist in the environment;
5. Pathways and levels of exposure of wild birds to the GMO as a result of vaccination in poultry houses and free range farms;
6. Training requirements for persons administering the vaccine;
7. Results of GMO-specific post marketing monitoring in the USA

2. OTHER ADVICE

2.1 Revised Biology Documents

Biology documents are prepared by the OGTR to provide an overview of baseline biology information relevant to risk assessment of genetically modified forms of the species that may be released into the Australian environment.

GTTAC provided advice on the content of revised and new sections of two biology documents: The Biology of *Brassica napus* L. (canola) and *Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. & Coss. (Indian mustard); and The Biology of *Triticum aestivum* L. em Thell. (Bread Wheat). GTTAC commended the OGTR on the updates to the biology documents and provided some suggestions for improvement of the documents.

RESOLUTION:

GTTAC advised the Regulator that:

The Regulator should consider:

1. Updates to Sections 2.2 and 2.3, on Commercial Uses and Cultivation in Australia respectively, in the wheat biology document;
2. Including further discussion in the canola and Indian mustard document of the production of canola-quality oil from Indian mustard, and the possibility that it may be commercially marketed in Australia as 'canola';
3. An amendment to the canola document to clarify item 9.1 on the proportion and amount of gene transfer over distance

REPORTS/UPDATES

The Committee was updated on the progress of the Review of the Act and the implementation of the all governments' response to the review. GTTAC received a report from the Regulator that provided updates on activities undertaken by the Regulator and the OGTR since the previous face-to-face GTTAC meeting (11 June 2013), and a report from the committee's cross-member with the Gene Technology Ethics and Advisory Committee (GTECCC) on recent GTECCC activities.

ENQUIRIES AND RISK ASSESSMENT AND RISK MANAGEMENT PLANS

For all enquiries and to obtain copies of applications or RARMPs for dealings involving the intentional release of GMOs into the environment, please phone the OGTR on 1800 181 030. RARMPs are also available electronically from our website at <<http://www.ogtr.gov.au>>.

GLOSSARY

This section contains a brief description of terms relevant to an understanding of this quarterly report. They do not substitute for definitions of terms relevant to the operation of the gene technology regulatory system that are contained in section 10 of the *Gene Technology Act 2000*.

Accredited organisation	An organisation that is accredited under section 92 of the Act
Act	<i>Gene Technology Act 2000</i>
APVMA	Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority
Breach of a licence condition	A breach of a licence condition which has been proven either in court or by way of admission following investigation
CCI	Confidential commercial information
Certified facility	A building or place certified by the Regulator to a specified containment level under section 84 of the Act
Clock stop	The period during which days are not counted for purposes of the statutory time limit for making a decision – usually because evaluation cannot proceed until additional information requested from the applicant is received
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
DIR	A dealing involving intentional release of a GMO into the environment (e.g., field trial or commercial release)
DNIR	A contained dealing with a GMO not involving intentional release of the GMO into the environment (e.g. experiments in a certified facility such as a laboratory)
Expert advisers	Advisers appointed by the Minister to give expert advice to either GTTAC or GTECCC to assist them in the performance of their functions (expert advisers are not committee members)
EDD	Emergency Dealing Determination
FSANZ	Food Standards Australia New Zealand
GM	Genetically modified
GM product	A thing (other than a GMO) derived or produced from a GMO
GMO	Genetically modified organism

GTECCC	Gene Technology Ethics and Community Consultative Committee
GTSC	Gene Technology Standing Committee
GTTAC	Gene Technology Technical Advisory Committee
IBC	Institutional Biosafety Committee
Incident	A self-reported event which may constitute a non-compliance with regulatory requirements and a public health or environment risk
LGFGT	Legislative and Governance Forum on Gene Technology
Limited and controlled release	A type of DIR which meets certain criteria relating to limiting and controlling the dealings with the GMO (e.g. a field trial)
NLRD	Notifiable low risk dealing (work with transgenic plants, animals or microorganisms undertaken in certified containment facilities)
Non-compliance	An inconsistency between an event or state of affairs and the requirements imposed by licence accreditation or certification conditions, or any of the requirements of the Act or Regulations
OGTR	Office of the Gene Technology Regulator
PC1, PC2, PC3, PC4	Physical containment levels of facilities as certified by the Regulator
RARMP	Risk assessment and risk management plan
Regulations	Gene Technology Regulations 2001
Regulator	Gene Technology Regulator
Spot checks	Unannounced visits by the OGTR Monitoring and Compliance Section
Volunteer	Regrowth of plants from seed that has remained on a site after a trial has been completed



Office of the Gene Technology Regulator
MDP 54
GPO Box 9848
Canberra ACT 2601

Email: ogtr@health.gov.au
Website: www.ogtr.gov.au

Telephone: 1800 181 030
Fax: 02 6271 4202

www.ogtr.gov.au

All information in this publication is correct as of 14.3.14